Addt'l Written Comments rec'd after 5pm deadline

From: dave_lms@icloud.com
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2026 5:36 PM
To: SMC_SupMueller; senator.becker@senate.ca.gov; assemblymember.berman@assembly.ca.gov; krista.wunsche@mail.house.gov
Subject: Petition: slow our mountain highways (35, 84, 9) down before the next crash

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

I've lived in La Honda 40+ years. The road's especially Highways 84 and 35 have become noticeably much more dangerous. This needs to be addressed ASAP.

Just today 9/14/2026 a friend and I were in the turnout across from Fogerty Winery when a Porsche probably exceeded 100 MPH while passing three cars that we estimated were going about 60 MPH. That is just one of many dangerous and reckless situations that I've witnessed.

Something should also be done about the almost noise from loud cars and motorcycles on the weekends.

With urgency,
David Crane — La Honda

I'm one of the signers of the Safe Corridor petition for Highways 35, 84 and 9.
Everything behind this — the data, the map, the specific fixes:
https://safecorridorinitiative.com/?utm_source=email&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=petition

Sent from my iPad

From: Bryan Trujillo <grumpyoldbryan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2026 8:33 AM
To: Tory Newman
Cc: CEO_BoardFeedback; Khoa Vo; Julian Maldonado; Krzysztof Lisaj; Carter Choi; Nick Montes
Subject: Re: Non agenda item

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Good Morning Tory Newman,
Thank you for your quick response.
I'm sorry I missed you during your site visit. Among other things, I would have been happy to show you the attempts another neighbor and I have made over the years hand digging and maintaining a trench, in the other direction, to try to bypass the blockage on Marine Boulevard. This has had only limited effect, however, and now we need your urgent help.
I plan to attend (via zoom) the supervisors' meeting today to add a few more thoughts.
I very much appreciate your attention in this matter.
Best Regards,
Bryan Trujillo
1065 Park Way
Moss Beach, CA 94038
650-678-6417

> On Sep 14, 2026, at 4:56 PM, Tory Newman <tnewman@smcgov.org> wrote:
>
> Good afternoon,
>
> Thank you for the email and the detailed information regarding potential ponding/flooding on your property. I visited the site this morning to get a better sense of the topography, drainage ditches, and improvements in the area. We will review this information and provide a more detailed response to you within the next week.
>
> If there are any questions or further information required, please don't hesitate to contact me.
>
> Thank you in advance for your time.
>
> Best regards,
>
> Tory Newman | Director

County of San Mateo | Public Works
555 County Center | 5th Flr. | Redwood City | CA | 94063
Direct 650.599.7390 | Cell 650.207.9680
tnewman@smcgov.org

From: Bryan Trujillo <grumpyoldbryan@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, September 14, 2026 8:20 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback <boardfeedback@smcgov.org>
Cc: Tory Newman <tnewman@smcgov.org>; Khoa Vo <DVO1@smcgov.org>; Julian Maldonado <jurodriguez@smcgov.org>; Krzysztof Lisaj <klisaj@smcgov.org>; Carter Choi <cchoi@smcgov.org>; Nick Montes <nmontes@smcgov.org>
Subject: Non agenda item

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisor Mueller,

I am writing today about obstruction to the flow of storm water in the right of way along Marine boulevard at Park Way in Moss Beach.

This obstruction threatens to flood the inside of our home at 1065 Park Way.

Every winter the water comes further and higher into our yard. During two storms last season, on November 17 and January 4/5, I marked the high water mark along the path to our front door. I purchased a laser level, and to my alarm measured that the water had come within 11/16 of an inch vertically of the floor level inside our house.

Over the years, I have spoken and emailed with many people at public works about this issue. Most recently with Mr. Julian Maldonado, who has told me that he will deal with it and get a crew out. I have also included Tory Newman, Khoa Vo, Krzysztof Lisaj, Nick Montes, and Carter Choi in my emails.
I need to confirm that my urgent concerns are being addressed.

I can no longer afford to wait patiently, I must follow up and find out if there is any plan to address this, as this winter is forecast to be severe.

It is my belief and observation that if the water is allowed to flow past the corner, as it was in the past, and along the ditch in front of 200 Marine blvd, there is enough slope that it will continue and flow to the northeast under airport street, along the ditch(es) of old Marine Boulevard (on airport property-which have quite a good slope), where from both sides it enters a culvert draining into San Vicente creek. These ditches are periodically cleared by airport staff…and myself.

Over the years I have attempted to reason with the neighbor on the corner, at the aforementioned address, to no avail. Also, it seems that the neighbor manages to somehow convince any county workers, if they attempt to clear the ditch and maintain flow, that they will maintain it themselves. But they don't, to any effective degree, and every year they encroach more and more *beyond* the ditch, onto the travelled right of way, with soil, decorative driftwood, and plantings (including, now, pine trees!). This creates a dam effect, every year higher, which causes the water to back up upstream, along Marine Boulevard and Park Way, flooding the roads (creating a hazard) and deep into our yard, approaching our door.
Again, every year it gets worse.

I have not, for a few years, in any way attempted to clear the ditch that borders the corner property as I had in the past. When I did so, I believed that it not only benefited us, but the neighborhood in general. I have since respected the neighbor's wishes and not attempted to improve or maintain flow in that direction.

With the height of the water I measured last winter, and potential of a heavy winter coming, I am trying to get ahead of this.

Please help me solve this **before** flood water enters our home this winter.

Please see two attached photos from Jan 4, 2025.

Respectfully,
Bryan Trujillo
1065 Park Way
Moss Beach, CA 94038
650-678-6417

From: Brenna J. Gano <brennajmcnab@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2026 9:35 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Written Public Comment
Attachments: 20260915 SMC Freeze&Audt Request.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear San Mateo County Board of Supervisors,

Please include the attached in the administrative record of today's meeting. Thank you.

Best,
Brenna J. Gano McNab
T: 415.225.6173
Moss Beach, California

REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY OVERSIGHT, RECORD PRESERVATION, AND FEDERAL FREEZE & AUDIT

Family Court • Child Safety • ADA Compliance • Public-Fund Integrity

September 15, 2026

To: San Mateo County Board of Supervisors

Re: Request for independent oversight, federal referral, and audit of family-court related funding, practices, records, and child outcomes

Dear President and Members of the Board:

I am writing as a San Mateo County resident, a mother, a domestic-violence survivor, and a person with disabilities protected by the Americans with Disabilities Act. I am asking this Board to recognize an urgent issue that extends beyond the outcome of any single family-law case: children are being harmed while substantial public and private dollars flow through systems built around prolonged family conflict.

Children cannot become the revenue model.

I have spent more than eight years in the San Mateo County family-court system. I entered that system as my son's longtime primary caregiver. Today, my son is away at college and completely estranged from me. My experience has forced me to ask a larger question: are governmental systems financially rewarding prolonged conflict, family separation, enforcement activity, compulsory treatment, and repeated professional intervention while failing to measure whether children are actually safer or healthier?

That question demands an independent answer.

1. Documented Record and Filing Irregularities

I previously submitted a government complaint concerning significant irregularities in my own case. Those concerns include substantial clerical and filing problems, disputed Family Court Services records, serious questions about the processes that altered the relationship between my son and me, and a judgment that I contend was entered despite significant statutory and procedural deficiencies and that I am currently attempting to overturn through the courts.

One issue is particularly serious: my court-ordered child custody evaluator attempted to file his evaluation with the court three separate times, yet the report never became part of the court record. A court-appointed custody evaluation can materially affect judicial decisions concerning a child's relationship with a parent. The repeated failure of such a report to enter the record is not a trivial clerical matter; it raises questions about record integrity, docketing, judicial access to evidence, and accountability.

This occurred alongside other documented filing irregularities in my case, including seventeen pages missing from one of my filings. Taken together, these events warrant an independent examination of how family-court records are received, scanned, docketed, preserved, transmitted to judicial officers, and corrected when material is missing.

I have also raised concerns regarding interactions among attorneys, Family Court Services personnel, and private professionals at critical stages of the proceeding. I believe those relationships and communications require independent examination rather than being dismissed as the complaints of a dissatisfied litigant. Denial of a government claim does not resolve the underlying public-integrity questions.

2. Significant ADA and Disability-Access Concerns

My experience has also involved serious disability-access concerns. I have documented disabilities for which I sought accommodations while navigating extraordinarily complex, high-stakes proceedings affecting my child, my home, my financial security, and my fundamental relationship with my son.

The ADA is not satisfied merely because a courthouse has an accommodation procedure on paper. Title II requires meaningful access to governmental programs, services, and activities. For a disabled litigant, that access can become

illusory when proceedings are repeatedly reassigned, procedural complexity escalates, accommodation requests are denied or inadequately addressed, critical records are incomplete, hearings proceed without a meaningful opportunity to present evidence, or sanctions and procedural disadvantages compound the very disability for which accommodation was requested.

The appropriate question is not simply whether a person with a disability was physically permitted to enter the courthouse. The question is whether that person had equal and meaningful access to justice. Where disability-access failures affect custody, family relationships, support, housing stability, and parental rights, the consequences can be permanent.

3. This Is About Children - and the Outcomes Are Alarming

I attended San Mateo County Domestic Violence Council meetings for more than a year. What concerns me most is that the stories I have heard and witnessed do not appear isolated. Families describe prolonged litigation, extraordinary financial depletion, children placed into layers of court-connected treatment, evaluators and therapeutic interventions, children becoming estranged from primary caregivers, and litigation continuing for years while children's psychological condition deteriorates and their exposure to adverse childhood experiences increases.

The human consequences are no longer theoretical. I am aware of multiple families whose cases involved overlapping professionals within this system. A child has recently died. An adult child from another family has also died. In other cases, children and young adults are reported to be self-harming, experiencing serious mental-health deterioration, or becoming estranged from the parent who had been their primary caregiver.

I am not asking this Board to presume, without investigation, that any one professional or court proceeding legally caused a particular death. I am asking something more basic and more urgent: when multiple families pass through overlapping networks of attorneys, evaluators, therapists, custody professionals, and court processes - and children emerge estranged from primary caregivers, psychologically distressed, self-harming, or dying - who is tracking the outcomes and investigating whether the system itself is contributing to preventable harm?

Who conducts mortality and serious-incident review?

Who examines whether the same professionals repeatedly appear in cases with catastrophic child outcomes?

Who measures child mental-health outcomes after court-ordered custody changes and therapeutic interventions?

Who compares those outcomes against public expenditures, private professional fees, and the duration of litigation?

At present, families are expected to treat each tragedy as an isolated event. That is precisely why independent data collection and investigation are necessary.

4. The Cash-for-Kids Incentive Problem

The broader concern is structural: prolonged family conflict can generate repeated hearings, evaluations, therapeutic interventions, supervised services, enforcement activity, and professional fees. When a system generates more activity and more revenue as conflict deepens, government has an obligation to examine whether the incentives are aligned with child safety, family stability, and lawful process.

Families increasingly describe this dynamic as "cash for kids." I use that phrase to identify an incentive problem that requires investigation - not to accuse every judge, lawyer, therapist, evaluator, or government employee of wrongdoing. The concern is that prolonged conflict can produce more billable and reimbursable activity, while preserving a healthy parent-child bond and resolving cases efficiently may generate comparatively little economic activity.

Children cannot become the revenue model. The measure of success must be whether children are safer, more stable, and less traumatized when they leave the system than when they entered it.

5. Request for an Immediate Freeze, Audit, and Independent Investigation

Given the seriousness of the reported outcomes and the unresolved questions concerning record integrity, disability access, professional networks, public funding, and child safety, I am asking the County to support an immediate freeze and audit of relevant family-court-connected funding and practices where expenditures, referrals, billing, or underlying proceedings cannot be independently verified.

The purpose of a freeze is not to interrupt lawful support owed to children or families. It is to prevent unverified public funds from continuing to flow through potentially defective processes while independent reviewers determine whether records, billing, referrals, and underlying orders are valid, lawful, and properly documented.

The audit should be independent, forensic, and multidisciplinary, with authority to follow both public and private money, preserve electronic evidence, identify repeat professional relationships, examine disability-access failures, and measure actual outcomes for children and families.

6. Specific Actions Requested

- Immediate preservation of records and metadata: Preserve relevant court-administration records, Family Court Services records, intake and filing logs, rejected or omitted submissions, custody evaluations, electronic audit trails, correspondence, referral records, contracts, billing records, and other metadata necessary for independent review.
- Independent record-integrity audit: Examine missing filings, missing pages, custody evaluations or other evidence submitted or attempted to be submitted but not entered into the record, docketing anomalies, scanning failures, and whether judicial officers had access to the complete evidentiary record.
- Freeze and forensic funding audit: Request a temporary freeze or heightened pre-payment verification of relevant family-court-connected public funding streams that cannot be independently verified, and conduct a forensic audit tracing the origin, authorization, certification, matching, reimbursement, expenditure, and ultimate recipient of those funds.
- Professional-network and referral review: Identify repeat appointments and referrals involving evaluators, therapists, reunification providers, supervised-visitation providers, attorneys, custody professionals, and other court-connected actors; examine potential financial, referral, or organizational conflicts; and compare those networks against case outcomes.
- ADA Title II investigation: Review whether disabled parents receive meaningful and effective access to family-court programs and proceedings, including the handling of accommodation requests, continuances, communication access, procedural complexity, sanctions, and the effect of disability-related barriers on the ability to present evidence and participate meaningfully.
- Child-outcomes and serious-incident review: Identify cases involving child or young-adult deaths, suicide attempts, self-harm, psychiatric hospitalization, serious mental-health deterioration, complete parent-child estrangement, and other severe adverse outcomes; determine whether the same professionals or practices recur across those cases.
- Adverse Childhood Experiences review: Examine whether family-court practices are increasing children's exposure to ACEs through prolonged conflict, loss of a primary caregiver relationship, repeated adversarial interventions, instability, coercive treatment, family separation, or other traumatic experiences.
- Financial-harm review: Measure the financial depletion of families, including litigation duration, professional fees, loss of housing, depletion of retirement or savings, and whether financial pressure itself becomes a mechanism affecting parental access or case outcomes.
- Independent reporting channel: Establish a secure process through which affected families, professionals, and whistleblowers can report record irregularities, disability-access failures, billing concerns, conflicts, child-safety issues, and serious adverse outcomes without fear that each complaint will be treated as an isolated anecdote.
- State and federal referral: Refer credible evidence and systemic findings to appropriate state and federal oversight, civil-rights, program-integrity, inspector-general, and law-enforcement authorities where jurisdiction exists.

7. What I Am Asking San Mateo County to Do

I recognize that the Board of Supervisors does not sit as an appellate court and cannot reverse a judicial ruling. I am not asking the Board to do so. I am asking the County to use every lawful oversight tool available to protect children and the public: preserve evidence; investigate County-connected conduct and funding; examine Family Court Services and related administrative practices; review ADA compliance; identify contracts, expenditures, referral structures, and repeat professional networks; collect child-outcome data; and make formal referrals to appropriate state and federal authorities.

The County should also begin collecting and publicly reporting outcome data that should already matter to any child-serving system:

- How many children become estranged from a parent after entering the system?
- How many children are ordered into therapy, supervised visitation, reunification programs, or psychiatric treatment?
- How much do families pay to court-connected professionals?
- How many proceedings last five years or ten years?
- How many parents lose homes, exhaust retirement accounts, or become financially insolvent?
- How many children attempt suicide, engage in self-harm, require psychiatric hospitalization, or die?
- How often do the same professionals appear across cases with severe adverse outcomes?

8. Children Cannot Be the Revenue Model

The most important measure of a family-court system cannot be how many cases it processes, how much money it collects, how many services it orders, or how much professional and reimbursable activity it generates. The measure must be what happens to children.

Children in this county are being reported as increasingly self-harming, experiencing serious mental-health deterioration, becoming estranged from primary caregivers, and accumulating additional adverse childhood experiences in the course of prolonged family-court involvement. A child has recently died, and an adult child from another family has also died. These cases involved overlapping professionals with other families reporting severe outcomes. That pattern requires immediate independent examination.

Freeze what cannot be verified.
Preserve the records.
Follow the money.
Audit the professional networks.
Investigate ADA violations.
Measure the mental-health and ACE outcomes.
Review the deaths and serious incidents.
Protect the children.

The County does not need to determine today that a particular professional or court process legally caused a death or a child's psychiatric injury. It does need to recognize that repeated catastrophic outcomes involving overlapping professionals, missing records, disability-access concerns, family estrangement, self-harm, and severe mental-health deterioration create a duty to investigate patterns rather than dismiss each family as an isolated case.

We should not have to wait for another child to die before these questions are independently examined.

I respectfully request that the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors place these concerns into the public record; order or request immediate preservation of relevant records; initiate all review within its lawful authority; and refer the matter for independent state and federal investigation, including a freeze and forensic audit of unverified family-court-connected funding and practices.

Respectfully,

Brenna J. Gano McNab
San Mateo County, California

From: Ren CMDM <rencmdm@proton.me>
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2026 9:50 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Cc: Caiti Busch; Noelia Corzo
Subject: Written Public Comment, September 15, 2026, Domestic Violence and Child Safety Systemic Review

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Members of the Board:

I am submitting this written comment to supplement the General Public Comment I delivered during today's meeting.

This is not a request for the Board to interfere with individual Family Court judges, judicial decisions, or the independent authority of the Family Court system. It is a request for the Board to exercise oversight over the County systems that intersect with Family Court. Judicial independence does not eliminate County responsibility for child welfare, public safety, domestic violence prevention, County administration, and cross-system coordination.

As I stated today, the common denominator is what happens when serious warning signs cross institutional boundaries and no one takes responsibility for the cumulative picture.

I first raised these concerns in writing on June 19. On June 29, Deputy County Attorney Caiti Busch expressly recognized that I was asking whether these matters were being reviewed holistically to determine whether the system is functioning as intended, and stated that she referred my correspondence to the County Executive's Office.

I followed up again on September 3, requesting a substantive response, or identification of the responsible County official, by September 10. That deadline passed without a substantive response. Nearly three months after my original request, the County still has not identified who is responsible for this systemic review.

The Justin Martin children are alive. The opportunity for prevention exists today.

Please exercise the Board's oversight authority, refer these matters for systemic review, and identify the County entity responsible for connecting cumulative domestic violence and child safety warning signs before another child or parent dies.

Please include this written comment in today's administrative record and confirm receipt.

Respectfully,

Renata DeMello