

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Inter-Departmental Correspondence Health System



Date: May 28, 2014

Board Meeting Date: July 1, 2014

Special Notice / Hearing: None Vote Required: Majority

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Jean S. Fraser, Chief, Health System

Lisa Mancini, Director, Aging and Adult Services

Subject: Agreement with Lakeview Lodge, Inc.

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a Resolution authorizing an agreement with Lakeview Lodge, Inc. to provide residential long-term care services for the term of July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015, in an amount not to exceed \$250,000.

BACKGROUND:

The San Mateo County Public Guardian's Office (PG) in Aging and Adult Services (AAS) serves adults with physical or mental disabilities who are unable to provide for their own needs (e.g., health care, food, clothing or shelter and/or unable to manage their own finances). The Superior Court makes the decision to provide conservatorship for such adults ("conservatees").

The PG is required to place conservatees in the lowest level of care that is appropriate for the conservatees' needs. Lakeview Lodge, Inc. is a board and care facility in San Mateo County that is successfully caring for PG's conservatees with challenging behavioral issues. This facility offers a community environment where conservatees can thrive while receiving specialized assisted living services based on each conservatee's individual needs. Without the services provided through Lakeview Lodge, Inc., these conservatees likely would need to be placed in a locked facility such as an acute psychiatric facility.

DISCUSSION:

Approval of this agreement allows conservatees currently residing at Lakeview Lodge, Inc. to remain at the facility. The agreement also provides the opportunity for the Health System to place other conservatees or non-conserved clients at Lakeview Lodge, Inc., when appropriate for their specific needs.

Under the B-1 Administrative Memorandum, the selection of providers for residential services for mental health clients is exempt from the Request for Proposals requirement.

The agreement and Resolution have been reviewed and approved by County Counsel as to form. The contractor meets insurance certification requirements. The contractor has assured compliance with the County's Contractor Employee Jury Service Ordinance, as well as all other contract provisions that are required by County ordinance and administrative memoranda, including but not limited to insurance, hold harmless, non-discrimination and equal benefits.

AAS requests that your Board authorize the Chief of the Health System or designee to execute contract amendments which modify the County's maximum fiscal obligation by no more than \$25,000 (in aggregate) and /or modify the contract term and/or services so long as the modified term or service(s) is/are within the current or revised fiscal provisions.

The agreement contributes to the Shared Vision 2025 outcome of a Healthy Community by allowing Aging and Adult Services (AAS) to provide at-risk individuals – i.e., conservatees - with non-medical custodial residential care home facility services and remain in the most independent setting possible. It is anticipated that 95% of at-risk individuals will be maintained in a least restrictive setting through case management.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

I LIN ONWANGE WEAGONE.		
Measure	FY 2013-14 Estimated	FY 2014-15 Projected
Percent of at-risk individuals maintained in a least restrictive setting through case management	95%	95%

FISCAL IMPACT:

The term of this agreement is July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. The total agreement amount is \$250,000. Funds for these services will be included in the AAS FY 2014-15 Recommended Budget.

Costs for conservatee placement are covered by conservatees' income and a special fund established by a court settlement for the benefit of PG conservatees. Costs for non-conserved clients are covered by client income, the Health System's Joint Placement Fund, Lower Level of Care funding, and/or Adult Protective Services funds.

The payment provisions and levels of service in this contract are essentially the same as the prior contract. There is no Net County Cost associated with the approval of this agreement.