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scheduled update from the medical officer covid19
My questions/comments are about the county-wide SIP orders

The public is told that policy is made according to science and data, but we don't get to see any of it. Can the BofS provide a link or summation of the science and data? Certain changes made, call into question the "science" of the entire SIP. For example - the new SIP allows people to travel 10, rather than 5 miles from their home. What science or data drove that policy change? Does something 'sciency' happen in those 5 miles now? Is it something that does not happen at say . . 15 miles?
What data changed so that now I can hire a gardener?
I'd also like on record for each Board member to answer if in the last 6 weeks they've stayed within 5 miles and not traveled to another home, no cleaning lady has come, no haircut, nor a visit with extended family?
To uphold these policies by saying that without them many more would have died, or hospitals overwhelmed - is not science. It is conjecture. It is unproven, and unprovable.
It is easy to point to a medical expert or the power that is above you. But each board member is responsible to serve the people of their district and the community at large. It is a serious thing to quarantine healthy people and remove their rights. It must be undertaken only after due diligence and a thorough understanding of all sides of the issue. It ought to be made based on science and data - not conjecture.
I am looking for accountability of that due diligence and hoping that without it; without science and data, the Board will vacate the SIP orders.

Carol Wolff<br>(resident unincorporated Menlo Park)

