

Written Comments for
Regular Public Comment Section
- **Consent Agenda**
- **Non Agenda Items**

Written Public
Comment for **Item No. 5**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Janet Davis <jadjad@sbcbglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 9, 2023 11:01 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Cc: Michael Callagy
Subject: Comments BOS Agenda for Tuesday 4/11/23

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Every other jurisdiction clearly separates out Consent Items for General items. There is no reason why San Mateo County cannot do the same.

Despite signing up for Agenda updates for BOS, Planning and many other notices nothing gets sent.

Item 5: It would be helpful if there had been a report attached.

Item 7: \$125,000 for bikes funded by Measure K is NOT what people voted for. They voted for **CRITICAL EXPENSES**. There is also an issue of equity. Why hand out \$125,000 to just one district.

Item 8: Yet another slush fund expense for District 3. Art is not a critical need as spelled out in the voting materials for Measure K.

Item 10: Allocating \$75,000 to the Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising is **NOT something voters contemplated when approving Measure K**.

Item 11: This would improve the ordinance banning fireworks. However, enforcement still requires the presence of an officer. Surely something could be done to employ drones (or the shot spotter) to identify the specific location and if video can be taken, the property owner/renter could be fined based on the visual evidence without an officer being present. The draft ordinance itself points out the difficulty of apprehending those participants

MEASURE K SLUSH FUND: GENERAL OBJECTIONS:

I could not find anything that spelled out what defines "Discretionary Funding" although supposedly something was enacted to subvert the voters' intention in passing Measure A and then K. Divvying it up equally among the 5 districts is in itself inequitable since the districts have different needs and some have cities with their own budgets.

With all the school shootings the money being frittered away on non critical matters would be better spent e.g. making classrooms safe with lockable, enforced doors.

Other items might be: improving safety of bike routes to school; funding retrofitting of soft story apartments for earthquake readiness; improving sewer lines; undergrounding electric lines; funding pick up and shredding of downed trees; adding street lighting in crime prone areas; installing recycled water lines; synchronizing traffic lights especially on evacuation routes, etc.

Written Public
Comment for **Item No. 7**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Janet Davis <jadjad@sbcbglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 9, 2023 11:01 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Cc: Michael Callagy
Subject: Comments BOS Agenda for Tuesday 4/11/23

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Every other jurisdiction clearly separates out Consent Items for General items. There is no reason why San Mateo County cannot do the same.

Despite signing up for Agenda updates for BOS, Planning and many other notices nothing gets sent.

Item 5: It would be helpful if there had been a report attached.

Item 7: \$125,000 for bikes funded by Measure K is NOT what people voted for. They voted for **CRITICAL EXPENSES**. There is also an issue of equity. Why hand out \$125,000 to just one district.

Item 8: Yet another slush fund expense for District 3. Art is not a critical need as spelled out in the voting materials for Measure K.

Item 10: Allocating \$75,000 to the Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising is **NOT something voters contemplated when approving Measure K**.

Item 11: This would improve the ordinance banning fireworks. However, enforcement still requires the presence of an officer. Surely something could be done to employ drones (or the shot spotter) to identify the specific location and if video can be taken, the property owner/renter could be fined based on the visual evidence without an officer being present. The draft ordinance itself points out the difficulty of apprehending those participants

MEASURE K SLUSH FUND: GENERAL OBJECTIONS:

I could not find anything that spelled out what defines "Discretionary Funding" although supposedly something was enacted to subvert the voters' intention in passing Measure A and then K. Divvying it up equally among the 5 districts is in itself inequitable since the districts have different needs and some have cities with their own budgets.

With all the school shootings the money being frittered away on non critical matters would be better spent e.g. making classrooms safe with lockable, enforced doors.

Other items might be: improving safety of bike routes to school; funding retrofitting of soft story apartments for earthquake readiness; improving sewer lines; undergrounding electric lines; funding pick up and shredding of downed trees; adding street lighting in crime prone areas; installing recycled water lines; synchronizing traffic lights especially on evacuation routes, etc.

Written Public
Comment for **Item No. 8**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Janet Davis <jadjad@sbcbglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 9, 2023 11:01 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Cc: Michael Callagy
Subject: Comments BOS Agenda for Tuesday 4/11/23

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Every other jurisdiction clearly separates out Consent Items for General items. There is no reason why San Mateo County cannot do the same.

Despite signing up for Agenda updates for BOS, Planning and many other notices nothing gets sent.

Item 5: It would be helpful if there had been a report attached.

Item 7: \$125,000 for bikes funded by Measure K is NOT what people voted for. They voted for **CRITICAL EXPENSES**. There is also an issue of equity. Why hand out \$125,000 to just one district.

Item 8: Yet another slush fund expense for District 3. Art is not a critical need as spelled out in the voting materials for Measure K.

Item 10: Allocating \$75,000 to the Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising is **NOT something voters contemplated when approving Measure K**.

Item 11: This would improve the ordinance banning fireworks. However, enforcement still requires the presence of an officer. Surely something could be done to employ drones (or the shot spotter) to identify the specific location and if video can be taken, the property owner/renter could be fined based on the visual evidence without an officer being present. The draft ordinance itself points out the difficulty of apprehending those participants

MEASURE K SLUSH FUND: GENERAL OBJECTIONS:

I could not find anything that spelled out what defines "Discretionary Funding" although supposedly something was enacted to subvert the voters' intention in passing Measure A and then K. Divvying it up equally among the 5 districts is in itself inequitable since the districts have different needs and some have cities with their own budgets.

With all the school shootings the money being frittered away on non critical matters would be better spent e.g. making classrooms safe with lockable, enforced doors.

Other items might be: improving safety of bike routes to school; funding retrofitting of soft story apartments for earthquake readiness; improving sewer lines; undergrounding electric lines; funding pick up and shredding of downed trees; adding street lighting in crime prone areas; installing recycled water lines; synchronizing traffic lights especially on evacuation routes, etc.

Written Public Comment
for **Item No. 10**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Janet Davis <jadjad@sbcbglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 9, 2023 11:01 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Cc: Michael Callagy
Subject: Comments BOS Agenda for Tuesday 4/11/23

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Every other jurisdiction clearly separates out Consent Items for General items. There is no reason why San Mateo County cannot do the same.

Despite signing up for Agenda updates for BOS, Planning and many other notices nothing gets sent.

Item 5: It would be helpful if there had been a report attached.

Item 7: \$125,000 for bikes funded by Measure K is NOT what people voted for. They voted for **CRITICAL EXPENSES**. There is also an issue of equity. Why hand out \$125,000 to just one district.

Item 8: Yet another slush fund expense for District 3. Art is not a critical need as spelled out in the voting materials for Measure K.

Item 10: Allocating \$75,000 to the Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising is **NOT something voters contemplated when approving Measure K**.

Item 11: This would improve the ordinance banning fireworks. However, enforcement still requires the presence of an officer. Surely something could be done to employ drones (or the shot spotter) to identify the specific location and if video can be taken, the property owner/renter could be fined based on the visual evidence without an officer being present. The draft ordinance itself points out the difficulty of apprehending those participants

MEASURE K SLUSH FUND: GENERAL OBJECTIONS:

I could not find anything that spelled out what defines "Discretionary Funding" although supposedly something was enacted to subvert the voters' intention in passing Measure A and then K. Divvying it up equally among the 5 districts is in itself inequitable since the districts have different needs and some have cities with their own budgets.

With all the school shootings the money being frittered away on non critical matters would be better spent e.g. making classrooms safe with lockable, enforced doors.

Other items might be: improving safety of bike routes to school; funding retrofitting of soft story apartments for earthquake readiness; improving sewer lines; undergrounding electric lines; funding pick up and shredding of downed trees; adding street lighting in crime prone areas; installing recycled water lines; synchronizing traffic lights especially on evacuation routes, etc.

Written Public Comment
for **Item No. 11**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Janet Davis <jadjad@sbcbglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 9, 2023 11:01 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Cc: Michael Callagy
Subject: Comments BOS Agenda for Tuesday 4/11/23

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Every other jurisdiction clearly separates out Consent Items for General items. There is no reason why San Mateo County cannot do the same.

Despite signing up for Agenda updates for BOS, Planning and many other notices nothing gets sent.

Item 5: It would be helpful if there had been a report attached.

Item 7: \$125,000 for bikes funded by Measure K is NOT what people voted for. They voted for **CRITICAL EXPENSES**. There is also an issue of equity. Why hand out \$125,000 to just one district.

Item 8: Yet another slush fund expense for District 3. Art is not a critical need as spelled out in the voting materials for Measure K.

Item 10: Allocating \$75,000 to the Chamber of Commerce for publicity and advertising is **NOT something voters contemplated when approving Measure K**.

Item 11: This would improve the ordinance banning fireworks. However, enforcement still requires the presence of an officer. Surely something could be done to employ drones (or the shot spotter) to identify the specific location and if video can be taken, the property owner/renter could be fined based on the visual evidence without an officer being present. The draft ordinance itself points out the difficulty of apprehending those participants

MEASURE K SLUSH FUND: GENERAL OBJECTIONS:

I could not find anything that spelled out what defines "Discretionary Funding" although supposedly something was enacted to subvert the voters' intention in passing Measure A and then K. Divvying it up equally among the 5 districts is in itself inequitable since the districts have different needs and some have cities with their own budgets.

With all the school shootings the money being frittered away on non critical matters would be better spent e.g. making classrooms safe with lockable, enforced doors.

Other items might be: improving safety of bike routes to school; funding retrofitting of soft story apartments for earthquake readiness; improving sewer lines; undergrounding electric lines; funding pick up and shredding of downed trees; adding street lighting in crime prone areas; installing recycled water lines; synchronizing traffic lights especially on evacuation routes, etc.

Written Public Comments
for **Item No. 12**

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Sabine Won <sabinedwon@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 7, 2023 12:37 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda Item #12: End ICE collusion

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Board of Supervisors,

I have been in San Mateo County for over 50 years, living, working and raising my family on the peninsula. As a member of SURJ San Mateo, a local chapter of Showing Up for Racial Justice, I am very happy that Supervisors Canepa and Pine introduced an ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE that I fully support.

I urge you to pass this ordinance because it's so important to keep families in our community together. It will guarantee that the Sheriff Corpus' policy will not be reversed and cause irreparable harm to those who are separated and traumatized. The costs of colluding with ICE are immense and a waste of taxpayer dollars. As a constituent, I prefer taxes be invested in education, social services, healthcare and housing to ensure that San Mateo County continues leading the way forward. Thank you for all you do to keep our community a safe place for us all!

Sabine Won

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Joe Totah <joetotah@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 8, 2023 4:45 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Working with ICE

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors Corzo, Canepa, Muller, Pine and Slocum,

I just read an article in the San Mateo Daily Journal that the board is considering formally ending cooperation with ICE. I am no longer going to convince you it's a horrible idea even though I know it is, let alone it's pro-criminal (there is no doubt the Democrats now represent criminals). The reason why is because it allows me to talk to my neighbors and the community about the insanity we are dealing with. This is the kind of extremism that may work in San Francisco, but I believe this will be a good honest talking points because it's not what many people here believe in. Hence it will be a political opportunity to win people over. So please go ahead and pass it and do not for one second thing about Karina Castro who got beheaded by an illegal thug in San Carlos. Rather go to bat for that illegal thug and put the Democrat stamp of approval on it as this will be one of my talking points I will bring up to the community.

By the way, I would expect to have intelligent people serving our county or least those who are not playing stupid. To Supervisor Pine, when you talk about "double punishment" of "immigrants" – you know, I know and everyone else knows that this is about illegal immigrants who have no right to be in the country. So stop insulting the people's intelligence and playing this stupid game. My parents are immigrants, this is an insult to be and it's offends legal immigrants when you talk like this. Can I get an apology from you? Can you next time be honest about who we are talking about or will you continue to try to deceive the people? Supervisor Pine, I will wait for an honest reply.

Joe Totah
2149 Vista Del Mar, SM

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Sue Henkin-Haas <shenkinhaas@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 8:46 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda Item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Sue Henkin-Haas. I live in San Gregorio, in unincorporated San Mateo County and have been a San Mateo County resident for over 40 years. I am also part of Sheriff Corpus' CARE program - Coastside group. I support Supervisors Canepa and Pine's ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

One can measure a society by how it treats its most vulnerable members and there are no more vulnerable than the undocumented and the incarcerated.

The justification for colluding with ICE has been that only the most violent felons are turned over to ICE. But what happens when violent felons, who are not undocumented, finish serving their sentences and are released back into the community? We have in place a system of support for newly released felons to help insure a more successful transition back into the community. There are halfway houses, parole officers, work programs, therapy and family support that can help ensure they are integrated back into society. These services greatly reduce recidivism and keep the community safer.

It is a travesty to turn them over to ICE. To send them to another country where they haven't lived for decades and know no one. To send them completely unprepared with no services or family support. They are much more likely to reoffend in these circumstances.

We are not living up to our responsibility. We incarcerated them and now have a responsibility to care for and monitor them. To turn them over to ICE to be deported is wrong. It is wrong for the individual, wrong for their families, wrong for the communities who are receiving them. We are discarding them, dumping them on our neighbors.

These are people who have served their sentences. They are human beings. We should treat them the same as someone with the same conviction, who also served their time but who is documented. Anything else is discrimination and double jeopardy.

Please pass this ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

Sincerely,
Sue Henkin-Haas

Sue Henkin-Haas

shenkinhaas@gmail.com

650-483-9342

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Yiran Liu <yiranliu@stanford.edu>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 8:34 AM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda Item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion and keep families together

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Yiran Liu and I am a resident of Redwood City and a PhD student at Stanford. I am in full support of Supervisor Pine & Supervisor Canepa's ordinance to permanently end ICE transfers in our county. ICE detention centers have been exposed for extreme human rights abuses, abysmal living conditions, deficient healthcare resources, and severe medical neglect. In addition to threatening the health of individuals detained in ICE, transfers to ICE are harmful for public and community health, as each individual who is sent to ICE is torn from their family and loved ones in ways that traumatize our communities. As both a public health researcher and a fellow human and community member who values the health, well-being, and life of all of our community members, including immigrants, I urge the Board of Supervisors to pass this ordinance to commit to no more colluding with ICE. Our taxpayer dollars should be going toward programs and initiatives that improve public health, education, affordable housing, and other social services that support immigrants and other individuals and families in need, NOT ICE transfers. Thank you Supervisors Pine and Canepa for introducing this ordinance, and thank you Sheriff Corpus for supporting it.

Sincerely,
Yiran Liu

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Nancy Goodban <nancy.goodban@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 9:56 AM
To: David Canepa; Dave Pine; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller;
CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Please vote for the ordinance to prohibit cooperating with ICE, #12 on tomorrow's agenda

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear President Pine, Vice President Slocum, and Honorable Supervisors:

Please vote for the ordinance to prohibit cooperating with ICE, #12 on tomorrow's agenda. Thank you to Supervisors Canepa and Pine for bringing it forward.

I am a member of the San Mateo County Coalition for Immigrant Rights (SMCCIR), representing the Peninsula Interfaith Coalition for Immigrants (PICI) and San Francisco Peninsula People Power (SFPPP). I am also a member of the Unitarian Universalist Fellowship of Redwood City (UUFRC), a Sanctuary Congregation. We value the dignity and worth of every human being.

Turning people over to ICE after they have served their sentence is double punishment - it should be the justice system that determines innocence or guilt, sets the sentence, and carries it out. Once they have served their sentence, immigrants and non-immigrants alike should be able to go home to their family and job. They should not be turned over to ICE, which has a horrendous record of human rights abuses. Our county is almost 1/3 foreign born, and I want all residents to feel safe in the community. People should receive equal treatment no matter where they are born.

The proposed ordinance would keep us from cooperating with ICE, codifying the current Sheriff policy, and has the support of Sheriff Corpus. This ordinance will help to keep us from tearing families apart.

Thank you for your leadership in helping to make this community a safe and welcoming place for all of us who live here.

Nancy

Nancy Goodban
nancy.goodban@gmail.com
Redwood City
650-787-9859

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: chaya gordon <chaygordo@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 12:08 PM
To: David Canepa; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller; Dave Pine; Warren Slocum
Cc: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: BOS Meeting 4.11.23 Agenda Item #12: Ordinance to end ICE collusion and keep families together

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

TO SMC Supervisors Canepa, Corzo, Mueller, Pine and Slocum:

All 4 of my grandparents immigrated from Eastern Europe to the US in the 1880s, with little money but a strong determination to make a better life in the US. They wound up making important contributions to their new home in many ways. If I could talk to them today, I know what they would say: protect the rights of all, not just some. Supervisors Canepa and Pine have taken a big step forward for all of us in SMC with this ordinance, and Sheriff Corpus' support is very important.

Passing this ordinance will take the next big step forward to ensure that the Sheriff's policy could not be reversed indiscriminately. It will also, once and for all, end any County collusion with ICE. These critical steps will make our diverse County a better, stronger and fairer place for all.

I strongly urge you to support the proposed ordinance to prohibit the use of any County resources to transfer people in our communities into ICE custody.

Thank you very much.
Chaya Gordon
Pacifica

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: deni asnis <dasnis2001@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 12:56 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda Item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion and keep families together

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi, my name is Deni Asnis with Pacifica Social Justice.

As a retired public school teacher and a volunteer with the Youth Leadership Institute, I've worked with students in Pacifica public schools from elementary through high school and was able to see first hand the impact that the fear of family separation had on children and youth: young children reluctant to share their identities and family information because of realistic community fear of ICE, older youth experiencing the insecurity and disruption of threatened family separations, all due to ICE policies. It's hard to reassure young people when their County is itself a party to this threat.

I'm so glad that with the work of SMCCIR and San Mateo County communities, Supervisors Canepa and Pine have introduced an ordinance, supported by Sheriff Corpus, to keep families together and to permanently end the County's collusion with ICE. As a resident of Pacifica for over a decade, I was horrified to learn that San Mateo County still continued this abysmal unjust immoral practice. I, along with the majority of County residents, have supported ending it and am relieved that this can now be done. We're all well aware of the impact of COVID on children and youth, both in education and mental health. Funds are urgently needed to support youth in these areas. It's critically important to shift the staggering San Mateo County cost of colluding with ICE transfers to supporting families and the increased needs of children and youth.

I urge the Board to pass this ordinance because it would guarantee that the Sheriff's policy could not be casually reversed, and San Mateo County remains a welcoming place for all.

Thank you,
Deni Asnis, Pacifica

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Linda B. Goldstein <lindabgold@coastside.net>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 1:09 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda Item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion and keep families together

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Linda B. Goldstein. I live in Half Moon Bay and have lived in San Mateo County for 33 years. I am also the Articles Curator for the Coastside Immigrant Advocacy Group and I am Community Co-Chair for the Coastside Jewish Community. I support Supervisors Canepa and Pine's ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

One can measure a society by how it treats its most vulnerable members and there are no more vulnerable than the undocumented and the incarcerated.

The justification for colluding with ICE has been that only the most violent felons are turned over to ICE. But what happens when violent felons, who are not undocumented, finish serving their sentences and are released back into the community? We have in place a system of support for newly released felons to help insure a more successful transition back into the community. There are halfway houses, parole officers, work programs, therapy and family support that can help ensure they are integrated back into society. These services greatly reduce recidivism and keep the community safer.

It is a travesty to turn them over to ICE. To send them to another country where they haven't lived for decades and know no one. To send them completely unprepared with no services or family support. They are much more likely to reoffend in these circumstances.

We are not living up to our responsibility. We incarcerated them and now have a responsibility to care for and monitor them. To turn them over to ICE to be deported is wrong. It is wrong for the individual, wrong for their families, wrong for the communities who are receiving them. We are discarding them, dumping them on our neighbors.

These are people who have served their sentences. They are human beings. We should treat them the same as someone with the same conviction, who also served their time but who is documented. Anything else is discrimination and double jeopardy.

Please pass this ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

Sincerely,

Linda B. Goldstein, MA, LMFT, REAT

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Clara Jaeckel <claraejaeckel@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 1:50 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: public comment for 4/11/2023 agenda Item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion and keep families together

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

My name is Clara Jaeckel and I've lived in San Mateo County for 25 years, the last eight in Redwood City. I'm writing to applaud and support the ordinance being introduced by Supervisor Canepa and Supervisor Pine to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE. It's also very heartening to see that Sheriff Corpus supports this ordinance as well.

Having a formal county ordinance will ensure that the Sheriff's current policy could not be casually reversed, and that San Mateo County remains a welcoming place for all. It will be a key safeguard for keeping families together, which makes for a stronger, more stable community for all of us. In particular, please ensure that we don't use county funds for ICE-related actions detrimental to families. Instead our communal resources and tax funds should be invested instead in housing, education, health and social services to ensure everyone, including immigrants, can thrive in our county. So I urge you strongly to pass this ordinance.

Thank you,
Clara Jaeckel
Redwood City

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Pamela Patek <pgredwoods@coastside.net>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 2:48 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Comments for BoS meeting April 11, 2023 Chapter 2.48 NON-COOPERATION WITH IMMIGRATION AUTHORITIES

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to pass the ordinance to permanently end the county's cooperation with ICE.

I believe that incarcerated persons who have served their time should be treated the same and receive the same services whether or not they are documented.

It is the right thing to. To turn them over to ICE to be deported is wrong. It is wrong for the individual, wrong for their families, wrong for the communities who are receiving them. We are discarding them, dumping them on our neighboring countries. It is discrimination and double jeopardy.

I am strongly in support of Supervisors Canepa and Pine's ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

Sincerely,

Pamela Patek

158 Cuesta Real
La Honda, California 94020
650-931-5337

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Kathryn Ryan <kathrynkr@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 2:23 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Agenda Item #12

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

One can measure a society by how it treats its most vulnerable members and there are no more vulnerable than the undocumented and the incarcerated.

The justification for colluding with ICE has been that only the most violent felons are turned over to ICE. But what happens when violent felons, who are not undocumented, finish serving their sentences and are released back into the community? We have in place a system of support for newly released felons to help insure a more successful transition back into the community. There are halfway houses, parole officers, work programs, therapy and family support that can help ensure they are integrated back into society. These services greatly reduce recidivism and keep the community safer.

It is a travesty to turn them over to ICE. To send them to another country where they haven't lived for decades and know no one. To send them completely unprepared with no services or family support. They are much more likely to reoffend in these circumstances.

We are not living up to our responsibility. We incarcerated them and now have a responsibility to care for and monitor them. To turn them over to ICE to be deported is wrong. It is wrong for the individual, wrong for their families, wrong for the communities who are receiving them. We are discarding them, dumping them on our neighbors.

These are people who have served their sentences. They are human beings. We should treat them the same as someone with the same conviction, who also served their time but who is documented. Anything else is discrimination and double jeopardy.

Please pass this ordinance to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Ryan, La Honda resident for 40 years,
in support of this letter written by Sue Henkin-Haas

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Phoebe S Venkat <phoebe.venkat@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 4:23 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear San Mateo County Supervisors,

My name is Phoebe S. Venkat, and I'm a resident of Foster City. While I'm on the Foster City Planning Commission, and the board of CORA (Community Overcoming Relationship Abuse) in San Mateo, for the purpose of this letter, I'm representing myself as an individual.

Thank you for introducing an ordinance, supported by Sheriff Corpus, to keep families together, and to permanently end the county's collusion with ICE.

I urge the Board to pass this ordinance because it would guarantee that the Sheriff's policy could not be reversed.

San Mateo County needs to remain a welcoming community for all.

Please ensure that our taxpayer dollars are not used to collude with ICE to traumatize our communities and separate families. These funds should be invested in housing, education, health and social services to ensure that everyone, including immigrants, can thrive in our county!

Thank you for your leadership and service to our communities.

Kind regards,
Phoebe

Phoebe Shin Venkat | [mobile/whatsapp +1 732 258 5422](#)

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Lynnette Vega <lahondalynnette@earthlink.net>
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2023 4:49 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; Dave Pine; David Canepa; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller
Subject: Agenda Item #12: Support for ordinance to end ICE collusion and keep families together

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear Supervisors,

Hi my name is Lynnette Vega and I'm a member of La Honda Indivisible and resident of San Mateo County. I strongly support Supervisors Canepa and Pine for proposing an ordinance (supported by Sheriff Corpus) that would keep families together and to permanently end the county's cooperation with ICE. The presence of ICE instills fear within the immigrant community and paints us as an unwelcoming place for those who are not native born.

I urge the Board to pass this ordinance because it would guarantee that the Sheriff's policy could not be casually reversed. Please ensure that our tax-payer dollars are not used to collude with ICE to traumatize our communities and separate families. These funds should be invested instead in housing, education, health and social services to ensure everyone, including immigrants, can thrive in our county.

Regards,
Lynnette Vega

Non-Agenda Comments

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Karen Maki <karen@karenmaki.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2023 11:47 AM
To: Stephen Wagstaffe
Cc: David Canepa; Dave Pine; Warren Slocum; Noelia Corzo; Ray Mueller; CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: SMC Dems Pass Resolution on Youth Tried as Adults

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Dear District Attorney Wagstaffe,

To protect our youth and allow them a second chance, the San Mateo County Democratic Party (SMC Dems) passed this "[Resolution on Youth Tried as Adults](#)," urging you to stop the prosecution of our youth in adult courts. This resolution supports [Resolution 2022-02 on Youth Tried as Adults](#) passed by the San Mateo County Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Commission (JJDPC). As you may know, a number of speakers spoke to this issue at yesterday's Board of Supervisors meeting. The SMC Dems will be issuing a press release.

Scientific research shows developmental differences between youth and adults that impact decision-making, impulse control, and susceptibility to peer pressure. The prefrontal cortex, which governs the executive functions of reasoning, advanced thinking, and impulse control, is one of the last areas of the brain to mature.

As a result of this research, the Supreme Court has repeatedly recognized that youth are less culpable than adults and more capable of change and rehabilitation. The juvenile system is set to provide age-appropriate education, including basic and special education, as well as treatment and counseling services, and rehabilitation. This is important because many youth in the justice system have experienced or witnessed violence and trauma. Youth tried as adults are disproportionately Black or Hispanic, and youth in the adult criminal justice system face a higher risk of sexual abuse, physical assault, and suicide.

A delegation from the SMC Dems has scheduled a meeting with you on April 6 to discuss the problem of youth being tried as adults in San Mateo County.

Sincerely,

Karen Maki
SMCDems Chair

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Alice Newton <alicenewton62@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2023 4:56 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback; John Nibbelin
Subject: Please reschedule the SMC Parks Dept. presentation of Phase I construction in Flood Park
Attachments: Screen Shot 2023-03-29 at 3.42.12 PM.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hello Supervisors and Mr Nibbelin,

As you can see in the attached screenshot of the public notice posted last fall on the SMC Parks Departments' website for Flood Park, smcgov.org/parks-realize-flood-park (click on "Learn more" and then click on the link to the Phase I Plan), the public was invited to attend the December 13, 2022 Supervisors' meeting to learn about the revised plan for Phase I. The revised construction plan is quite different from what the public has been expecting since 2015. This new plan was shown at a public input meeting hosted Nov. 4, 2022 in Flood Park that was attended by about 15 people. My husband and I along with primarily neighbors from our street, Del Norte Ave, that borders the eastern side of the park were most of the attendees. This meeting was also the first time the public was shown revisions made to the landscape design following a previous public input meeting in the park in June, 2022. Since we live adjacent to the park, what occurs there will directly impact our daily lives so most of the questions and discussion were focused on the landscape layout. The question was asked why the change in plan for phasing, but both my notes and recollection are fairly vague regarding the answer. Almost no time was spent discussing the change in the phasing plan. Therefore, we were looking forward to the presentation to the BOS on Dec. 13 about phases and attended that meeting only to find that the presentation had been eliminated from the agenda and the plan was passed on the Consent Agenda without discussion. There has been no following public discussion of the change in phases that I know of, but the public has invested a great deal of time and energy since May 2015 in following and influencing the plans for the park and deserves to know the rationale for this decision. It is possible that you, Supervisors, are also unaware of the changes and their implications for the use of the park during the several years required for construction. Basically, the baseball diamond/multiuse field construction will be delayed. Supervisor Ray Mueller has been aware of the evolving plans for the park in the past as a Menlo Park City Councilmember and likely has a personal interest as a resident of Menlo Park. It may be new information for Supervisor Noelia Corzo, but people from her district will also be using the amenities in the park so it's important for her to be aware of what they are now and what the new plans involve.

I strongly urge you to reschedule the presentation about the Phasing Plan. Whether or not any change occurs as a result of the presentation, at least you, Supervisors, and the general public will have had an opportunity to hear about it and ask questions, and the public will be able to view the video of the presentation if they are not able to attend the meeting.

Thank you,

Alice Newton
Del Norte Avenue

Menlo Park

Board of Supervisors to Review Flood Park Phase 1 Plans, Dec 13

November 29, 2022

Share This Article

Facebook Twitter Email Print

On the afternoon of Nov. 5, San Mateo County Park staff and the CMG consultant team provided an update on Phase 1 designs and the overall project timeline in Flood Park. About 15 people attended to see the [final plans](#) in person and hear more about the next steps of the Realize Flood Park project.

As announced earlier, the project will be constructed in phases with Phase 1 including the small multi-use sports field, and tennis, basketball and sand volleyball courts, renovated picnic areas, pump track, restrooms, and parking improvements.

Phase 1 plans will be presented to the Board of Supervisors at the Dec. 13 meeting. See how to attend the board meeting in person or by teleconference at <https://www.smcgov.org/bos/meeting/december-13-2022-board-supervisors-regular-meeting>.

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: May Carr <mayalston@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 31, 2023 7:43 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Please restore youth sports fields to Flood Park Phase 1

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

For mental health and physical fitness reasons, all our children need access to team sports and the outdoors now more than ever.

My two sons play 2-3 sports per season. This year we have repeatedly had practices and games moved from Menlo Park to towns with more usable fields in wet weather--Woodside and Palo Alto.

Make this a priority. Thank you-

May Carr
360 Linfield 94025

--

May Alston Carr, MS, RD, CNSC, CSO
Clinical Dietitian, Oncology & MICU
Stanford Healthcare
404-713-4817
Mayalston@gmail.com

CEO_BoardFeedback

From: Mimi Roosli <mroosli@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 1, 2023 5:01 PM
To: CEO_BoardFeedback
Subject: Flood park youth fields

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Greetings,

As a resident of menlo park and an adult who greatly benefited from playing sports as a youth, I am asking you to focus on putting in the sports fields in Flood park as originally planned.

Best,
Mimi

Michele Roosli
644 San Benito Ave, Menlo Park, CA 94025



COMMISSION ON DISABILITIES

TO: Honorable County Board of Supervisors

FROM: Commission on Disabilities

DATE: March 23, 2023

SUBJECT: Reopening of the Mack E. Mickelson Arthritis and Rehabilitation Center Therapy Pool

In February 2023, the Commission on Disabilities was contacted by a representative from Warm Water Wellness, Inc regarding efforts to reopen the Mack E. Mickelson Arthritis and Rehabilitation Center Therapy Pool. The organization was seeking the support of the Commission on Disabilities. As this therapy pool is an invaluable resource for the disabled community in San Mateo County, the Commission on Disabilities wholeheartedly supports the Board of Supervisors' Resolution No. 078723 passed on February 22, 2022.

We applaud the Board of Supervisors' continued support for the vulnerable residents of our County.

San Mateo County Commission on Disabilities

Angela Piazza, President

Cc: Hon. Supervisor Warren Slocum

Nina Rhee, Aging and Adult Services Interim Director