



COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
Inter-Departmental Correspondence
Treasurer-Tax Collector



Date: January 8, 2014
Board Meeting Date: February 11, 2014
Special Notice / Hearing: None
Vote Required: Majority

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Sandie Arnott, Treasurer-Tax Collector

Subject: Delegating Investment Authority to the County Treasurer

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a Resolution renewing the delegation of investment authority to the Treasurer for the calendar year 2014 pursuant to Section 2.91.040 to Title 2, Article 2.9 Chapter 2.91 of the San Mateo County Ordinance Code.

BACKGROUND:

State law allows the Board of Supervisors to delegate to the County Treasurer investment authority to invest and reinvest County funds and the funds of other depositors in the County Treasury, and provides that this delegation be carried out by Ordinance and subject to annual renewal by the Board. On June 7, 2011, the Board adopted San Mateo County Ordinance Code Section 2.91.040 which delegated investment authority to the Treasurer for the 2011 calendar year and provided for the annual renewal of such delegation in subsequent years by resolution.

DISCUSSION:

California Government Code Section 27000.1 provides that the Board may, by ordinance, delegate to the Treasurer the authority "to invest or to reinvest the funds of the county and the funds of other depositors in the county treasury." Section 53607 limits the delegation of investment authority to a one-year period, but allows the Board to renew the delegation of investment authority each year.

County Counsel has reviewed and approved the Resolution as to form.

Approval of this Resolution contributes to the Shared Vision 2025 outcome of a Collaborative Community by managing the financial assets of the County and maintaining the value of the investment pool through professional and efficient administration of the County Treasury for County departments, special districts, schools, cities and taxpayers.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE(S):

Measure	FY 2012-13 Actual	FY 2013-14 Projected
Dollar/Percent growth in County Pool due to investments	\$23.344M/.71%	\$19.875M/.75%

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no direct fiscal impact associated with the proposed ordinance.