

## RESOLUTION NO. .

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \* \* \*

**RESOLUTION URGING THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATURE TO IDENTIFY  
SUFFICIENT AND STABLE FUNDING SOURCES FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND  
REHABILITATION OF LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS AND STATE HIGHWAYS TO  
ENSURE THE SAFE AND EFFICIENT MOBILITY OF THE TRAVELING PUBLIC AND  
THE ECONOMIC VITALITY OF CALIFORNIA**

---

**RESOLVED**, by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo, State of California, that

**WHEREAS**, Governor Edmund G. Brown, Jr. has called an extraordinary session to address the immense underfunding of California's transportation infrastructure; and

**WHEREAS**, cities and counties own and operate more than 81 percent of streets and roads in California, and from the moment we open our front door to drive to work, bike to school, or walk to the bus station, people are dependent upon a safe, reliable local transportation network; and

**WHEREAS**, the County of San Mateo has participated in efforts with the California State Association of Counties, League of California Cities, and California's Regional Transportation Planning Agencies to study unmet funding needs for local roads and bridges, including sidewalks and other essential components; and

**WHEREAS**, the resulting 2014 California Statewide Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment, which provides critical analysis and information on the local

transportation network's condition and funding needs, indicates that the condition of the local transportation network is deteriorating as predicted in the initial 2008 study; and

**WHEREAS**, the results show that California's local streets and roads are on a path of significant decline. On a scale of zero (failed) to 100 (excellent), the statewide average pavement condition index (PCI) is 66, placing it in the "at risk" category where pavements will begin to deteriorate much more rapidly and require rehabilitation or rebuilding rather than more cost-effective preventative maintenance if funding is not increased; and

**WHEREAS**, the results show that the County of San Mateo's local streets have an average pavement index of 69 in the "at risk" category; and

**WHEREAS**, if funding remains at the current levels, in 10 years, 25 percent of local streets and roads in California will be in "failed" condition; and

**WHEREAS**, cities and counties need an additional \$1.7 billion just to maintain a status quo pavement condition of 66, and much more revenue to operate the system with Best Management Practices, which would reduce the total amount of funding needed for maintenance in the future; and

**WHEREAS**, models show that an additional \$3 billion annual investment in the local streets and roads system is expected to improve pavement conditions statewide from an average "at risk" condition to an average "good" condition; and

**WHEREAS**, if additional funding isn't secured now, it will cost taxpayers twice as much to fix the local system in the future, as failure to act this year will increase unmet funding needs for local transportation facilities by \$11 billion in five years and \$21 billion in ten years; and

**WHEREAS**, modernizing the local street and road system provides well-paying construction jobs and boosts local economies; and

**WHEREAS**, the local street and road system is also critical for farm to market needs, interconnectivity, multimodal needs, and commerce; and

**WHEREAS**, police, fire, and emergency medical services all need safe reliable roads to react quickly to emergency calls and a few minutes of delay can be a matter of life and death; and

**WHEREAS**, maintaining and preserving the local street and road system in good condition will reduce drive times and traffic congestion, improve bicycle safety, and make the pedestrian experience safer and more appealing, which leads to reduced vehicle emissions helping the State achieve its air quality and greenhouse gas emissions reductions goals; and

**WHEREAS**, restoring roads before they fail also reduces construction time which results in less air pollution from heavy equipment and less water pollution from site run-off; and

**WHEREAS**, in addition to the local system, the State highway system needs an additional \$5.7 billion annually to address the State's deferred maintenance; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to bring the local system back into a cost-effective condition, at least \$7.3 billion annually in new money needs to go directly to cities and counties.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO** strongly urges the Governor and Legislature to identify a sufficient and stable funding source for local street and road and State highway maintenance and rehabilitation to ensure the safe and efficient mobility of the traveling public and the economic vitality of California.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County of San Mateo strongly urges the Governor and Legislature to adopt the following priorities for funding California's streets and roads:

1. Make a significant new investment in transportation infrastructure. Any package should seek to raise at least \$6 billion annually and should remain in place for at least 10 years or until an alternative method of funding our transportation system is agreed upon.
2. Focus on maintaining and rehabilitating the current system. Repairing California's streets and highways involves much more than fixing potholes. It requires major road pavement overlays, fixing unsafe bridges, providing safe

access for bicyclists and pedestrians, replacing storm water culverts, as well as operational improvements that necessitate the construction of auxiliary lanes to relieve traffic congestion choke points and fixing design deficiencies that have created unsafe merging and other traffic hazards. Efforts to supply funding for transit in addition to funding for roads should also focus on fixing the system first.

3. Equal funding between State and local projects. We support sharing revenue for roadway maintenance equally (50/50) between the State and cities and counties, given the equally-pressing funding needs of both systems, as well as the longstanding historical precedent for collecting transportation user fees through a centralized system and sharing the revenues across the entire network through direct subventions. Ensuring that funding to local governments is provided directly, without intermediaries, will accelerate project delivery and ensure maximum accountability.
4. Raise revenues across a broad range of options. Research by the California Alliance for Jobs and Transportation shows that voters strongly support increased funding for transportation improvements. They are much more open to a package that spreads potential tax or fee increases across a broad range of options, including fuel taxes, license fees, and registration fees, rather than just one source. Additionally, any package should move California toward an all-users pay structure, in which everyone who benefits from the system contributes to maintaining it – from traditional gasoline-fueled vehicles, to new

hybrids or electric vehicles, to commercial vehicles.

5. Invest a portion of diesel tax and/or cap & trade revenue to high-priority goods movement projects. While the focus of a transportation funding package should be on maintaining and rehabilitating the existing system, California has a critical need to upgrade the goods movement infrastructure that is essential to our economic well-being. Establishing a framework to make appropriate investments in major goods movement arteries can lay the groundwork for greater investments in the future that will also improve air quality and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
6. Strong accountability requirements to protect the taxpayers' investment. Voters and taxpayers must be assured that all transportation revenues are spent responsibly. Local governments are accustomed to employing transparent processes for selecting road maintenance projects aided by pavement management systems, as well as reporting on the expenditure of transportation funds through the State Controller's Local Streets and Roads Annual Report.

\* \* \* \* \*