



COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
Inter-Departmental Correspondence
Treasure-Tax Collector



Date: December 10, 2014

Board Meeting Date: January 27, 2015

Special Notice / Hearing: None

Vote Required: Majority

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Sandie Arnott, Treasurer-Tax Collector

Subject: Resolution Delegating Investment Authority to the County Treasurer

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a Resolution authorizing the renewal of the delegation of investment authority to the Treasurer for the calendar year 2015 pursuant to Section 2.91.040 to Title 2, Article 2.9 Chapter 2.91 of the San Mateo County Ordinance Code.

BACKGROUND:

State law allows the Board of Supervisors to delegate to the County Treasurer investment authority to invest and reinvest County funds and the funds of other depositors in the County Treasury, and provides that this delegation be carried out by ordinance and subject to annual renewal by the Board. On June 7, 2011, the Board adopted San Mateo County Ordinance Code Section 2.91.040 which delegated investment authority to the Treasurer for the 2011 calendar year and provided for the annual renewal of such delegation in subsequent years by resolution.

DISCUSSION:

California Government Code Section 27000.1 provides that the Board may delegate to the Treasurer the authority "to invest or to reinvest the funds of the county and the funds of other depositors in the county treasury." Section 53607 limits the delegation of investment authority to a one-year period, but allows the Board to renew the delegation of investment authority each year. The proposed resolution would delegate investment authority to the Treasurer for the entirety of 2015.

County Counsel has reviewed and approved the resolutions as to form.

This resolution contributes to the Shared Vision 2025 outcome of a Collaborative Community by managing the financial assets of the County and maintaining the value of

the investment pool through professional and efficient administration of the County Treasury for County departments, special districts, schools, cities and taxpayers.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no direct fiscal impact associated with the proposed resolution.