

Written Comments for  
**Regular Public Comment Section**  
- **Consent Agenda**  
- **Non Agenda Items**

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Direct: 650-949-6658

Written Public Comments  
for **Item No. 4**

## Sukhmani Purewal

---

**From:** ccat09@aol.com  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 9:04 PM  
**To:** CMO\_BoardFeedback  
**Subject:** REDISTRICTING MEETING ON NOVEMBER 16,, 2021

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

---

Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

To Quote the Chair of the 15 member commission who listened to the concerns and reviewed the maps of the citizens, James Lawrence, in his opening statement at their last meeting on October 28th, "We, this commission and all of you are helping to assure that fundamental democracy are alive and well in San Mateo County.

Upon review of the BOS meeting on Nov 9th it appears that neither of the 2 maps presented by the commission are being seriously considered. Instead the little change map presented by the consultant NDC" seems to be your choice. I think that the commission would have suggested that map if they believed it was the best map. The Espinoza map certainly makes the most sense considering the guidance given by the commission and consultant. prior to maps being drawn. Please adjust or adopt the Espinosa map.

Sincerely,  
Fundamental Democracy

Charles Catania  
Woodside Ca.

## Sukhmani Purewal

---

**From:** Zoe Kersteen-Tucker <kersteentucker@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 5:04 PM  
**To:** CMO\_BoardFeedback; Sukhmani Purewal  
**Subject:** Public comment BOS 11.16.21, Item 4

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

---

**Please read my comments into the record.**

### **In favor of “Commissioner Espinoza Map”**

Dear members of the Board of Supervisors,

As a long-time Coastsider and former member of the San Mateo County Transit District Board of Directors, I believe Commissioner Espinosa’s map makes the most sense for the following reasons:

1. The City of Pacifica has much more in common with District 5 than with District 3. Indeed, Pacifica shares the Jefferson Elementary School District with District 5.
2. The transportation needs and interests of Pacifica are far more closely aligned with those of District 5 and North County than they are with the District 3 region south of Devil’s Slide.
3. Perhaps of greatest importance, the Espinosa Map gathers several disadvantaged communities (North Fair Oaks, East Palo Alto) into District 3 which has historically been lacking in communities of color.

**For these reasons, I respectfully ask that you vote in favor of Commissioner Espinosa’s Map.**

And in the event that additional maps are drafted, I want to express my adamant opposition to carving up the Coastsides south of Devil’s Slide into different Supervisorial Districts. That portion of the Coastsides must remain united in one District.

With thanks,  
Zoe Kersteen-Tucker  
Moss Beach