ONLY BESZ DE LA VEGA

ILIL 26 2017

#### COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT

### NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

A notice, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended (Public Resources Code 21,000, et seq.), that the following project: MH (Mobile Home Park) Zoning District Adoption, Zoning Map and Text Amendment, General Plan Land Use Map and Text Amendment, and Trailer Camp Ordinance Amendment, when adopted and implemented, will not have a significant impact on the environment. POSTING

FILE NO.: PLN2017-00077

**OWNER: Various** 

i ainera a

APPLICANT: San Mateo County Planning and Building Department

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO .: Various

LOCATION: Various, unincorporated San Mateo County (see addresses below)

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project is 1) a zoning text and map amendment, 2) a general plan text and map amendment, and 3) an amendment to San Mateo County's existing Trailer Camp Regulations. The project consists of:

- A zoning text amendment, adopting a new Mobile Home Park (MH) zoning district as a new chapter of the San Mateo County Zoning Regulations
- A zoning map amendment applying the new MH zoning designation to six existing mobile home parks in unincorporated San Mateo County, replacing the existing zoning of each park in total
- In the case of three of those parks, a General Plan land use map amendment changing the underlying general plan land use designation of each park to Medium High Density Residential.
- An amendment to the County's Trailer Camp regulations, Chapter 5.92 of the County Ordinance Code, redacting this Chapter of the Ordinance Code. The land use and permitting regulations included in those sections will be incorporated, in modified form, in the new MH zoning district regulations.

The mobile home parks (and the current zoning and General Plan land use designations) included in and affected by these proposed zoning and General Plan map and text amendments are the following:

Bayshore Villa Manufactured Housing Community, 3499 E Bayshore Road, Redwood City. Approximately 7.92 acres, zoned C-2/S-1 (commercial), General Plan land use designation High Density Residential.

Trailer Villa, 3401 E. Bayshore Road, Redwood City. Approximately 6.03 acres, zoned C-2/S-1 (commercial), General Plan land use designation High Density Residential.

Belmont Trailer Park, 100 Harbor Boulevard, Belmont. Approximately 3.19 acres, zoned C-2 (commercial), General Plan land use designation General Industrial.

------

**Pillar Ridge**, 164 Culebra, Moss Beach. Approximately 19.33 acres, zoned H-1 (highway frontage), General Plan land use designation Medium High Density Residential.

**Redwood Trailer Village**, 855 Barron Ave, Redwood City. Approximately 1.53 acres, zoned C-2/S-1 (commercial), General Plan land use designation Industrial Mixed Use.

**Sequoia Trailer Park**, 730 Barron Ave, Redwood City. Approximately 4.61 acres, zoned C-2/S-1, General Plan land use designation Industrial Mixed Use.

This project would change the zoning of each listed park from its current zoning designation to the new "MH" zoning designation, and would change the General Plan land use designation for Belmont Trailer Park, Redwood Trailer Village, and Sequoia Trailer Park from General Industrial or Industrial Mixed Use to Medium High Density Residential.

The new regulations for the MH zoning designation would apply the relevant standards for mobile homes and mobile home parks incorporated in:

- California Health and Safety Code, Division 13, Part 2 ("Mobile Homes Manufactured Housing"), Section 18000 et seq.,
- California Code of Regulations, Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 2, "Mobile Home Parks and Installations," and
- California Code of Regulations, Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 3, "Factory-Built Housing, Mobilehomes, and Manufactured Homes."

In addition, the new regulations establish that mobile home parks and mobile homes within them are the principally permitted use in the MH district. Uses that are ancillary to mobile home parks are conditionally permitted, as are transitional housing, supportive housing, and multifamily affordable housing with long-term affordability restrictions. The new zoning regulations also apply new height restrictions, and incorporate landscaping, fencing, and access requirements, and other general development standards previously included in the County's Trailer Camp regulations, Ordinance Code Chapter 5.92.

This adoption and application of the new MH zoning district, and change in general plan land use designation, are consistent with the existing, ongoing, and long-term use of these properties, and will not result in any change in or intensification of use, or any new development. The new zoning district will accommodate the ongoing use of the sites as mobile home parks, and the Medium High Density Residential land use category is consistent with the existing use and density of these sites. These changes do not require any new action or new development on the part of owners or residents of the existing mobile home parks. The proposed changes also do not legalize or formalize any existing zoning or building code violations or supersede or alter any existing violations of County or other regulations. The proposed project involves no new development, redevelopment, change of use, or other physical development of any kind.

## FINDINGS AND BASIS FOR A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Planning Section has reviewed the initial study for the project and, based upon substantial evidence in the record, finds that:

- 1. The project will not adversely affect water or air quality or increase noise levels substantially.
- 2. The project will not have adverse impacts on the flora or fauna of the area.

- 3. The project will not degrade the aesthetic quality of the area.
- 4. The project will not have adverse impacts on traffic or land use.
- 5. In addition, the project will not:
  - a. Create impacts which have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment.
  - b. Create impacts which achieve short-term to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
  - c. Create impacts for a project which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.
  - d. Create environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

The County of San Mateo has, therefore, determined that the environmental impact of the project is insignificant.

MITIGATION MEASURES included in the project to avoid potentially significant effects:

N/A

## **RESPONSIBLE AGENCY CONSULTATION**

N/A

# INITIAL STUDY

The San Mateo County Current Planning Section has reviewed the Environmental Evaluation of this project and has found that the probable environmental impacts are insignificant. A copy of the initial study is attached.

<u>REVIEW PERIOD</u>: July 26, 2017 – August 21, 2017

All comments regarding the correctness, completeness, or adequacy of this Negative Declaration must be received by the County Planning and Building Department, 455 County Center, Second Floor, Redwood City, no later than **5:00 p.m., August 21, 2017**.

CONTACT PERSON

William Gibson Project Planner, 650/363-1816 wgibson@smcgov.org

William Gibson, Project Planner

#### County of San Mateo Planning and Building Department

#### INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION CHECKLIST (To Be Completed by Planning Department)

- 1. **Project Title:** *MH* (Mobile Home Park) Zoning District Adoption, Zoning Map and Text Amendment, General Plan Land Use Map and Text Amendment, and Trailer Camp Ordinance Amendment
- 2. County File Number: PLN2017-00077
- 3. Lead Agency Name and Address: San Mateo County Planning and Building Department, 455 County Center, Redwood City CA 94063
- 4. Contact Person and Phone Number: William Gibson, 650-363-1816
- 5. **Project Location:** Various locations, unincorporated San Mateo County

## 6. Assessor's Parcel Number and Size of Parcel:

Bayshore Villa and Trailer Villa: 7.92 and 6.03 acres respectively. APNs:

134201130, 134201590, 134201701, 134201870, 134202260, 134202280, 134201570, 134202310, 134202341, 055010260, 134201090, 134211310, 134201120, 134201600, 134201630, 134202080, 134202240, 134211240, 134201770, 134201780, 134202030, 134202241, 134201560, 055010280, 134201730, 134201820, 134202160, 134202300, 134201031, 134201940, 134202050, 134201511, 134201140, 134201400, 134202110, 134202190, 134202230, 134202330, 134211190, 134211280, 134201830, 134202360, 134201970, 055010120, 055010270, 055130010, 134201030, 134201230, 134202010, 134202290, 134211110, 134201070, 134201641, 134202270, 134211120, 134201510, 134201650, 134201680, 134201690, 134201760, 134202150, 134202320, 134201971, 134201360, 134201580, 134201840, 134201990, 134202060, 134202120, 134211270, 134201080, 134201520, 055010200, 134201660, 134201640, 134201920, 134201980, 134202180, 134201130

#### Belmont Trailer Park: 3.19 acres. APNs:

046010140, 046010130, 134111590, 134111840, 134111710, 134111600, 134111910, 134111760, 134111580, 046010120, 046010150

#### Pillar Ridge: 19.33 acres. APNs:

047300060, 134131180, 134131260, 134131540, 134132030, 134132100, 134132110, 134132280, 134132360, 134132640, 134132650, 134132670, 134132731, 134132781, 134132840, 134133130, 134133150, 134133180, 134133280, 134133290, 134133540, 134132300, 134133230, 134133211, 134132070, 134131330, 134131340, 134131450, 134131620, 134132200, 134132290, 134132430, 134132550, 134132560, 134132610, 134132960, 134131651, 134132470, 134133381, 134133410, 134133351, 134132140, 134131631, 134132750, 134131651, 134132120, 134131290, 134131390, 134131460, 134131520, 134131650, 134132750, 134131461, 134131120, 134131290, 134131390, 134132350, 13413250, 13413250, 13413220, 13413220, 13413230, 134132350, 13413250, 13413250, 134132530, 13413260, 134132210, 13413220, 134132910, 134132990, 134133160, 134133250, 13413320, 13413350, 134133380, 134133530, 134133570, 134133610, 134132510, 134133501, 134131501, 134132050, 134132201, 134131561, 134131070, 13413160, 134131221, 134131490, 134131560, 134132090, 134132190, 134132311,

134132370, 134132420, 134132520, 134132690, 134132721, 134132830, 134132880, 134132950, 134133330, 134133460, 134133520, 134131190, 134133010, 134133240, 134132160, 134133220, 134131210, 134131270, 13413120, 134131420, 134131610, 134132080, 134132390, 134132451, 134132741, 134132760, 134132771, 134133030, 134133050, 134133341, 134133430, 134133451, 134133510, 134133620, 134132010, 134133021, 134133260, 134132710, 134131030, 134131050, 134131150, 134131170, 134131280, 13413130, 134131370, 134131410, 134131530, 13413150, 134131570, 134131660, 134132150, 134132410, 134132570, 134132970, 134133080, 134133170, 134133270, 134133300, 134132150, 134132410, 134132570, 134132890, 134131440, 134133091, 134133590, 134133500, 134132850, 134132920, 134133480, 134132890, 134132060, 134132250, 134132440, 134132540, 134132850, 134132920, 134133040, 134133100, 134133110, 134132250, 134133290, 134133550, 134132270, 134133241, 134133461, 134131140, 134132490, 134132210, 1341332701, 134132540, 134132270, 134132271, 134132341, 134132341, 134132380, 134132500, 134132400, 134133140, 134132400, 134133210, 134132701, 134132560, 134132270, 134132270, 134132321, 134132341, 134132380, 134132490, 134133140, 134132400, 134133461, 134131400, 134132400, 134133440, 134132400, 134133240, 134132500, 134132500, 134132400, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133460, 134133440, 134133440, 134133470, 134133560, 134133580, 134131341, 134131881, 134131600, 134132790, 134133121, 134131240, 134133500, 134133500, 134133291

Redwood Trailer Village: 1.53 acres. APNs:

## 054171060

## Sequoia Trailer Park: 4.61 acres. APNs:

054193020, 134182200, 134182320, 054193040, 134182270, 134182350, 134181450, 054193110

- 7. **Project Sponsor's Name and Address:** San Mateo County Planning and Building Department, 455 County Center, Redwood City CA 94063
- 8. General Plan Designation: See <u>Description of Project</u>, below.
- 9. Zoning: See <u>Description of Project</u>, below.

#### 10. Description of the Project:

The project is 1) a zoning text and map amendment, 2) a general plan text and map amendment, and 3) an amendment to San Mateo County's existing Trailer Camp Regulations.

The project consists of:

- A zoning text amendment, adopting a new Mobile Home Park (MH) zoning district as a new chapter of the San Mateo County Zoning Regulations
- A zoning map amendment applying the new MH zoning designation to six existing mobile home parks in unincorporated San Mateo County, replacing the existing zoning of each park in total
- In the case of three of those parks, a General Plan land use map amendment changing the underlying general plan land use designation of each park to Medium High Density Residential.
- An amendment to the County's Trailer Camp regulations, Chapter 5.92 of the County Ordinance Code, redacting this Chapter of the Ordinance Code in its entirety. The land use and permitting regulations included in those sections will be incorporated, in modified form, in the new MH zoning district regulations.

The mobile home parks (and the current zoning and General Plan land use designations) included in and affected by these proposed zoning and General Plan map and text amendments are the following:

**Bayshore Villa Manufactured Housing Community**, 3499 E Bayshore Road, Redwood City. Approximately 7.92 acres, zoned C-2/S-1 (commercial), General Plan land use designation High Density Residential.

**Trailer Villa**, 3401 E. Bayshore Road, Redwood City. Approximately 6.03 acres, zoned C-2/S-1 (commercial), General Plan land use designation High Density Residential.

**Belmont Trailer Park**, 100 Harbor Boulevard, Belmont. Approximately 3.19 acres, zoned C-2 (commercial), General Plan land use designation General Industrial.

**Pillar Ridge**, 164 Culebra, Moss Beach. Approximately 19.33 acres, zoned H-1 (highway frontage), General Plan land use designation Medium High Density Residential.

**Redwood Trailer Village**, 855 Barron Ave, Redwood City. Approximately 1.53 acres, zoned C-2/S-1 (commercial), General Plan land use designation Industrial Mixed Use.

**Sequoia Trailer Park**, 730 Barron Ave, Redwood City. Approximately 4.61 acres, zoned C-2/S-1, General Plan land use designation Industrial Mixed Use.

This project would change the zoning of each listed park from its current zoning designation to the new "MH" zoning designation, and would change the General Plan land use designation for Belmont Trailer Park, Redwood Trailer Village, and Sequoia Trailer Park from General Industrial or Industrial Mixed Use to Medium High Density Residential.

The new MH zoning regulations would apply the relevant standards for mobile homes and mobile home parks incorporated in:

- California Health and Safety Code, Division 13, Part 2 ("Mobile Homes Manufactured Housing"), Section 18000 et seq.,
- California Code of Regulations, Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 2, "Mobile Home Parks and Installations," and
- California Code of Regulations, Title 25, Division 1, Chapter 3, "Factory-Built Housing, Mobilehomes, and Manufactured Homes."

In addition, the new regulations establish that mobile home parks and mobile homes within them are the principally permitted use in the MH district. Uses that are ancillary to mobile home parks are conditionally permitted, as are transitional housing, supportive housing, and multifamily affordable housing with long-term affordability restrictions. The new zoning regulations also apply new height restrictions, and incorporate landscaping, fencing, and access requirements, and other general development standards previously included in the County's Trailer Camp regulations, Ordinance Code Chapter 5.92.

This adoption and application of the new MH zoning district, and change in general plan land use designation, are consistent with the existing, ongoing, and long-term use of these properties, and will not result in any change in or intensification of use, or any new development. The new zoning district will accommodate the ongoing use of the sites as mobile home parks, and the Medium High Density Residential land use category is consistent with the existing use and density of these sites. These changes do not require any new action or new development on the part of owners or residents of the existing mobile home parks. The proposed changes also do not legalize or formalize any existing zoning or building code violations or supersede or alter any existing violations of County or other regulations. The proposed project involves no new development, redevelopment, change of use, or other physical development of any kind.

# 11. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting:

<u>Bayshore Villa Manufactured Housing Community</u>, 3499 E Bayshore Road, Redwood City. This park is located in an unincorporated pocket of land surrounded by incorporated Redwood City, characterized by light industrial warehouse and office uses to the south, Highway 101 to the west, additional Redwood City trailer parks to the north, and salt reclamation ponds to the east. Across Highway 101 to the west, development is largely medium-density multifamily, and single-family residential. Apart from the salt reclamation facilities, all surrounding areas are entirely urbanized and developed.

<u>Trailer Villa</u>, 3401 E. Bayshore Road, Redwood City. This park is adjacent to with the Bayshore Villa park, and is characterized by the same setting and land uses.

<u>Belmont Trailer Park</u>, 100 Harbor Boulevard, Belmont. This park is surrounded by light industrial office and warehouse uses, and all surrounding areas are entirely urbanized and developed.

<u>Pillar Ridge</u>, 164 Culebra, Moss Beach. This park is in the County's Coastal Zone, directly adjacent to Pillar Point Bluff to the west, and the Half Moon Bay Airport to the east. Apart from the airport, all surrounding areas are undeveloped and characterized by grass, scrub, and coastal tree cover.

<u>Redwood Trailer Village</u>, 855 Barron Ave, Redwood City. This park is surrounded by light industrial warehouse and office uses, and all surrounding areas are entirely urbanized and developed.

<u>Sequoia Trailer Park</u>, 730 Barron Ave, Redwood City. This park is surrounded by light industrial warehouse and office uses, and all surrounding areas are entirely urbanized and developed.

## 12. Other Public Agencies Whose Approval is Required: N/A

# ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Significant Unless Mitigated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

Aesthetics	Climate Change	Population/Housing
Agricultural and Forest Resources	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Public Services
Air Quality	Hydrology/Water Quality	Recreation
Biological Resources	Land Use/Planning	Transportation/Traffic
Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities/Service Systems
Geology/Soils	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance

## **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in 5. below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5. Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration (Section 15063(c)(3)(D)). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7. Supporting Information Sources. Sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

		Potentially	Significant	Less Than	
		Significant Impacts	Unless Mitigated	Significant Impact	No Impact
1.a.	Have a significant adverse effect on a scenic vista, views from existing residen- tial areas, public lands, water bodies, or roads?				х
Discu	ussion:	·	· · · · ·		·
Sour	ce:				
1.b.	Significantly damage or destroy scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				x
Disc	ussion:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	*******	
Sour	ce:				
1.c.	Significantly degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings, including significant change in topography or ground surface relief features, and/or development on a ridgeline?				x
Disc	ussion:		·		
Sour	ce:				
1.d.	Create a new source of significant light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				X
Disc	ussion:	<u> </u>	•		·
Sour	ce:				
1.e.	Be adjacent to a designated Scenic Highway or within a State or County Scenic Corridor?				x
Disc	ussion:	<u></u>			
Sour	ce:				
1.f.	If within a Design Review District, conflict with applicable General Plan or Zoning Ordinance provisions?				x

	Discussion: Source:				
1.g.	Visually intrude into an area having natural scenic qualities?			х	
Discu Sour	ussion: ce:				

2.	AGRICULTURAL AND FOREST RESOURCES. In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the State's inventory of forestland, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
2.a.	For lands outside the Coastal Zone, convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- agricultural use?				X
Discu	ussion:				
Sour	ce:				
2.b.	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, an existing Open Space Easement, or a Williamson Act contract?				Х
Discu Sour	ussion: ce:		L		

2.c.	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use or conversion of forestland to non-forest use?	X
Discu	ussion:	
Sour	ce:	
2.d.	For lands within the Coastal Zone, convert or divide lands identified as Class I or Class II Agriculture Soils and Class III Soils rated good or very good for artichokes or Brussels sprouts?	X
Discu	ussion:	• · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sour	ce:	
2.e.	Result in damage to soil capability or loss of agricultural land?	x
Discu	ussion:	
Sour	ce:	
2.f.	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forestland (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?	X
	Note to reader: This question seeks to address the economic impact of converting forestland to a non- timber harvesting use.	
Discu	ussion:	<u></u>
Sour	ce:	

3.	<b>AIR QUALITY</b> . Where available, the signi quality management or air pollution contro determinations. Would the project:				
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
3.a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				x
Discu	ission:				
Sour	ce:				
3.b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute significantly to an existing or projected air quality violation?				x
Discu	ussion:				
Sour	ce:				
3.c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				X
Discu	ussion:	<u> </u>			
Sour	ce:				
3.d.	Expose sensitive receptors to significant pollutant concentrations, as defined by BAAQMD?				x
Discu	ussion:	<u>.</u>		·····	<u></u>
Sour	ce:				
3.e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a significant number of people?				x
Discu	ussion:				
Sour	ce:				

3.f.	Generate pollutants (hydrocarbon, thermal odor, dust or smoke particulates, radiation, etc.) that will violate existing standards of air quality on-site or in the surrounding area?				х	
	Discussion: Source:					

		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
4.a.	Have a significant adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Depart- ment of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
Discu	ission:	·			•
Sourc	ce:				
4.b.	Have a significant adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				x
Discu	ussion:		I	I	1
Sour	ce:				
4.c.	Have a significant adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				×

Sourc	ce:	
4.d.	Interfere significantly with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	X
Discu	ission:	
Sourc	ce:	
4.e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordi- nances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance (including the County Heritage and Significant Tree Ordinances)?	X
Discu	ission:	
Sourc	ce:	
4.f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan?	X
Discu	ission:	3
Sourc	ce:	
4.g.	Be located inside or within 200 feet of a marine or wildlife reserve?	x
Discu	ission:	•
Sourc	ce:	
4.h.	Result in loss of oak woodlands or other non-timber woodlands?	x
Discu	ission:	
Sourc	ce:	

## Attachment D

5.	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the p	roject:			
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
5.a.	Cause a significant adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Section 15064.5?				X
Discu	ussion:			L	
Sour	ce:				
5.b.	Cause a significant adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Section 15064.5?				X
Discu	ussion:				
Sour	ce:				
5.c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				X
Discu	ussion:				•
Sour	ce:				
5.d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				X
Discu	ussion:		1	1	1
Sour	ce:				

6.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
6.a.	Expose people or structures to potential significant adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving the following, or create a situation that results in:				Х	

i.	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other significant evidence of a known fault? Note: Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42 and the County Geotechnical Hazards Synthesis Map.				X
Discussi	ion:				
Source:					
ii.	Strong seismic ground shaking?				Х
Discussi	ion:		I	<u> </u>	
Source:					
iii	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and differential settling?				Х
Discussi	ion:	·			
Source:					
iv	v. Landslides?				X
Discussi	ion:		J	1	I
Source:					
V.	. Coastal cliff/bluff instability or erosion? Note to reader: This question is looking at instability under current conditions. Future, potential instability is looked at in Section 7 (Climate Change).				X
Discussi			<u> </u>		
Discussi Source:	юп.				
	Result in significant soil erosion or the oss of topsoil?				x
Discuss	ion:	·	•		
Source:					
th	Be located on a geologic unit or soil nat is unstable, or that would become nstable as a result of the project, and				X

	potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, severe erosion, liquefaction or collapse?				
Discu Sourc	ussion: ce:				<u> </u>
6.d.	Be located on expansive soil, as noted in the 2010 California Building Code, creating significant risks to life or property?				×
Discu	ussion:				•
Sour	ce:				
6.e.	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?				x
Discu	ussion:	I	U	_ <b>I</b>	1
Sour	ce:				

7.	CLIMATE CHANGE. Would the project:				
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
7.a.	Generate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (including methane), either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				×
Discu	ussion:	<u> </u>	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Sour	ce:				
7.b.	Conflict with an applicable plan (including a local climate action plan), policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				X
Discu	ussion:	-l	J		I
Sour	ce.				

7.c.	Result in the loss of forestland or conversion of forestland to non-forest use, such that it would release signifi- cant amounts of GHG emissions, or significantly reduce GHG sequestering?				X
Discu	ission:				
Sourc	ce:				
7.d.	Expose new or existing structures and/or infrastructure (e.g., leach fields) to accelerated coastal cliff/bluff erosion due to rising sea levels?				x
Discu	ussion:				
Sour	ce:				
7.e.	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving sea level rise?				Х
Discu	ussion:			•	
Sour	ce:				
7.f.	Place structures within an anticipated 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				x
Discu	ussion:	L	•	•	
Sour	ce:				
7.g.	Place within an anticipated 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?				x
Discu	ussion:	1			L
Sour	ce:				

		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
8.a.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials (e.g., pesticides, herbicides, other toxic substances, or radioactive material)?				x
Discu	ission:	· · · · ·			
Sourc	ce:				
8.b.	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident condi- tions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				x
Discu	ission:		<u></u>	<u></u>	
Sour	ce:				
8.c.	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				x
Discu	ussion:			4	
Sour	ce:				
8.d.	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				×
Discu	ussion:	I	L	I	ł
Sour	ce:				

8.e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within 2 miles of a public airport or public use airport, result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			x
Discu	ission:			
Sourc	ce:			
8.f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			x
Discu	ission:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sourc	ce:			
8.g.	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			x
Discu	ission:			
Sourc	ce:			
8.h.	Expose people or structures to a signifi- cant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?			Х
Discu	ussion:	L		
Sour	ce:			
8.i.	Place housing within an existing 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?			X
Discu	ussion:			
Sour	ce:			
8.j.	Place within an existing 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?			х

Discussion: Source:						
8.k.	Expose people or structures to a signifi- cant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?			X		
Discu	ussion:					
Sour	ce:					
8.I.	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			х		
Discu	ussion:	<u>ı                                    </u>	I.	·		
Sour	ce:					

9.	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.	Vould the proj	ect:		
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
9.a.	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements (consider water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity and other typical stormwater pollutants (e.g., heavy metals, pathogens, petroleum derivatives, synthetic organics, sediment, nutrients, oxygen-demanding substances, and trash))?				X
	ussion:	· · · · · ·		•	•
Sour	ce:				
9.b.	Significantly deplete groundwater supplies or interfere significantly with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				X

Discu	ission:			
Sourc	ce:			
9.c.	Significantly alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in significant erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			х
Discu	ission:			
Sourc	ce:			
9.d.	Significantly alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or significantly increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?			Х
Discu	ission:			 
Sourc	ce:			
9.e.	Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide significant additional sources of polluted runoff?			Х
Discu	ission:	L	·	 
Sourc	ce:			
9.f.	Significantly degrade surface or ground- water water quality?			Х
Discu	ussion:	L	<b>-</b>	
Sour	ce:			
9.g.	Result in increased impervious surfaces and associated increased runoff?			Х
Discu	ussion:	<b></b>		
Sour	ce:			

10.	LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the	project:			
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
10.a.	Physically divide an established community?				x
Discu	ssion:		•	<b></b>	•
Sourc	e:				
10.b.	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				x
Discu	ssion:	·		•	
Sourc	:e:				
10.c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				X
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	ce:				
10.d.	Result in the congregating of more than 50 people on a regular basis?				×
Discu	ssion:	- <u>-</u>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	• -
Sourc	ce:				
10.e.	Result in the introduction of activities not currently found within the community?				x
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	ce:				
10.f.	Serve to encourage off-site development of presently undeveloped areas or increase development intensity of already developed areas (examples include the introduction of new or expanded public utilities, new industry,				X

commercial facilities or recreation activities)?		
Discussion: Source:		
10.g. Create a significant new demand for housing?		x
Discussion: Source:		- <u></u> -

11.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the proje	ect:			
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
11.a.	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State?				×
Discu	ssion:		• • • • • •		<u></u>
Sourc	e:				
11.b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				X
Discu	ssion:	I <u> </u>	<u>I</u>		. <b>l</b>
Sourc	:e:				

12.	NOISE. Would the project result in:						
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
12.a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				X		

Discus	ssion:			,	
Sourc	e:				
12.b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?				Х
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	e:				
12.c.	A significant permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				x
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	e:				
12.d.	A significant temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				x
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	e:				
12.e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within 2 miles of a public airport or public use airport, exposure to people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
 Discu	ssion:	I	1		
Sourc	e:				
12.f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, exposure to people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				Х
	ssion:	·	•	<u>.</u>	·
Sourc	;e:		<u> </u>		<u></u>

13.	POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the	ne project:			
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
13.a.	Induce significant population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through exten- sion of roads or other infrastructure)?				x
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	ce:				
13.b.	Displace existing housing (including low- or moderate-income housing), in an area that is substantially deficient in housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
Discu	ission:	L	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Sourc	ce:				

14. **PUBLIC SERVICES**. Would the project result in significant adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
14.a.	Fire protection?				Х
14.b.	Police protection?				х
14.c.	Schools?				х
14.d.	Parks?				Х
14.e.	Other public facilities or utilities (e.g., hospitals, or electrical/natural gas supply systems)?				Х
Discu					
Sourc	e:				

15.	<b>RECREATION.</b> Would the project:				
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
15.a.	Increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that significant physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				x
Discu	ssion:				•
Sourc	e:				
15.b.	Include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				x
Discu	ssion:	L	1	1	1
Sourc	ce:				

16.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would th	e project:			
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
16.a.	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordi- nance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including, but not limited to, intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				X
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	:e:				
16.b.	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not				x

		····		r	
	limited to, level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the County congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
Discu	ssion:			1	
Sourc	e:				
16.c.	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in significant safety risks?				Х
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	e:				
16.d.	Significantly increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				x
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	e:				
16.e.	Result in inadequate emergency access?				X
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	e:				
16.f.	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				X
Discu	ssion:	L	I	I	Į
Sourc					
16.g.	Cause noticeable increase in pedestrian traffic or a change in pedestrian patterns?				X
Discu	ssion:				-
Sourc	e:				
16.h.	Result in inadequate parking capacity?				X
	· · · · · ·	l	l	<u> </u>	I

Discussion:

Source:

17.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. W	ould the proje	ct:		
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
17.a.	Exceed wastewater treatment require- ments of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				X
Discu	ssion:	<b>-</b>	I	L	
Sourc	e:				
17.b.	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				x
Discu	ssion:	·	·		
Sourc	e:				
17.c.	Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				x
Discu	ssion:	J	I <u> </u>	L	
Sourc	:e:				
17.d.	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitle- ments and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				×
Discu	ssion:	_I	I	I	L
Sourc	:e:				

17.e.	Result in a determination by the waste- water treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?			X
Discu	ssion:			
Sourc	e:			
17.f.	Be served by a landfill with insufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			х
Discu	ssion:			
Sourc	e:			
17.g.	Comply with Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			Х
Discu	ssion:	•		
Sourc	e:			
17.h.	Be sited, oriented, and/or designed to minimize energy consumption, including transportation energy; incorporate water conservation and solid waste reduction measures; and incorporate solar or other alternative energy sources?			X
Discu	ssion:			
Sourc	e:			
17.i.	Generate any demands that will cause a public facility or utility to reach or exceed its capacity?			X
Discu	ssion:			
Sourc	e:			

18.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICA	NCE.			
		Potentially Significant Impacts	Significant Unless Mitigated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
18.a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, significantly reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				X
Discu	ssion:	<u>.</u>	L	I	I
Sourc	:e:				
18.b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively consider- able" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)				X
Discu	ssion:				
Sourc	:e:				
18.c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause significant adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				×
Discu	ssion:	L	•	•	L
Sourc	:e:				

**RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES**. Check what agency has permit authority or other approval for the project.

AGENCY	YES	NO	TYPE OF APPROVAL
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (CE)		Х	
State Water Resources Control Board		Х	
Regional Water Quality Control Board		X	
State Department of Public Health		X	
San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC)		x	
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)		x	
County Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC)		X	
CalTrans		Х	
Bay Area Air Quality Management District		X	
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service		X	
Coastal Commission		X	
City		X	
Sewer/Water District:		X	
Other:			

MITIGATION MEASURES				
	Yes	No		
Mitigation measures have been proposed in project application.		Х		
Other mitigation measures are needed.		Х		

The following measures are included in the project plans or proposals pursuant to Section 15070(b)(1) of the State CEQA Guidelines:

**DETERMINATION** (to be completed by the Lead Agency).

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

Х

I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared by the Planning Department.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there WILL NOT be a significant effect in this case because of the mitigation measures in the discussion have been included as part of the proposed project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

(Signature) 20 26 Planner III (Title)

Initial Study Checklist 10.17.2013.docx

Date