

**SAN MATEO COUNTY  
LEGISLATIVE  
ACTIVITY REPORT  
2019**



\*Indicates that a position letter has been sent on behalf of the Board of Supervisors

\*\*Indicates that a position letter has been sent by the California State Association of Counties and/or the Urban Counties of California that reflects the County's position on the bill.

**March 29, 2019**

**County Sponsored or Priority State Legislation**

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
AB 738 (Mullin)	<p>Regional housing needs allocation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would establish a San Mateo County pilot allowing its jurisdictions to report on, and receive credit for, contributing housing funds to another jurisdiction that results in the issuance of entitlements, building permits, and certificates of occupancy in that jurisdictions on their annual Housing Element Annual Progress Reports, if both entities agree. By providing formal recognition for cooperative efforts across jurisdictional boundaries, the measure aims to deploy local housing funds more strategically and produce housing more quickly in the County.</li> </ul>	Sponsor*	A—H. & C.D.(4/10) A—L. Gov
AB 825 (Mullin)	<p>San Mateo County Flood Control District</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would replace the existing San Mateo County Flood Control District with the “Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency Agency” beginning January 1, 2020 to enable additional water related activities and jurisdictional areas. Would also change the governance from the Board of Supervisors to a seven-member board consisting of five city and two Board members, and clarify</li> </ul>	Sponsor*	A—L. Gov. (4/24)

*A Publication of the County Manager's Office/Intergovernmental Affairs*  
 Connie Juárez-Diroll, Legislative Officer  
 (650) 599-1341

	funding/financing authorities to reflect current state regulations/constitutional restrictions. The Agency's mission and role would be to address sea level rise, flooding, coastal erosion, and large-scale stormwater infrastructure improvements.		
--	--	--	--

## Elections

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
AB 1704 (Mullin)	<p>Elections: all-mailed ballots elections</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to current law that conduct any election as an all-mailed ballot.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Print

## Environment

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
AB 782 (Berman)	<p>California Environmental Quality Act: exemption: public agencies: property transfers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would exempt from CEQA the acquisition, sale or other transfer of property by a public agency for certain purposes, or the funding of that acquisition, sale or other transfer by a public agency, if the public agency conditions those transactions on compliance with CEQA before making physical changes to the transferred property.</li> </ul>	Watch	A–Appr.

## Childcare & Early Learning

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
AB 452 (Mullin)	<p>Childcare: early childhood programs: grant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that appropriates between \$25M and \$35M to create a grant program, under the California Child Care Initiative Project, to develop childcare facilities that serve children from birth to three years of age.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Hum. S (4/9)

## Child Support

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 337 (Skinner)	Child support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require that all child support collected in a month be paid to the recipient and prohibit that it be considered income or resources and deducted from the amount of aid the family would otherwise be eligible.</li> </ul>	Watch	S–Hum. S. H (4/8)
AB 1091 (Jones-Sawyer)	Child support: suspension <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would extend and modify an existing program that allows for the suspension of child support payments when an individual is incarcerated or involuntarily institutionalized for more than 90 days.</li> </ul>	Watch	A–Appr.
AB 1092 (Jones-Sawyer)	Child support: enforcement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would prohibit the Department of Child Support Services and local child support agencies from charging interest on the principal amount of a child support delinquency that is owed at a rate higher than is required by federal law.</li> </ul>	Watch	A–Appr.

## Health, Hospitals and Mental Health

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 29 (Durazo)	Medi-Cal: eligibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would extend full-scope Medi-Cal benefits to undocumented adults age 19 and above who are otherwise eligible for those benefits but for their immigration status.</li> </ul>	Watch	S–Appr.
SB 175 (Pan)	Healthcare coverage: minimum essential coverage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require California residents, and their dependents, to carry health insurance and impose a penalty for failure to maintain minimum essential coverage.</li> </ul>	Watch	S-Health (4/10)
AB 4 (Arambula)	Medi-Cal: eligibility <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would extend eligibility for full-scope Medi-Cal benefits to individuals of all ages, regardless of their immigration status.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Health (4/9)
AB 70 (Berman)	Mental health in schools <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would state the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would support youth mental health in schools.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Print

AB 377 (Garcia)	<p>Microenterprise home kitchen operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would modify the conditions for a city and county to permit microenterprise home kitchen operations within its jurisdiction, require an enforcement agency to annually report specified information about the operations within its jurisdiction, and modify the food safety standards applicable to microenterprise home kitchen operations, among other things.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Health (4/2)
AB 414 (Bonta)	<p>Healthcare coverage: minimum essential coverage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require California residents, and their dependents, to carry health insurance and impose a penalty for failure to maintain minimum essential coverage.</li> </ul>	Watch	A–Health (4/9)
AB 512 (Ting)	<p>Medi-Cal: specialty mental health services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require each mental health plan to prepare a cultural competency assessment plan to address disparities in access, utilization, and outcomes by race, ethnicity, language, sexual orientation, gender identity, and immigration status.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Health
AB 577 (Eggman)	<p>Medi-Cal: maternal mental health</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would extend Medi-Cal postpartum care for up to one year beginning on the last day of the pregnancy for an eligible individual diagnosed with a maternal mental health condition.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Appr.

## Housing

Bill Number & Author	Description	CASA Compact Element	Position	Status
SCA 1 (Allen)	<p>Public housing projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would repeal Article 34 of the State Constitution that requires an election to approve housing where more than 50% of the units are subsidized.</li> </ul>		Support	S–Housing
SB 4 (McGuire)	<p>Housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would create a streamlined approval process for eligible projects within ½ mile of fixed or ferry terminals in cities of 50K residents or more in smaller counties and in all urban areas in counties with over 1 million residents.</li> </ul>		Under review	S–Housing (4/2)
SB 5 (Beall)	<p>Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would establish the Local-State Sustainable Investment Incentive Program, which would be administered by the Sustainable Investment Incentive Committee, and would</li> </ul>	Element 9: Funding & Financing &	Under review	S–Housing (4/2)

	authorize cities, counties, JPAs, IFDS, and others to participate in the program. Would also require the Sustainable Investment Incentive Cmte to issue an order directing the county auditor to reduce the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue otherwise required to be contributed to the county's ERAF from the applicant by an annual reduction amount approved.	Element 10: Regional Housing Enterprise		
SB 6 (Beall & McGuire)	Residential development: available land <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to furnish the Department of General Services with a list of local lands suitable and available for residential development as identified by a local government as part the housing element of its general plan.</li> </ul>	Element 7: Permit Streamlining, Financial Incentives	Watch	S—Housing (4/2)
SB 9 (Beall)	Income taxes: low-income housing credits: allocation: sale <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would make changes to the sale of low-income housing tax credits between partnerships.</li> </ul>		Under review	S—Gov & F
SB 13 (Wieckowski)	Accessory dwelling units <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would enact legislation to reduce impact fees and other existing barriers for homeowners seeking to create ADUs for the purpose of creating additional residential housing within their neighborhoods.</li> </ul>	Element 4: ADUs	Under review	S—Housing (4/2)
SB 18 (Skinner)	Keep Californians Housed Act <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to develop and public a guide to all state laws pertaining to landlords and landlord-tenant relations and survey all cities regarding the resources and programs they provide for tenants.</li> </ul>	Element 3: Rent Assistance, Free Legal Counsel	Under review	S—Housing (4/2)
SB 50 (Wiener)	Planning and zoning: housing development: equitable communities incentive <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require a city or county to grant upon request an equitable communities incentive when a development proponent seeks and agrees to construct a residential development that satisfies specified criteria, including but not limited to being a job-rich housing project or transit-rich housing project.</li> </ul>	Element 5: Minimum Zoning Near Transit	Under review	S—Housing (4/2)
SB 128 (Beall)	Enhanced infrastructure financing districts: bonds: issuance <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would remove the public vote requirement for bonds issued by an Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District.</li> </ul>		Under review	A—Desk

SB 330 (Skinner)	<p>Housing Crisis Act of 2019</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would allow a city or county to prohibit the commercial use of land zoned for residential use consistent with the authority of the entity and apply these prohibitions to any zoning ordinance adopted or amended on or after January 1, 2018.</li> </ul>	Element 6: “Good Government” Reforms/Housing Approvals	Under review	S—Gov & F
SB 573 (Chang)	<p>Homeless Emergency Aid program: funding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would make an annual appropriation of \$250 million from the General Fund to the Homeless Emergency Aid Program (HEAP) administered by the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council.</li> </ul>	Support**	Under review	
SB 384 (Morrell)	<p>Housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would establish specified procedures for the administrative and judicial review of the environmental review and approvals granted for housing development projects with 50 or more residential units.</li> </ul>		Under review	S—E.Q. (4/10)
SB 744 (Caballero)	<p>Planning and zoning: California Environmental Quality Act: permanent supportive housing: No Place Like Home Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require a lead agency to prepare concurrently the record of proceeding for a No Place Like Home project with the performance of the environmental review of the project if that project is not eligible for approval as a use by right.</li> </ul>		Under review	S—Housing (4/2)
ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry)	<p>Local government financing: affordable housing and public infrastructure: voter approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize cities and counties to levy taxes by a 55% voter approval rate for purposes of funding the construction, rehabilitation, or replacement of public infrastructure or affordable housing.</li> </ul>	Element 9: Funding & Financing	Under review	A—Appr.
AB 10 (Chiu)	<p>Income taxes: credits low-income housing: farmworker housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would increase the state Low Income Housing Tax Credit by \$500M.</li> </ul>	Element 9: Funding & Financing	Under review	A—Rev. & Tax
AB 11(Chiu)	<p>Community Redevelopment Law</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize cities and counties, or two or more cities acting jointly, to propose the formation of an affordable housing and infrastructure agency by adoption of a resolution of intention that meets specified requirements.</li> </ul>	Element 9: Funding & Financing	Under review	A—H. & C.D. (4/10)
AB 36 (Bloom)	Affordable housing: rental prices	Element 2: Rent Cap	Under review	A—H. & C.D.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would allow cities to rent stabilization measures to rental units older than 10 years and for single family rentals and condos.</li> </ul>			
AB 68 (Ting)	<p>Land use: accessory dwelling units</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would prohibit an ordinance from imposing requirements on minimum lot size, or coverage, or floor area ration, and would prohibit an ordinance from establishing size requirements for ADUs that do not permit at least 80 sq. ft. unit of at least 16 ft. to be constructed.</li> </ul>	Element 4: ADUs	Under review	A–H. & C.D. (4/3)
AB 69 (Ting)	<p>Land use: accessory dwelling units</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize the Department of Housing and Community Development to review local ordinances for compliance with state law and require local agencies to consider the department’s findings so as to amend their ordinances to comply with state law or explain why they do not via resolution.</li> </ul>	Element 4: ADUs	Under review	A–H. & C.D. (4/3)
AB 192 (Mathis)	<p>California Integrated Community Living Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would create the “California Integrated Community Living Program: to provide permanent supportive housing options for regional center clients, to be funded using moneys from the lease of or other revenue generating agreement for any state developmental center property.</li> </ul>		Under review	A–Appr.
AB 264 (Melendez)	<p>Income taxes: credits: development impact fees</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would create an income tax credit for housing development fees to ease the financial burdens placed on builders for new housing projects.</li> </ul>		Under review	A–Rev. & Tax
AB 553 (Melendez)	<p>High-speed rail bonds: housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require redirection of the unspent high-speed rail bonds for housing.</li> </ul>		Under review	A–Trans. (4/1)
AB 724 (Wicks)	<p>Rental property data registry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would create a data that collects tenant and housing data from landlords and property owners.</li> </ul>		Watch	A–H. & C.D. (4/10)
AB 725 (Wicks)	<p>General plans: housing element: above moderate-income housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would prohibit a jurisdiction from allocating more than 20 percent of its’ share of regional housing need for above moderate-income housing to sites with zoning restricted to single-family development.</li> </ul>		Watch	A–H. & C.D.

AB 816 (Quirk-Silva)	<p>California Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would establish the California Flexible Housing Subsidy Pool Program within HCD for the purpose of making grants available to applicants, including cities, counties and CoCs for eligible activities, including rental assistance, operating subsidies in new and existing affordable or supportive housing units, and specified outreach activities. Would continuously appropriate \$450M from the state General Fund every fiscal year for this purpose.</li> </ul>		Under review	A–H. & C.D. (4/24)
AB 1481 (Bonta)	<p>Tenancy termination: just cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(spot bill) Would prohibit a lessor of residential property for a term not specified by the parties, from terminating the lease without just cause stated in the written notice to terminate.</li> </ul>	Element 1: Just Cause Eviction	Watch	A–Jud.
AB 1482 (Chiu)	<p>Tenancy: rent caps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(spot bill) Would cap annual rent increases, but not apply to local ordinances or unites already under rent control</li> </ul>	Element 2: Rent Cap	Watch	A–H. & C.D.
AB 1483 (Grayson)	<p>Housing development project applications: reporting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would impose reporting requirements for cities and counties on mitigation fees and pending developments to HCD and MTC.</li> </ul>	Element 6: “Good Government” Reforms/Housing Approvals	Under review	A–H. & C.D.
AB 1484 (Grayson)	<p>Mitigation Fee Act: housing developments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would prohibit local agencies from imposing a fee on a housing development project unless the type and amount of the fee is specifically listed on its website.</li> </ul>	Element 6: “Good Government” Reforms/Housing Approvals	Under review	A–H. & C.D.
AB 1485 (Wicks)	<p>Housing development: incentives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would, until January 1, 2035, provide specified financial incentives that ensure financial feasibility to a development proponent of a residential housing development in the 9-county San Francisco Bay area region that dedicates at least 20% of the development’s housing units to households making no more than 150% of the area median income. The incentives provided to those developments include an exemption from CEQA, a cap on fees imposed under the Mitigation Fee Act, a density bonus of 35%, parking reductions, and a waiver of other locally imposed requirements.</li> </ul>	Element 7: Permit Streamlining, Financial Incentives	Under review	A–H. & C.D.



AB 1486 (Ting)	Local agencies: surplus land <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would expand the definition of “local agency,” public notification and prioritization requirements when disposing of public lands.</li> </ul>	Element 8: Public Lands	Under review	A–L. Gov
AB 1487 (Chiu)	San Francisco Bay area: housing development financing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would establish the Housing Alliance for the Bay Area and provide it with authority to raise revenue, subject to voter approval, and allocate funds throughout the Bay Area.</li> </ul>	Element 9: Funding & Financing	Under review	A–H. & C.D. (4/10)
AB 1568 (McCarty)	General plans: housing element: production report: withholding of transportation funds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require HCD to review counties’ and cities’ housing production reports from June 30, 2022 through June 30, 2051 and determine whether a city or county has met its applicable minimum housing production goal for that reporting period. If a city or county are not compliant with the minimum housing production goal, the State Controller is authorized to withhold transportation funds and keep them in an escrow account until HCD certifies they are in compliance.</li> </ul>		Oppose	A–H. & C.D. A–Trans
AB 1706 (Quirk)	Housing development: incentives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would, until January 1, 2035, provide specified financial incentives that ensure financial feasibility to a development proponent of a residential housing development in the 9-county San Francisco Bay area region that dedicates at least 20% of the development’s housing units to households making no more than 150% of the area median income.</li> </ul>	Element 7: Permit Streamlining, Financial Incentives	Under review	A–H. & C.D.

## Human & Social Services

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 48 (Wiener)	Homelessness: right to shelter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would create a streamlined approval process for low-barrier interim shelter interventions that connect people experiencing homelessness to services and permanent supportive solutions and make changes with regards to zoning of shelters.</li> </ul>	Watch	S–Housing
AB 246 (Mathis)	State highways: property leases <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize the Department of Transportation to offer a lease on a right of first refusal basis of any airspace under a freeway, or real property acquired for highway purposes, located</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Trans

	in a disadvantaged community, that is not excess property to the city or county in which the disadvantaged community is located for purposes of an emergency shelter or feeding program, or for park, recreational, or open-space purposes for a rental amount of \$1 per month.		
AB 531 (Friedman)	<p>Foster youth: housing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize a resource family, foster family home, certified foster home, approved relative caregiver or nonrelative extended family member of a participant to be automatically converted to a host family without additional certification.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Appr.
AB 995 (Ting)	<p>Transitional Housing Program–Plus</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would expand the Transitional Housing Program-Plus by making transitional housing available to any former foster youth who exited from the foster care system on or after their 16<sup>th</sup> birthday and who meets other requirements of the program.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Hum. S. (4/9)
AB 944 (Quirk)	<p>CalWORKs: sponsored noncitizen: indigence exception</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would, to the extent permitted by federal law, require a county to renew the 12-month exception period for additional 12-month periods for a sponsored application for, or recipient of, CalWORKs benefits who is deemed to meet the indigence require, as specified.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Appr.

## Land Use

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 281 (Wiener)	<p>Cow Palace: Joint Powers Authority</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would establish the Cow Palace Authority for the purposes of managing, developing, or disposing of the real property known as the Cow Palace; requires the state to transfer the Cow Palace to this new entity; and bans gun show on the premises.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–G. & O (4/9)
AB 1392 (Mullin)	<p>State Lands Commission: grant of trust lands: City of Redwood City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would grant and convey trust to the City of Redwood City all of the rights, title, and interests of the state, acquired and held by the state acting by and through the State Lands Commission to lands known as Maple Street Site.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Nat. Res. (4/8)

## Local Government

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 139 (Allen)	Independent redistricting commissions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require the establishment of an independent redistricting commission for counties with populations above 250,000 by March 1, 2021.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–E. & C.A. (4/2)
SB 144 (Mitchell)	Fees: criminal administrative fees <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would repeal the authority of counties to collect probation, restitution order, drug testing, public defender, and a range of other types of fees.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–Rules
SB 287 (Nielsen)	Commission on State Mandates: test claims: filing date <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would specify that for purposes of filing a test claim based on the date of incurring costs, “within 12 months” means by June 30 of the fiscal year following the fiscal year in which increased costs were first incurred by the test claim.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–Appr. (4/8)
AB 400 (Lackey)	State mandates <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would extend to March 1 the deadline for a local agency or school district to file an annual reimbursement claim detailing state-mandated costs.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–L. Gov.
AB 849 (Bonta)	Election: local redistricting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would recast provisions associated with redistricting practices of county boards of education, school districts, community college districts, counties, general law and charter cities, and special districts.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–E. & R. (4/10)
AB 931 (Boerner Horvath)	State and local boards and commissions: representation: appointments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require a minimum number of women members of state and local boards and commissions. For boards and commissions with five or more members, a minimum of 50 percent must be women. For boards and commissions with four or fewer members, at least one member must be a woman.</li> </ul>	Under review	A–L. Gov. (4/3) A–A. & A. R.
AB 1640 (Boerner Horvath)	Local government finance: budget reserves <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require local governments, by September 1, 2020, and annually thereafter, to submit a written report to the State Controller’s Office as to how it plans to spend its budget reserves on specific priorities over a five-year period. The specific priorities include, mental and behavioral health services,</li> </ul>	Under review	A–L. Gov.

	affordable housing, homelessness, foster youth programs, LGBTQ+ centers, veterans services, special needs youth and adult services, and in-home supportive services.		
--	--	--	--

## Public Safety and Justice

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 36 (Hertzberg)	<p>Pretrial release: risk assessment tools</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require each county to maintain specified data for each individual that undergoes an assessment using a risk assessment tool.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–Appr. (4/8)
SB 42 (Skinner)	<p>The Getting Home Safe Act</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require the sheriff to among other things, to make release standards, processes and schedules of a county jail available to the public and incarcerated persons. Would also provide a person, upon release from jail, the right to request they be assisted in entering a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program and require the jail to provide transport. Would also provide persons that might be released in off hours the option to voluntarily stay in the jail for an additional 16 hours or be offered free transport to a location of the person’s choosing.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–Pub. S. (4/9)
SB 221 (Hill)	<p>Firearms: law enforcement agencies: agency firearm accounting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require law enforcement agency by January 1, 2021 to adopt a written procedure to account for firearms that are owned, acquired, maintained, sold, loaned, lost, stolen from, or in any way possessed by that agency; and require employees to report to the agency lost or stolen firearms owned by the agency within 5 days of the date known.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–Pub. S. (4/2)
SB 230 (Caballero)	<p>Law enforcement: use of deadly force: training policies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require each law enforcement agency to maintain a policy that provides guidelines on the use of force, utilizing de-escalation techniques and other alternatives to force when feasible, specific guidelines for the application of deadly force, and factors for evaluating and reviewing all use of force incidents, and make these policies accessible to the public.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–Pub. S.
SB 694 (Stone)	<p>Juvenile halls: wireless communication devices</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would clarify that “local correctional facility” includes juvenile halls, camps, and ranches are included in the definition of</li> </ul>	Under review	S–Rules

	locations where unauthorized persons (minors in these facilities) are not allowed to possess electronic devices.		
AB 45 (Stone)	<p>Inmates: medical care: fees</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would prohibit a sheriff from charging a fee for an inmate-initiated medical visit of an inmate of a county jail, and also prohibit the charging of a fee for durable medical equipment or supplies, as defined.</li> </ul>	Under review	A—Pub. S. (4/9)
AB 61 (Ting)	<p>Gun violence restraining orders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize an employer, a cow-worker, or an employee of a secondary or postsecondary school that the person has attended in the last 6 months to file a petition for an ex parte, one-year, or renewed gun violence restraining order.</li> </ul>	Watch	A—Pub. S.
AB 276 (Friedman)	<p>Firearms: storage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require a person who is 18 years of age or older and who is the owner, lessee, renter, or other legal occupant of a residence, while that person is outside that residence to ensure that any firearm that person own or controls is securely stored against theft or unauthorized access.</li> </ul>	Watch	A—Pub. S. (4/2)
AB 310 (Santiago)	<p>Trial Jury Selection and Management Act</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would add probation, parole and correctional officers as defined by PCC Section 830.5(a) and (b) to the list of peace officers exempt from criminal jury duty.</li> </ul>	Under review	A—Pub. S.
AB 392 (Weber)	<p>Peace officers: deadly force</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize police officers to use deadly force only when it is necessary to prevent imminent and serious bodily injury or death—that is, if, given the totality of the circumstances, there was no reasonable alternative to using deadly force, including using warnings, verbal persuasion, or other nonlethal methods of resolution or de-escalation.</li> </ul>	Under review	A—Pub. S. (4/9)
AB 524 (Bigelow)	<p>Peace officers: deputy sheriffs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would add a select group of deputy sheriffs in the Counties of Mono and San Mateo to the categories of peace officers with varying powers and authority to make arrests and carry firearms.</li> </ul>	Support*	S—Rules
AB 597 (Levine)	<p>Probation and mandatory supervision: flash incarceration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would extend the sunset date for the use of flash incarceration, which is used by probation departments as an intermediate sanction for violations of conditions of probation.</li> </ul>	Watch	S—Desk
AB 696 (Lackey)	Juveniles: wards	Watch	A—Pub. S.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require a study of the efficacy and potential impacts of the use of OC spray in county juvenile institutional setting by an independent third-party entity.</li> </ul>		
AB 964 (Medina)	<p>County jails: visitation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require all local detention facilities to offer in-person visitation and would give any facility that does not offer in-person visitation until January 1, 2025 to comply with this requirement.</li> </ul>	Oppose, unless amended*	A—Pub. S. (4/2)
AB 1185 (McCarty)	<p>Officer oversight: sheriff oversight board</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would authorize a county to establish a sheriff oversight board, either by action of the board of supervisors or through a vote of county residents. Would authorize a sheriff oversight board to issue a subpoena duces tecum when deemed necessary to investigate a matter within the jurisdiction of the board and authorize a county to establish an office of the inspector general to assist the board with its supervisory duties, as specified.</li> </ul>	Under review	A—Pub. S. (4/2)
AB 1372 (Grayson)	<p>Employers: prohibited disclosure of information: arrest or detention</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would allow law enforcement agencies to request and receive arrest information on non-sworn employees.</li> </ul>	Under review	A—Pub. S. (4/2)
AB 1491 (Oberholte)	<p>Superior courts: sessions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would expand the definition of unlawful dissemination of personal information of public officials.</li> </ul>	Under review	A—Jud. (4/9)

## Taxes

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SCA 5 (Hill, Berman & Mullin)	<p>Taxation: school districts: parcel tax</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would lower the supermajority voter support requirement to pass school parcel taxes down to 55 percent.</li> </ul>	Under review	S—Gov. & F.
SB 15 (Portantino)	<p>Property tax revenue allocations: successor agencies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would require county auditor controllers of a county in which a successor agency is located to decrease the amount of property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to ERAF by the countywide local-state sustainable investment fund amount and to allocate a commensurate amount to the successor agencies that are located within the county.</li> </ul>	Under review	S—Gov. & F.
SB 294 (Hill)	Property taxation: welfare exemption: low income housing	Support*	S—Appr.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would allow for a partial exemption for non-publicly financed affordable housing and increases the statewide cap on the value of the property used for non-publicly financed affordable housing, supporting the work of the St. Francis Center in North Fair Oaks.</li> </ul>		
--	--	--	--

## Wildfire & Emergency Management

Bill Number & Author	Description	Position	Status
SB 45 (Allen)	<p>Wildfire, Drought and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2020</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would enact the Wildfire, Drought and Flood Protection Bond Act of 2020, which if approved by the voters, would authorize the issuance of \$4.3 billion in general obligation bonds to finance projects to restore fire damaged areas, reduce wildfire risk, create healthy forest and watersheds, reduce climate impacts on urban areas and vulnerable populations, reduce flood risk, among other things.</li> </ul>	Under review	S–E. Q. (4/3)

## State Budget

Issue	Description	Position	Status
IHSS–MOE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revised County In-Home Supportive Services Maintenance of Effort</li> </ul>	Support*	S–Sub #3 HHS (3/14)
Family Urgent Response System	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$15M State budget proposal in FY 19-20 and \$30M ongoing to provide foster youth and their caregivers with immediate trauma informed support when issues arise via a statewide hotline available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.</li> </ul>	Support*	A–Sub #1 HHS (4/10) & S–Sub #3 HHS (4/4)
Census 2020	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$54 million proposal for funding of the 2020 Census effort in Governor’s FY 2019-20 State Budget, plus a \$5 million increase in funding to support</li> </ul>	Support*	S–Sub #4 State Adm. & Gen.Gov. (4/4)