Written Comments for

Regular Public Comment Section

- Consent Agenda

- Non Agenda Items

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: rhondareyna27@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 6, 2025 6:14 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Agenda Item 21: May 6, 2025 Board of Supervisors Meeting

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Children were nearly abducted from two schools using the same fraudulent tactics used to try and steal my daughter—except this time, the children knew how to protect themselves because of my case.

Read the facts:



No Warrant. No Order. Just Lies. — The San Marcos School Ambush Exposing Family Court Fraud
fatherandco.substack.com

Supervisor Noelia Corzo is obstructing public testimony at Domestic Violence Council meetings because survivors are exposing the fraud behind ADR family court and rejecting a new Family Justice Center—set to be led by District Attorney Stephen Wagstaffe, who has been maliciously prosecuting protective parents.

The Violence Against Women Act mandates these councils through OVW and CalOES. I've read the documents. Dissolving the Council to install a profit-driven "Justice Center" is a blatant First Amendment violation—meant to silence survivors and protect the very institutions enabling abuse.

This is a disgrace—and San Mateo taxpayers are footing the bill.

Rhonda Reyna
Citizen, Witness and Advocate for Constitutional Justice

Sent from my iPhone

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: rhondareyna27@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, May 6, 2025 5:16 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Public Comment May 6, 2025 Board of Supervisors Meeting

---

—

San Mateo County Board of Supervisors Public Comment
Meeting Date: May 6, 2025
Subject: Emergency Redress – Abuse of Power by the District Attorney's Office
Regarding Case No.: 24-SF-016607-A (Defendant: David Khan)

—

Problem:

Egregious Abuse of Power by District Attorney Stephen M. Wagstaffe

Urgent Request:

Dismiss all charges against David Khan (Case No. 24-SF-016607-A)

—

Dear Members of the Board,

I submit this public comment because this case represents a **constitutional emergency** and an **abuse of administrative power**that must be immediately addressed.

On January 14, 2025, District Attorney Stephen Wagstaffe filed what he called a "Felony Information" against David Khan. The charging document is **so vague, conclusory, and lacking in evidence**, it reads more like a theatrical script than a valid criminal complaint.

—

Why This Case Fails Legal and Constitutional Standards:

1. **No Specific Threat Was Alleged**

The charging document accuses Mr. Khan of violating PC 422(a), but fails to include any actual quote or threat. DDA Brian Donnellan provided only vague word salad—no time, no place, no specific conduct. This would be laughable if it weren't being used to jail a man indefinitely.

2. **No Evidence or Corroboration**

The District Attorney presents **zero evidence**—no texts, no recordings, no witnesses. In contrast, there are multiple video recordings of my ex-partner strangling me in public, and 911 calls from witnesses. The DA never filed charges for those actual crimes.

3. **Weaponized Fear Without Proof**

The DA claims that DDA Donnellan was in "sustained fear" based on an alleged statement so vague it defies analysis. But the law requires fear to be *reasonable and sustained*, not just claimed.

4. **No Grand Jury Indictment – Violates the 5th Amendment**

A Grand Jury indictment is constitutionally required for felony charges. The DA bypassed this safeguard and filed an "Information" instead—**a shortcut that enables abuse**. Mr. Khan has been jailed without indictment or jury trial—this is unconstitutional.

5. **Due Process Violations Under the 6th Amendment**

Mr. Khan is entitled to a **speedy trial by an impartial jury of his peers**, not indefinite detention based on hearsay. The DA is attempting to coerce a plea through prolonged incarceration and psychological pressure—**a tactic commonly used in ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) systems** where there is no real judicial oversight.

6. **4th Amendment Violation – Illegal Search and Seizure**

The Public Defender, Abby Halden-Fisk, admitted to accessing David Khan's private computer and phone and reading his confidential communications without a warrant. This is a violation of attorney-client privilege and Rhonda Reyna's privacy, as her messages were included. This is a **clear 4th Amendment violation**.

—

The Broader Context:

This case is not just a rogue prosecution—it is a **symptom of a deeper problem**. Post-1990, courts like San Mateo's began operating under **Alternative Dispute Resolution models**, enabling prosecutors to bypass judicial safeguards. The use of **recommendations instead of rulings**, **coerced pleas instead of trials**, and **secret proceedings instead of open courts** all point to a **privatized, administrative model** masquerading as justice.

—

Urgent Constitutional Concerns:

- Violation of the **4th Amendment** (illegal access to private devices)
- Violation of the **5th Amendment** (no Grand Jury indictment)
- Violation of the **6th Amendment** (no speedy jury trial)
- Violation of the **14th Amendment** (lack of due process)
- Abuse of administrative authority via **ADR-style coercion**

—

Conclusion:

David Khan is not just being prosecuted—he is being persecuted through a system that **has no lawful basis**. This case must be dismissed, and all devices unlawfully taken must be returned.

Mr. Khan's life is in danger. He has been physically attacked in custody and remains unlawfully jailed without trial. This is state violence in slow motion—and the public is watching.

I urge this Board to investigate this abuse and call for the immediate release of David Khan.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Reyna
Citizen, Witness, and Advocate for Constitutional Justice

Sent from my iPhone

Written Public Comment(s)

for **Item No. 9**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Kique Bazan <kique@alasdreams.com>
Sent: Monday, May 5, 2025 11:05 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Support for Agenda Item 9 – $2 Million for Farm Labor Housing Loan Program

---

Luis Enrique Bazan
Ayudando Latinos a Soñar
Half Moon Bay, CA.
kique@alasdreams.com
May 5th, 2025

San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

RE: Support for Agenda Item 9 – $2 Million for Farm Labor Housing Loan Program

Dear Supervisors,

I write in strong support of Agenda Item 9 — the $2 million allocation to relaunch the County's Farm Labor Housing Loan Program.

This program comes at a critical time. For years, those of us working alongside farmworker communities, especially in Half Moon Bay, have seen the toll that the housing crisis continues to take. Families live in overcrowded units, in converted garages, in mobile homes without basic safety standards — and yet these are the very workers who sustain our region's food system and economy.

While it's common to hear public statements in support of affordable housing, the reality on the ground tells a different story. There is a persistent NIMBY resistance — often from those who claim to support affordable housing in theory, but oppose it in practice. They offer no viable solutions, only roadblocks. We see it in stalled projects, in opposition to rezoning, and in meetings where people speak the language of equity but work to preserve the status quo. This kind of contradiction continues to prevent the housing our communities urgently need.

What we've seen time and again is that progress only happens when the County takes the lead. When San Mateo County invests directly — with funding, staff time, and policy support — things begin to shift. The Farm Labor Housing Loan Program is a concrete example of that leadership. It's a commitment not just to solving a problem, but to treating farmworkers with the dignity and respect they've long been denied.

Housing solutions on the Coastside will not move forward without this kind of bold, resource-backed action. I urge you to approve this item and to continue building real pathways toward equitable, dignified housing — not just in words, but through sustained investment.

Sincerely,

Luis Enrique Bazán

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: joanne.rokosky@comcast.net
Sent: Tuesday, May 6, 2025 6:45 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Item #9

Honorable Supervisors:

I live in El Granada and am well aware of the housing shortage for farmworkers. I urge support of the recommendation to allocate $2,000,000 in Measure K reserves to relaunch the County's Farm Labor Housing Loan Program.

Sincerely,
Joanne Rokosky