

STANDARD AGREEMENT
STD 213 (Rev 03/2019)

AGREEMENT NUMBER

BSCC 1215-23

PURCHASING AUTHORITY NUMBER (If Applicable)

1. This Agreement is entered into between the Contracting Agency and the Contractor named below:

CONTRACTING AGENCY NAME

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

CONTRACTOR NAME

SAN MATEO COUNTY

2. The term of this Agreement is:

START DATE

JUNE 1, 2023

THROUGH END DATE

DECEMBER 31, 2026

3. The maximum amount of this Agreement is:

\$3,067,989

4. The parties agree to comply with the terms and conditions of the following exhibits, attachments, and appendices which are by this reference made a part of the Agreement.

EXHIBITS	TITLE	PAGES
Exhibit A	Scope of Work	4
Exhibit B	Budget Detail and Payment Provisions	4
Exhibit C	GIA 610: General Terms and Conditions (Interagency Agreements)	1
Exhibit D	Special Terms and Conditions	4
Exhibit E	2020, 2021, and 2022 JAG Federal Award Conditions	59
Attachment 1	2022 JAG Request for Proposals	
Attachment 2	2022 JAG Application for Funding	21
Appendix A	JAG Executive Steering Committee	1


Items shown with an asterisk (), are hereby incorporated by reference and made part of this agreement as if attached hereto. These documents can be viewed at: www.bscc.ca.gov

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, THIS AGREEMENT HAS BEEN EXECUTED BY THE PARTIES HERETO.

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTOR NAME (if other than an individual, state whether a corporation, partnership, etc.)

SAN MATEO COUNTY

CONTRACTOR BUSINESS ADDRESS 2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas, Suite 280	CITY San Mateo	STATE CA	ZIP 94403
PRINTED NAME OF PERSON SIGNING Jei Africa	TITLE Director of Behavioral Health and Recovery Services		
CONTRACTOR AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE 	DATE SIGNED		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CONTRACTING AGENCY NAME

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS


CONTRACTING AGENCY ADDRESS 2590 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 200	CITY Sacramento	STATE CA	ZIP 95833
PRINTED NAME OF PERSON SIGNING Colleen Curtin	TITLE Deputy Director		
CONTRACTING AGENCY AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE 	DATE SIGNED		

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

1. GRANT AGREEMENT

This Grant Agreement is between the State of California, Board of State and Community Corrections (hereafter referred to as BSCC) and San Mateo County (hereafter referred to as the Grantee or Contractor).

2. PROJECT SUMMARY AND ADMINISTRATION

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program allows states and units of local government, including tribes, to support a broad range of activities to prevent and control crime based on their own state and local needs and conditions. Grant funds can be used for state and local initiatives, technical assistance, training, personnel, equipment, supplies, contractual support, and information systems for criminal justice, including for any one or more of the following program areas: 1) law enforcement programs; 2) prosecution and court programs; 3) prevention and education programs; 5) drug treatment and enforcement programs; and 8) mental health programs and related law enforcement and corrections programs.

This JAG award will be used to support criminal justice initiatives that fall under one or more of the allowable program areas above. Funded programs or initiatives may include multijurisdictional drug and gang task forces, crime prevention and domestic violence programs, courts, corrections, treatment, justice information sharing initiatives, or other programs aimed at reducing crime and/or enhancing public/officer safety.

Grantee agrees to administer the project in accordance with Attachment 1: 2022 JAG Request for Proposals (by reference) and Attachment 2: 2022 JAG Application for Funding, which is attached and hereto and made part of this agreement.

3. PROJECT OFFICIALS

A. The BSCC's Executive Director or designee shall be the BSCC's representative for administration of the Grant Agreement and shall have authority to make determinations relating to any controversies that may arise under or regarding the interpretation, performance, or payment for work performed under this Grant Agreement.

B. The Grantee's project officials shall be those identified as follows:

Authorized Officer with legal authority to sign:

Name: Jei Africa

Title: Director of Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, San Mateo County

Address: 2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas, San Mateo, CA 94403

Phone: (650) 573-2541

Designated Financial Officer authorized to receive warrants:

Name: Janet Gard

Title: Deputy Director, Finance & Administration, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services

Address: 2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas, San Mateo, CA 94403

Phone: (650) 207-1961

Email: JGard@smcgov.org

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

1. INVOICING AND PAYMENTS

- A. The Grantee shall be paid in quarterly in arrears by submitting an invoice (Form 201) to the BSCC that outlines actual expenditures claimed for the invoicing period.

Quarterly Invoicing Periods:

1. June 1, 2023 to June 30, 2023
2. July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023
3. October 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023
4. January 1, 2024 to March 31, 2024
5. April 1, 2024 to June 30, 2024
6. July 1, 2024 to September 30, 2024
7. October 1, 2024 to December 31, 2024
8. January 1, 2025 to March 31, 2025
9. April 1, 2025 to June 30, 2025
10. July 1, 2025 to September 30, 2025
11. October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025
13. January 1, 2026 to March 31, 2026
14. April 1, 2026 to June 30, 2026
15. July 1, 2026 to September 30, 2026*

Due no later than:

- August 15, 2023
November 15, 2023
February 15, 2024
May 15, 2024
August 15, 2024
November 15, 2024
February 15, 2025
May 15, 2025
August 15, 2025
November 15, 2025
February 15, 2026
May 15, 2026
August 15, 2026
October 15, 2026

15. October 1, 2026 to December 31, 2026*

January 31, 2027

**Note: Only expenditures associated with completion of the Final Local Evaluation Report may be included on this last invoice.*

- B. All project expenditures (excluding costs associated with the completion of the Final Local Evaluation Report) must be incurred by the end of the grant project period, June 30, 2026, and included on the invoice due August 15, 2026. Project expenditures incurred after June 30, 2026 will not be reimbursed.
- C. The Final Local Evaluation Report is due to BSCC by December 31, 2026. Expenditures incurred solely for the completion of the Final Local Evaluation Report will be reimbursed for the close-out period of July 1, 2026 through December 31, 2026 and must be submitted on the last invoice, due January 31, 2027. All fiscal supporting documentation for the Final Local Evaluation Report expenditures must be submitted to the BSCC with this final invoice
- D. The Grantee shall submit an invoice to the BSCC each invoicing period, even if grant funds are not expended or requested during the invoicing period.
- E. Supporting documentation must be submitted for expenditures upon BSCC's request. All supporting documentation must be maintained by the grantee on site and be readily available for review during BSCC site visits.

2. GRANT AMOUNT AND LIMITATION

- A. In no event shall the BSCC be obligated to pay any amount in excess of the grant award. Grantee waives any and all claims against the BSCC, the Federal Government, and the State of California on account of project costs that may exceed the sum of the grant award.
- B. Under no circumstance will a budget item change be authorized that would cause the project to exceed the amount of the grant award identified in this Grant Agreement.

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

Project Director authorized to administer the project:

Name: Shirley Chu
Title: Clinical Services Manager II, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services
Address: 1950 Alameda De Las Pulgas, San Mateo, CA
Phone: (650) 421-5181
Email: schu@smcgov.org

- C. Either party may change its project representatives upon written notice to the other party.
- D. By signing this Grant Agreement, the Authorized Officer listed above warrants that he or she has full legal authority to bind the entity for which he or she signs.

4. DATA COLLECTION

Grantees will be required to comply with all data collection and reporting requirements as described in Attachment 1: 2022 JAG Request for Proposals (by reference) and Attachment 2: 2022 JAG Application for Funding

5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- A. Grantee will submit quarterly progress reports, including results for the federal performance indicators, in a format prescribed by the BSCC. These reports, which will describe progress made on program objectives and include required data, shall be submitted according to the following schedule:

Quarterly Progress Report Periods

Due no later than:

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. June 1, 2023 to June 30, 2023 | July 15, 2023 |
| 2. July 1, 2023 to September 30, 2023 | October 15, 2023 |
| 3. October 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023 | January 15, 2024 |
| 4. January 1, 2024 to March 31, 2024 | April 15, 2024 |
| 5. April 1, 2024 to June 30, 2024 | July 15, 2024 |
| 6. July 1, 2024 to September 30, 2024 | October 15, 2024 |
| 7. October 1, 2024 to December 31, 2024 | January 15, 2025 |
| 8. January 1, 2025 to March 31, 2025 | April 15, 2025 |
| 9. April 1, 2025 to June 30, 2025 | July 15, 2025 |
| 10. July 1, 2025 to September 30, 2025 | October 15, 2025 |
| 11. October 1, 2025 to December 31, 2025 | January 15, 2026 |
| 12. January 1, 2026 to March 31, 2026 | April 15, 2026 |
| 13. April 1, 2026 to June 30, 2026 | July 15, 2026 |

- B. The Local Evaluation Plan July 31, 2023
- C. The Local Evaluation Report December 31, 2026
- D. The grantee shall submit all other reports and data as required by the BSCC

6. PROJECT RECORDS

- A. The Grantee shall establish an official file for the project. The file shall contain adequate documentation of all actions taken with respect to the project, including copies of this Grant Agreement, approved program/budget modifications, financial records and required reports.

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

- B. The Grantee shall establish separate accounting records and maintain documents and other evidence sufficient to properly reflect the amount, receipt, and disposition of all project funds, including grant funds and any matching funds by the Grantee and the total cost of the project. Source documentation includes copies of all awards, applications, approved modifications, financial records and narrative reports.
- C. Personnel and payroll records shall include the time and attendance reports for all individuals reimbursed under the grant, whether they are employed full-time or part-time. Time and effort reports are also required for all subcontractors and consultants.
- D. The grantee shall maintain documentation of donated goods and/or services, including the basis for valuation.
- E. Grantee agrees to protect records adequately from fire or other damage. When records are stored away from the Grantee's principal office, a written index of the location of records stored must be on hand and ready access must be assured.
- F. All Grantee records relevant to the project must be preserved a minimum of three (3) years after closeout of the grant project and shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection, examination, monitoring, copying, excerpting, transcribing, and auditing by the BSCC or designees. If any litigation, claim, negotiation, audit, or other action involving the records has been started before the expiration of the three-year period, the records must be retained until the completion of the action and resolution of all issues which arise from it or until the end of the regular three-year period, whichever is later.

7. AUDIT

Grantee is required to complete an audit annually for each fiscal year/audit period, or fraction thereof, for the entire three-year grant cycle. Grantees may choose either a program-specific audit or a single federal audit. Federal guidelines allow grantees receiving \$750,000 or more in federal funds in a fiscal year to use their federal justice assistance grant funds to pay for the cost of the audit. Grantees falling below the \$750,000 threshold must use non-federal funds (i.e., match funds) to pay for audit costs. For purposes of this grant award grantee is required to check one of the boxes below to indicate how they will meet the audit requirement.

- In conformance with Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) CFR Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and the California State Controller's Accounting Standards and Procedures, Chapter 23, Grant Accounting Index, the identified grant will be included in the City/County Single Federal Audit Report, which will be submitted to the BSCC within 30 days of the Grantee's receipt of the report or within nine months following the end of the audit period, whichever is earlier.

OR

- In conformance with Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) CFR Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and the California State Controller's Accounting Standards and Procedures Chapter 23, Grant Accounting Index, the grantee will provide a Program-Specific Final Audit Report to the BSCC within 30 days of the Grantee's receipt of the report or within nine months following the end of the audit period, whichever is earlier.

OR

- In conformance with Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) CFR Part 200 – Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, the

EXHIBIT A: SCOPE OF WORK

non-governmental entity grantee does not expend \$750,000 or more in total federal awards during the fiscal year and is therefore, exempt from Federal audit requirements for this grant contract period. However, the entity understands that it must keep and maintain the grant records and make them available for review or audit by appropriate officials of the Federal agency, pass-through agency (i.e., the Board of State and Community Corrections) and Governmental Accountability Office.

8. UNIQUE ENTITY IDENTIFIER (UEI)

The Contractor/grantee (entity entering into contract with the BSCC) must maintain active registration of their Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) number, used for this Grant Agreement, throughout the term of the contract. An active UEI number is also required to remain in compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA), a reporting tool Federal prime awardees (i.e. prime contractors and prime grants recipients) use to capture and report subaward and executive compensation.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

3. BUDGET CONTINGENCY CLAUSE

- A. It is mutually agreed that if the Budget Act of the current year and/or any subsequent year covered under this Grant Agreement does not appropriate funds for the purposes of this program, this Grant Agreement shall be of no further force and effect. In this event, the BSCC shall have no liability to pay any funds whatsoever to Grantee or to furnish any other considerations under this Agreement and Grantee shall not be obligated to perform any provisions of this Grant Agreement.
- B. This Grant Agreement is valid and enforceable only if sufficient funds are made available by the United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs. Grantee agrees that the BSCC's obligation to pay any sum to the Grantee under any provision of this agreement is contingent upon the availability of sufficient funds.
- C. If JAG funding is reduced or falls below estimates contained within the JAG Request for Proposals, the BSCC shall have the option to either cancel this Grant Agreement with no liability occurring to the BSCC or offer an amendment to this agreement to the Grantee to reflect a reduced amount.
- D. If BSCC cancels the agreement pursuant to Paragraph 3(B) or Grantee does not agree to an amendment in accordance with the option provided by Paragraph 3(B), it is mutually agreed that the Grant Agreement shall have no further force and effect. In this event, the BSCC shall have no liability to pay any funds whatsoever to Grantee or to furnish any other considerations under this Agreement and Grantee shall not be obligated to perform any provisions of this Grant Agreement except that Grantee shall be required to maintain all project records required by Paragraph 6 of Exhibit A for a period of three (3) years following the termination of this agreement.

4. PROJECT COSTS

Grantee is responsible for ensuring that actual expenditures are for eligible project costs. "Eligible and "ineligible" project costs are set forth in the July 2020 BSCC Grant Administration Guide, which can be found under Grantee Resources, here:

https://www.bscc.ca.gov/s_correctionsplanningandprograms/

- A. The provisions of the BSCC Grant Administration Guide are incorporated by reference into this agreement and Grantee shall be responsible for adhering to the requirements set forth therein. To the extent any of the provisions of the BSCC Grant Administration Guide and this agreement conflict, the language in this agreement shall prevail.
- B. Grantee is responsible for ensuring that invoices submitted to the BSCC claim actual expenditures for eligible project costs.
- C. Grantee shall, upon demand, remit to the BSCC any grant funds not expended for eligible project costs or an amount equal to any grant funds expended by the Grantee in violation of the terms, provisions, conditions, or commitments of this Grant Agreement.
- D. Grant funds must be used to support new program activities or to augment existing funds that expand current program activities. Grant funds shall not replace (supplant) any federal, state and/or local funds that have been appropriated for the same purpose. Violations can result in recoupment of monies provided under this grantor suspension of future program funding through BSCC grants.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

5. PROMPT PAYMENT CLAUSE

Payment will be made in accordance with, and within the time specified in, Government Code Chapter 4.5, commencing with Section 927.

6. WITHHOLDING OF GRANT DISBURSEMENTS

- A. The BSCC may withhold all or any portion of the grant funds provided by this Grant Agreement in the event the Grantee has materially and substantially breached the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement.
- B. At such time as the balance of state funds allocated to the Grantee reaches five percent (5%), the BSCC may withhold that amount as security, to be released to the Grantee upon compliance with all grant provisions, including:
 - 1) submittal and approval of the final invoice;
 - 2) submittal and approval of the final progress report; and
 - 3) submittal and approval of any additional required reports.
- C. The BSCC will not reimburse Grantee for costs identified as ineligible for grant funding. If grant funds have been provided for costs subsequently deemed ineligible, the BSCC may either withhold an equal amount from future payments to the Grantee or require repayment of an equal amount to the State by the Grantee.
- D. In the event that grant funds are withheld from the Grantee, the BSCC's Executive Director or designee shall notify the Grantee of the reasons for withholding and advise the Grantee of the time within which the Grantee may remedy the failure or violation leading to the withholding.

7. PROJECT BUDGET

Expending of grant funds is limited to: Year 1: no more than the amount requested for Year 1; Year 2: no more than the amount requested for Year 2 plus any carry over of unspent funds from Year 1 (use of carry over funds requires BSCC pre-approval); and Year 3: no more than the amount requested for Year 3 plus any pre-approved unspent carry over funds from Years 1 and 2.

EXHIBIT B: BUDGET DETAIL AND PAYMENT PROVISIONS

Project Budget	
Line Item	Grant Funds
1. Salaries and Benefits	\$205,438
2. Services and Supplies	\$9,045
3. Professional Services	\$1,750
4. Non-Governmental Based (NGO) Contracts	\$2,469,413
5. Fixed Assets/Equipment	\$0
6. Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation <i>Must be at least 5 percent of the total grant award</i>	\$300,005
7. Other (including training, travel, etc.)	\$22,467
8. Indirect Costs	\$59,871
TOTAL	\$3,067,989

EXHIBIT C: GIA 610: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS (INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS)

1. **APPROVAL:** This Agreement is not valid until signed by both parties and approved by the Department of General Services, if required.
2. **AMENDMENT:** No amendment or variation of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing, signed by the parties, and approved as required. No oral understanding or Agreement not incorporated in the Agreement is binding on any of the parties.
3. **AUDIT:** The agency performing work under this Agreement agrees that the awarding department, the Department of General Services, the California State Auditor, or their designated representative shall have the right to review and to copy any records and supporting documentation pertaining to the performance of this Agreement if it exceeds \$10,000. The agency performing work agrees to maintain such records for possible audit for a minimum of three (3) years after final payment, unless a longer period of record retention is stipulated.
4. **PAYMENT:** Costs for this Agreement shall be computed in accordance with State and Administrative Manual Section 8752 and 8752.1.
5. **SUBCONTRACTING:** All subcontracting must comply with the requirements of the State Contracting Manual, Section 3.06.
6. **ADVANCED PAYMENT:** The parties to this interagency agreement may agree to the advancing of funds as provided in Government Code Sections 11257 through 11263.
7. **DISPUTES:** The agency performing work under this Agreement shall continue with the responsibilities under this Agreement during any dispute.
8. **TIMELINESS:** Time is of the essence in this Agreement.
9. **NON-PAYMENT OF INVOICES – FUND TRANSACTION REQUEST:** In accordance with Government Code Section 11255, the parties agree that when an invoice is not paid by the requested due date to the Contractor (agency providing the service) and the invoice is not disputed by the contracting Department (agency receiving the service), Contractor may send the contracting Department a 30-day notice that it intends to initiate a transfer of funds through a Transaction Request sent to the State Controller's Office. To facilitate a Transaction Request should one be needed, the contracting Department shall no later than 10 business days following execution of this agreement provide data to the Contractor for the appropriation to be charged including: fund number, organization code, fiscal year, reference, category, or program, and, if applicable, element, component, and task.

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. GRANTEE'S GENERAL RESPONSIBILITY

- A. Grantee agrees to comply with all terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement. Review and approval by the BSCC are solely for the purpose of proper administration of grant funds and shall not be deemed to relieve or restrict the Grantee's responsibility.
- B. Grantee is responsible for the performance of all project activities identified in Attachment 1: 2022 JAG Request for Proposals, and Attachment 2: 2022 JAG Application for Funding.
- C. Grantee shall immediately advise the BSCC of any significant problems or changes that arise during the course of the project.

2. GRANTEE ASSURANCES AND COMMITMENTS

- A. Compliance with Laws and Regulations
This Grant Agreement is governed by and shall be interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California. Grantee shall at all times comply with all applicable State laws, rules and regulations, and all applicable local ordinances.
- B. Compliance with Federal Laws and Regulations
The Grantee hereby assures and certifies compliance with all federal statutes, regulations, policies, guidelines and requirements, including any federal conditions, which are included in this Grant Agreement as Exhibit E.
- C. Fulfillment of Assurances and Declarations
Grantee shall fulfill all assurances, declarations, representations, and statements made by the Grantee in Attachment 1: 2022 JAG Request for Proposals, and Attachment 2: 2022 JAG Application for Funding, documents, amendments, approved modifications, and communications filed in support of its request for grant funds.
- D. Permits and Licenses
Grantee agrees to procure all permits and licenses necessary to complete the project, pay all charges and fees, and give all notices necessary or incidental to the due and lawful proceeding of the project work.

3. POTENTIAL SUBCONTRACTORS

- A. In accordance with the provisions of this Grant Agreement, the Grantee may subcontract for services needed to implement and/or support program activities. Grantee agrees that in the event of any inconsistency between this Grant Agreement and Grantee's agreement with a subcontractor, the language of this Grant Agreement will prevail.
- B. Nothing contained in this Grant Agreement or otherwise, shall create any contractual relation between the BSCC and any subcontractors, and no subcontract shall relieve the Grantee of his responsibilities and obligations hereunder. The Grantee agrees to be as fully responsible to the BSCC for the acts and omissions of its subcontractors and of persons either directly or indirectly employed by any of them as it is for the acts and omissions of persons directly employed by the Grantee. The Grantee's obligation to pay its subcontractors is an independent obligation from the BSCC's obligation to make payments to the Grantee. As a result, the BSCC shall have no obligation to pay or to enforce the payment of any moneys to any subcontractor.
- C. Grantee shall ensure that all subcontractors comply with the eligibility requirements stated in the JAG RFP and described in Appendix B.

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- D. Grantee assures that for any subcontract awarded by the Grantee, such insurance and fidelity bonds, as is customary and appropriate, will be obtained.
- E. Grantee agrees to place appropriate language in all subcontracts for work on the project requiring the Grantee's subcontractors to:

- 1) Books and Records

Maintain adequate fiscal and project books, records, documents, and other evidence pertinent to the subcontractor's work on the project in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Adequate supporting documentation shall be maintained in such detail so as to permit tracing transactions from the invoices, to the accounting records, to the supporting documentation. These records shall be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years after the acceptance of the final grant project audit under the Grant Agreement and shall be subject to examination and/or audit by the BSCC or designees, state government auditors or designees, or by federal government auditors or designees.

- 2) Access to Books and Records

Make such books, records, supporting documentations, and other evidence available to the BSCC or designee, the State Controller's Office, the Department of General Services, the Department of Finance, California State Auditor, and their designated representatives during the course of the project and for a minimum of three (3) years after acceptance of the final grant project audit. The Subcontractor shall provide suitable facilities for access, monitoring, inspection, and copying of books and records related to the grant-funded project.

4. PROJECT ACCESS

Grantee shall ensure that the BSCC, or any authorized representative, will have suitable access to project activities, sites, staff and documents at all reasonable times during the grant period including those maintained by subcontractors. Access to program records will be made available by both the grantee and the subcontractors for a period of three (3) years following the end of the grant period.

5. ACCOUNTING AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

- A. All funds received by the Grantee shall be deposited into separate fund accounts which identify the funds and clearly show the manner of their disposition. Grantee agrees that accounting procedures for grant funds received pursuant to this Grant Agreement shall be in accordance with generally accepted government accounting principles and practices, and adequate supporting documentation shall be maintained in such detail as to provide an audit trail. Supporting documentation shall permit the tracing of transactions from such documents to relevant accounting records, financial reports and invoices.
- B. Federal Audit Requirement: Grantee is required to complete an audit annually for each fiscal year/audit period, or fraction thereof, for the entire three-year grant cycle. See Exhibit A: Scope of Work, Section 8. Audit, for federal audit requirements.
- C. The BSCC reserves the right to call for a program or financial audit at any time between the execution of this Grant Agreement and three years following the end of the grant period. At any time, the BSCC may disallow all or part of the cost of the activity or action determined to not be in compliance with the terms and conditions of this Grant Agreement or take other remedies legally available.

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

6. DEBARMENT, FRAUD, THEFT OR EMBEZZLEMENT

It is the policy of the BSCC to protect grant funds from unreasonable risks of fraudulent, criminal, or other improper use. As such, the Board will not enter into contracts or provide reimbursement to grantees that have been:

1. debarred by any federal, state, or local government entities during the period of debarment; or
2. convicted of fraud, theft, or embezzlement of federal, state, or local government grant funds for a period of three years following conviction.

Furthermore, the BSCC requires grant recipients to provide an assurance that there has been no applicable debarment, disqualification, suspension, or removal from a federal, state or local grant program on the part of the grantee at the time of application and that the grantee will immediately notify the BSCC should such debarment or conviction occur during the term of the Grant contract.

BSCC also requires that all grant recipients include, as a condition of award to a subgrantee or subcontractor, a requirement that the subgrantee or subcontractor will provide the same assurances to the grant recipient. If a grant recipient wishes to consider a subgrantee or subcontractor that has been debarred or convicted, the grant recipient must submit a written request for exception to the BSCC along with supporting documentation.

All Grantees must have on file with the BSCC a completed and signed Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies on Debarment, Fraud, Theft and Embezzlement (Required as Attachment E of the original Proposal Package).

7. MODIFICATIONS

No change or modification in the project will be permitted without prior written approval from the BSCC. Changes may include modification to project scope, changes to performance measures, compliance with collection of data elements, and other significant changes in the budget or program components contained in Attachment 1: 2022 JAG Request for Proposals, and Attachment 2: 2022 JAG Application for Funding.

8. TERMINATION

- A. This Grant Agreement may be terminated by the BSCC at any time after grant award and prior to completion of project upon action or inaction by the Grantee that constitutes a material and substantial breach of this Grant Agreement. Such action or inaction includes but is not limited to:
 - 1) substantial alteration of the scope of the grant project without prior written approval of the BSCC;
 - 2) refusal or inability to complete the grant project in a manner consistent with Attachment 1: 2022 JAG Request for Proposals, and Attachment 2: 2022 JAG Application for Funding
 - 3) failure to provide the required local match share of the total project costs; and
 - 4) failure to meet prescribed assurances, commitments, recording, accounting, auditing, and reporting requirements of the Grant Agreement.
- B. Prior to terminating the Grant Agreement under this provision, the BSCC shall provide the Grantee at least 30 calendar days written notice stating the reasons for termination and effective date thereof. The Grantee may appeal the termination decision in accordance with the

EXHIBIT D: SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

instructions listed in Exhibit D: Special Terms and Conditions, Number 8. Settlement of Disputes.

9. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

- A. The parties shall deal in good faith and attempt to resolve potential disputes informally. If the dispute persists, the Grantee shall submit to the BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director a written demand for a final decision regarding the disposition of any dispute between the parties arising under, related to, or involving this Grant Agreement. Grantee's written demand shall be fully supported by factual information. The BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director shall have 30 days after receipt of Grantee's written demand invoking this Section "Disputes" to render a written decision. If a written decision is not rendered within 30 days after receipt of the Grantee's demand, it shall be deemed a decision adverse to the Grantee's contention. If the Grantee is not satisfied with the decision of the BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director, the Grantee may appeal the decision, in writing, within 15 days of its issuance (or the expiration of the 30-day period in the event no decision is rendered), to the BSCC Executive Director, who shall have 45 days to render a final decision. If the Grantee does not appeal the decision of the BSCC Corrections Planning and Grant Programs Division Deputy Director, the decision shall be conclusive and binding regarding the dispute and the Contractor shall be barred from commencing an action in court, or with the Victims Compensation Government Claims Board, for failure to exhaust Grantee's administrative remedies.
- B. Pending the final resolution of any dispute arising under, related to or involving this Grant Agreement, Grantee agrees to diligently proceed with the performance of this Grant Agreement, including the providing of services in accordance with the Grant Agreement. Grantee's failure to diligently proceed in accordance with the State's instructions regarding this Grant Agreement shall be considered a material breach of this Grant Agreement.
- C. Any final decision of the State shall be expressly identified as such, shall be in writing, and shall be signed by the Executive Director, if an appeal was made. If the Executive Director fails to render a final decision within 45 days after receipt of the Grantee's appeal for a final decision, it shall be deemed a final decision adverse to the Grantee's contentions. The State's final decision shall be conclusive and binding regarding the dispute unless the Grantee commences an action in a court of competent jurisdiction to contest such decision within 90 days following the date of the final decision or one (1) year following the accrual of the cause of action, whichever is later.
- D. The dates of decision and appeal in this section may be modified by mutual consent, as applicable, excepting the time to commence an action in a court of competent jurisdiction.

10. WAIVER

The parties hereto may waive any of their rights under this Grant Agreement unless such waiver is contrary to law, provided that any such waiver shall be in writing and signed by the party making such waiver.

2020 JAG Federal Award Conditions

The Grantee hereby assures and certifies compliance with all federal statutes, regulations, policies, guidelines and requirements, including the following:

1. Requirements of the award; remedies for non-compliance or for materially false statements

The conditions of this award are material requirements of the award. Compliance with any assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance also is a material requirement of this award.

Limited Exceptions. In certain special circumstances, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") may determine that it will not enforce, or enforce only in part, one or more requirements otherwise applicable to the award. Any such exceptions regarding enforcement, including any such exceptions made during the period of performance, are (or will be during the period of performance) set out through the Office of Justice Programs ("OJP") webpage entitled "Legal Notices: Special circumstances as to particular award conditions" (ojp.gov/funding/Explore/LegalNotices-AwardReqs.htm), and incorporated by reference into the award.

By signing and accepting this award on behalf of the recipient, the authorized recipient official accepts all material requirements of the award, and specifically adopts, as if personally executed by the authorized recipient official, all assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance.

Failure to comply with one or more award requirements -- whether a condition set out in full below, a condition incorporated by reference below, or an assurance or certification related to conduct during the award period -- may result in OJP taking appropriate action with respect to the recipient and the award. Among other things, the OJP may withhold award funds, disallow costs, or suspend or terminate the award. DOJ, including OJP, also may take other legal action as appropriate.

Any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement to the federal government related to this award (or concealment or omission of a material fact) may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. 10271-10273), and also may lead to imposition of civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801-3812).

Should any provision of a requirement of this award be held to be invalid or unenforceable by its terms, that provision shall first be applied with a limited construction so as to give it the maximum effect permitted by law. Should it be held, instead, that the provision is utterly invalid or unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed severable from this award.

2. Applicability of Part 200 Uniform Requirements

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as adopted and supplemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (together, the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") apply to this FY 2020 award from OJP.

The Part 200 Uniform Requirements were first adopted by DOJ on December 26, 2014. If this FY 2020 award supplements funds previously awarded by OJP under the same award number (e.g., funds awarded during or before December 2014), the Part 200 Uniform Requirements apply with respect to all funds under that award number (regardless of the award date, and regardless of whether derived from the initial award or a supplemental award) that are obligated on or after the acceptance date of this FY 2020 award.

For more information and resources on the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as they relate to OJP awards and subawards ("subgrants"), see the OJP website at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Part200UniformRequirements.htm>. Record retention and access: Records pertinent to the award that the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must retain -- typically for a period of 3 years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report (SF 425), unless a different retention period applies -- and to which the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must provide access, include performance measurement information, in addition to the financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and other pertinent records indicated at 2 C.F.R. 200.333.

In the event that an award-related question arises from documents or other materials prepared or distributed by OJP that may appear to conflict with, or differ in some way from, the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, the recipient is to contact OJP promptly for clarification.

3. Compliance with DOJ Grants Financial Guide

References to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide are to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide as posted on the OJP website (currently, the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide" available at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>), including any updated version that may be posted during the period of performance. The recipient agrees to comply with the DOJ Grants Financial Guide.

4. Reclassification of various statutory provisions to a new Title 34 of the United States Code

On September 1, 2017, various statutory provisions previously codified elsewhere in the U.S. Code were editorially reclassified (that is, moved and renumbered) to a new Title 34, entitled "Crime Control and Law Enforcement." The reclassification encompassed a number of statutory provisions pertinent to OJP awards (that is, OJP grants and cooperative agreements), including many provisions previously codified in Title 42 of the U.S. Code.

Effective as of September 1, 2017, any reference in this award document to a statutory provision that has been reclassified to the new Title 34 of the U.S. Code is to be read as a reference to that statutory provision as reclassified to Title 34. This rule of construction specifically includes references set out in award conditions, references set out in material incorporated by reference through award conditions, and references set out in other award requirements.

5. Required training for Point of Contact and all Financial Points of Contact

Both the Point of Contact (POC) and all Financial Points of Contact (FPOCs) for this award must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by

120 days after the date of the recipient's acceptance of the award. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2018, will satisfy this condition.

In the event that either the POC or an FPOC for this award changes during the period of performance, the new POC or FPOC must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 calendar days after -- (1) the date of OJP's approval of the "Change Grantee Contact" GAN (in the case of a new POC), or (2) the date the POC enters information on the new FPOC in GMS (in the case of a new FPOC). Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2018, will satisfy this condition.

A list of OJP trainings that OJP will consider "OJP financial management and grant administration training" for purposes of this condition is available at <https://www.ojp.gov/training/fmts.htm>. All trainings that satisfy this condition include a session on grant fraud prevention and detection.

The recipient should anticipate that OJP will immediately withhold ("freeze") award funds if the recipient fails to comply with this condition. The recipient's failure to comply also may lead OJP to impose additional appropriate conditions on this award.

6. Requirements related to "de minimis" indirect cost rate

A recipient that is eligible under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements and other applicable law to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f), and that elects to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate, must advise OJP in writing of both its eligibility and its election, and must comply with all associated requirements in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. The "de minimis" rate may be applied only to modified total direct costs (MTDC) as defined by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements.

7. Requirement to report potentially duplicative funding

If the recipient currently has other active awards of federal funds, or if the recipient receives any other award of federal funds during the period of performance for this award, the recipient promptly must determine whether funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items for which funds are provided under this award. If so, the recipient must promptly notify the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) in writing of the potential duplication, and, if so requested by the DOJ awarding agency, must seek a budget-modification or change-of-project-scope grant adjustment notice (GAN) to eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

8. Requirements related to System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements regarding the System for Award Management (SAM), currently accessible at <https://www.sam.gov/>. This includes applicable requirements regarding registration with SAM, as well as maintaining the currency of information in SAM.

The recipient also must comply with applicable restrictions on subawards ("subgrants") to first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees"), including restrictions on subawards to entities that do not acquire and provide (to the recipient) the unique entity identifier required for SAM registration.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to SAM and to unique entity identifiers are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SAM.htm> (Award condition: System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

9. Employment eligibility verification for hiring under the award¹

1. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must—

A. Ensure that, as part of the hiring process for any position within the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds, the recipient (or any subrecipient) properly verifies the employment eligibility of the individual who is being hired, consistent with the provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) and (2).

B. Notify all persons associated with the recipient (or any subrecipient) who are or will be involved in activities under this award of both—

(1) this award requirement for verification of employment eligibility, and

(2) the associated provisions in 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) and (2) that, generally speaking, make it unlawful, in the United States, to hire (or recruit for employment) certain aliens.

C. Provide training (to the extent necessary) to those persons required by this condition to be notified of the award requirement for employment eligibility verification and of the associated provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) and (2).

D. As part of the recordkeeping for the award (including pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements), maintain records of all employment eligibility verifications pertinent to compliance with this award condition in accordance with Form I-9 record retention requirements, as well as records of all pertinent notifications and trainings.

2. Monitoring

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with this condition.

3. Allowable costs

To the extent that such costs are not reimbursed under any other federal program, award funds may be obligated for the reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs (if any) of actions designed to ensure compliance with this condition.

¹ Please note: this award condition is subject to a stipulated agreement between the State of California and DOJ in *California v. Barr*, No. 3:19-cv-6189-WHO, ECF No. 41 (N.D. Cal. June 3, 2020)

4. Rules of construction

A. Staff involved in the hiring process

For purposes of this condition, persons "who are or will be involved in activities under this award" specifically includes (without limitation) any and all recipient (or any subrecipient) officials or other staff who are or will be involved in the hiring process with respect to a position that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

B. Employment eligibility confirmation with E-Verify

For purposes of satisfying the requirement of this condition regarding verification of employment eligibility, the recipient (or any subrecipient) may choose to participate in, and use, E-Verify (www.e-verify.gov), provided an appropriate person authorized to act on behalf of the recipient (or subrecipient) uses E-Verify (and follows the proper E-Verify procedures, including in the event of a "Tentative Nonconfirmation" or a "Final Nonconfirmation") to confirm employment eligibility for each hiring for a position in the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

C. "United States" specifically includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

D. Nothing in this condition shall be understood to authorize or require any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, to violate any federal law, including any applicable civil rights or nondiscrimination law.

E. Nothing in this condition, including in paragraph 4.B., shall be understood to relieve any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, of any obligation otherwise imposed by law, including 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) and (2).

Questions about E-Verify should be directed to DHS. For more information about E-Verify visit the E-Verify website (<https://www.e-verify.gov/>) or email E-Verify at E-Verify@dhs.gov. E-Verify employer agents can email E-VerifyEmployerAgent@dhs.gov.

Questions about the meaning or scope of this condition should be directed to OJP, before award acceptance.

10. Requirement to report actual or imminent breach of personally identifiable information (PII)

The recipient (and any "subrecipient" at any tier) must have written procedures in place to respond in the event of an actual or imminent "breach" (OMB M-17-12) if it (or a subrecipient) -- (1) creates, collects, uses, processes, stores, maintains, disseminates, discloses, or disposes of "personally identifiable information (PII)" (2 CFR 200.79) within the scope of an OJP grant-funded program or activity, or (2) uses or operates a "Federal information system" (OMB Circular A-130). The recipient's breach procedures must include a requirement to report actual or imminent breach of PII to an OJP Program Manager no later than 24 hours after an occurrence of an actual breach, or the detection of an imminent breach.

11. All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements for authorization of any subaward. This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a "subaward" (and therefore does not consider a procurement "contract").

The details of the requirement for authorization of any subaward are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SubawardAuthorization.htm> (Award condition: All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization), and are incorporated by reference here.

12. Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed \$250,000

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements to obtain specific advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently, \$250,000). This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a procurement "contract" (and therefore does not consider a subaward).

The details of the requirement for advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract under an OJP award are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/NoncompetitiveProcurement.htm> (Award condition: Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract (if contract would exceed \$250,000)), and are incorporated by reference here.

13. Unreasonable restrictions on competition under the award; association with federal government

SCOPE. This condition applies with respect to any procurement of property or services that is funded (in whole or in part) by this award, whether by the recipient or by any subrecipient at any tier, and regardless of the dollar amount of the purchase or acquisition, the method of procurement, or the nature of any legal instrument used. The provisions of this condition must be among those included in any subaward (at any tier).

1. No discrimination, in procurement transactions, against associates of the federal government

Consistent with the (DOJ) Part 200 Uniform Requirements -- including as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (requiring awards to be "manage[d] and administer[ed] in a manner so as to ensure that Federal funding is expended and associated programs are implemented in full accordance with U.S. statutory and public policy requirements") and 200.319(a) (generally requiring "[a]ll procurement transactions [to] be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition" and forbidding practices "restrictive of competition," such as "[p]lacing unreasonable requirements on firms in order for them to qualify to do business" and taking "[a]ny arbitrary action in the procurement process") -- no recipient (or subrecipient, at any tier) may (in any procurement transaction) discriminate against any person or entity on the basis of such person or entity's status as an "associate of the federal government" (or on the basis of such person or entity's status as a parent, affiliate, or subsidiary of such an associate), except as expressly set out in 2 C.F.R. 200.319(a) or as specifically authorized by USDOJ.

2. Monitoring

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with this condition.

3. Allowable costs

To the extent that such costs are not reimbursed under any other federal program, award funds may be obligated for the reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs (if any) of actions designed to ensure compliance with this condition.

4. Rules of construction

A. The term "associate of the federal government" means any person or entity engaged or employed (in the past or at present) by or on behalf of the federal government -- as an employee, contractor or subcontractor (at any tier), grant recipient or -subrecipient (at any tier), agent, or otherwise -- in undertaking any work, project, or activity for or on behalf of (or in providing goods or services to or on behalf of) the federal government, and includes any applicant for such employment or engagement, and any person or entity committed by legal instrument to undertake any such work, project, or activity (or to provide such goods or services) in future.

B. Nothing in this condition shall be understood to authorize or require any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, to violate any federal law, including any applicable civil rights or nondiscrimination law.

14. Requirements pertaining to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements (including requirements to report allegations) pertaining to prohibited conduct related to the trafficking of persons, whether on the part of recipients, subrecipients ("subgrantees"), or individuals defined (for purposes of this condition) as "employees" of the recipient or of any subrecipient.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/ProhibitedConduct-Trafficking.htm> (Award condition: Prohibited conduct by recipients and subrecipients related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)), and are incorporated by reference here.

15. Determination of suitability to interact with participating minors

SCOPE. This condition applies to this award if it is indicated -- in the application for the award (as approved by DOJ)(or in the application for any subaward, at any tier), the DOJ funding announcement (solicitation), or an associated federal statute -- that a purpose of some or all of the activities to be carried out under the award (whether by the recipient, or a subrecipient at any tier) is to benefit a set of individuals under 18 years of age.

The recipient, and any subrecipient at any tier, must make determinations of suitability before certain individuals may interact with participating minors. This requirement applies regardless of an individual's employment status.

The details of this requirement are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/Interact-Minors.htm> (Award condition: Determination of suitability required, in advance, for certain individuals who may interact with participating minors), and are incorporated by reference here.

16. Compliance with applicable rules regarding approval, planning, and reporting of conferences, meetings, trainings, and other events

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, and official DOJ guidance (including specific cost limits, prior approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences (as that term is defined by DOJ), including the provision of food and/or beverages at such conferences, and costs of attendance at such conferences.

Information on the pertinent DOJ definition of conferences and the rules applicable to this award appears in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide (currently, as section 3.10 of "Postaward Requirements" in the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide").

17. Requirement for data on performance and effectiveness under the award

The recipient must collect and maintain data that measure the performance and effectiveness of work under this award. The data must be provided to OJP in the manner (including within the timeframes) specified by OJP in the program solicitation or other applicable written guidance. Data collection supports compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, and other applicable laws.

18. OJP Training Guiding Principles

Any training or training materials that the recipient -- or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier -- develops or delivers with OJP award funds must adhere to the OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Implement/TrainingPrinciplesForGrantees-Subgrantees.htm>.

19. Effect of failure to address audit issues

The recipient understands and agrees that the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) may withhold award funds, or may impose other related requirements, if (as determined by the DOJ awarding agency) the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly address outstanding issues from audits required by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements (or by the terms of this award), or other outstanding issues that arise in connection with audits, investigations, or reviews of DOJ awards.

20. Potential imposition of additional requirements

The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed by the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) during the period of performance for this award, if the recipient is designated as "highrisk" for purposes of the DOJ high-risk grantee list.

21. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 42

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 42, specifically including any applicable requirements in Subpart E of 28 C.F.R. Part 42 that relate to an equal employment opportunity program.

22. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 54

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 54, which relates to nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in certain "education programs."

23. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 38

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 (as may be applicable from time to time), specifically including any applicable requirements regarding written notice to program beneficiaries and prospective program beneficiaries.

Currently, among other things, 28 C.F.R. Part 38 includes rules that prohibit specific forms of discrimination on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice. Part 38, currently, also sets out rules and requirements that pertain to recipient and subrecipient ("subgrantee") organizations that engage in or conduct explicitly religious activities, as well as rules and requirements that pertain to recipients and subrecipients that are faith-based or religious organizations.

The text of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 is available via the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (currently accessible at <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse>), by browsing to Title 28-Judicial Administration, Chapter 1, Part 38, under e-CFR "current" data.

24. Restrictions on "lobbying"

In general, as a matter of federal law, federal funds awarded by OJP may not be used by the recipient, or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, either directly or indirectly, to support or oppose the enactment, repeal, modification, or adoption of any law, regulation, or policy, at any level of government. See 18 U.S.C. 1913. (There may be exceptions if an applicable federal statute specifically authorizes certain activities that otherwise would be barred by law.)

Another federal law generally prohibits federal funds awarded by OJP from being used by the recipient, or any subrecipient at any tier, to pay any person to influence (or attempt to influence) a federal agency, a Member of Congress, or Congress (or an official or employee of any of them)

with respect to the awarding of a federal grant or cooperative agreement, subgrant, contract, subcontract, or loan, or with respect to actions such as renewing, extending, or modifying any such award. See 31 U.S.C. 1352. Certain exceptions to this law apply, including an exception that applies to Indian tribes and tribal organizations.

Should any question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of these prohibitions, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

- 25.** Compliance with general appropriations-law restrictions on the use of federal funds (FY 2020) The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable restrictions on the use of federal funds set out in federal appropriations statutes. Pertinent restrictions that may be set out in applicable appropriations acts are indicated at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FY20AppropriationsRestrictions.htm>, and are incorporated by reference here. Should a question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or a subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of an appropriations-law restriction, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

26. Reporting potential fraud, waste, and abuse, and similar misconduct

The recipient, and any subrecipients ("subgrantees") at any tier, must promptly refer to the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG) any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has, in connection with funds under this award-- (1) submitted a claim that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct.

Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct involving or relating to funds under this award should be reported to the OIG by--(1) online submission accessible via the OIG webpage at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline/contact-grants.htm> (select "Submit Report Online"); (2) mail directed to: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Investigations Division, ATTN: Grantee Reporting, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20530; and/or (3) by facsimile directed to the DOJ OIG Investigations Division (Attn: Grantee Reporting) at (202) 616-9881 (fax).

Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline>.

27. Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient ("subgrantee") under this award, or entity that receives a procurement contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information),

Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient—

a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized under this award to make subawards ("subgrants"), procurement contracts, or both—

a. it represents that—

(1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward ("subgrant"), procurement contract, or subcontract under a procurement contract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

(2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

28. Compliance with 41 U.S.C. 4712 (including prohibitions on reprisal; notice to employees)

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with, and is subject to, all applicable provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712, including all applicable provisions that prohibit, under specified circumstances, discrimination against an employee as reprisal for the employee's disclosure of information related to gross mismanagement of a federal grant, a gross waste of federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a federal grant, a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a federal grant.

The recipient also must inform its employees, in writing (and in the predominant native language of the workforce), of employee rights and remedies under 41 U.S.C. 4712.

Should a question arise as to the applicability of the provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712 to this award, the recipient is to contact the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) for guidance.

29. Encouragement of policies to ban text messaging while driving

Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), DOJ encourages recipients and subrecipients ("subgrantees") to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this award, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

30. Requirement to disclose whether recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ

If the recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ, currently or at any time during the course of the period of performance under this award, the recipient must disclose that fact and certain related information to OJP by email at OJP.ComplianceReporting@ojp.usdoj.gov. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the recipient's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the recipient. The recipient's disclosure must include the following: 1. The federal awarding agency that currently designates the recipient high risk, 2. The date the recipient was designated high risk, 3. The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address), and 4. The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency.

[Intentionally Omitted: award conditions 31 through 41, as originally set out in the award document, per [OJP Notices No. 41](#), [No. 42](#), [No. 43](#), and [No. 47](#).]

42. Cooperating with OJP Monitoring

The recipient agrees to cooperate with OJP monitoring of this award pursuant to OJP's guidelines, protocols, and procedures, and to cooperate with OJP (including the grant manager for this award and the Office of Chief Financial Officer (OCFO)) requests related to such monitoring, including requests related to desk reviews and/or site visits. The recipient agrees to provide to OJP all documentation necessary for OJP to complete its monitoring tasks, including documentation related to any subawards made under this award. Further, the recipient agrees to abide by reasonable deadlines set by OJP for providing the requested documents. Failure to cooperate with OJP's monitoring activities may result in actions that affect the recipient's DOJ awards, including, but not limited to: withholdings and/or other restrictions on the recipient's access to award funds; referral to the DOJ OIG for audit review; designation of the recipient as a DOJ High Risk grantee; or termination of an award(s).

43. FFATA reporting: Subawards and executive compensation

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards ("subgrants") of \$25,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees") of award funds. The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FFATA.htm> (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition, including its reporting requirement, does not apply to-- (1) an award of less than \$25,000, or (2) an award made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

44. Required monitoring of subawards

The recipient must monitor subawards under this award in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, award conditions, and the DOJ Grants Financial Guide, and must include the applicable conditions of this award in any subaward. Among other things, the recipient is responsible for oversight of subrecipient spending and monitoring of specific outcomes and benefits attributable to use of award funds by subrecipients. The recipient agrees to submit, upon request, documentation of its policies and procedures for monitoring of subawards under this award.

45. Use of program income

Program income (as defined in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements) must be used in accordance with the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Program income earnings and expenditures both must be reported on the quarterly Federal Financial Report, SF 425.

46. Justice Information Sharing

Information sharing projects funded under this award must comply with DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative (Global) guidelines. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must conform to the Global Standards Package (GSP) and all constituent elements, where applicable, as described at: https://it.ojp.gov/gsp_grantcondition. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must document planned approaches to information sharing and describe compliance with the GSP and appropriate privacy policy that protects shared information, or provide detailed justification for why an alternative approach is recommended.

47. Avoidance of duplication of networks

To avoid duplicating existing networks or IT systems in any initiatives funded by BJA for law enforcement information sharing systems which involve interstate connectivity between jurisdictions, such systems shall employ, to the extent possible, existing networks as the communication backbone to achieve interstate connectivity, unless the recipient can demonstrate to the satisfaction of BJA that this requirement would not be cost effective or would impair the functionality of an existing or proposed IT system.

48. Compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 23

With respect to any information technology system funded or supported by funds under this award, the recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with 28 C.F.R. Part 23, Criminal Intelligence Systems Operating Policies, if OJP determines this regulation to be applicable. Should OJP determine 28 C.F.R. Part 23 to be applicable, OJP may, at its discretion, perform audits of the system, as per the regulation. Should any violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 23 occur, the recipient may be fined as per 34 U.S.C. 10231(c)-(d). The recipient may not satisfy such a fine with federal funds.

49. Protection of human research subjects

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 46 and all OJP policies and procedures regarding the protection of human research subjects, including obtaining of Institutional Review Board approval, if appropriate, and subject informed consent.

50. Confidentiality of data

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with all confidentiality requirements of 34 U.S.C. 10231 and 28 C.F.R. Part 22 that are applicable to collection, use, and revelation of data or information. The recipient further agrees, as a condition of award approval, to submit a Privacy Certificate that is in accord with requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 22 and, in particular, 28 C.F.R. 22.23.

51. Verification and updating of recipient contact information

The recipient must verify its Point of Contact(POC), Financial Point of Contact (FPOC), and Authorized Representative contact information in GMS, including telephone number and e-mail address. If any information is incorrect or has changed, a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) must be submitted via the Grants Management System (GMS) to document changes.

52. Law enforcement task forces - required training

Within 120 days of award acceptance, each current member of a law enforcement task force funded with award funds who is a task force commander, agency executive, task force officer, or other task force member of equivalent rank, must complete required online (internet-based) task force training. Additionally, all future task force members must complete this training once during the period of performance for this award, or once every four years if multiple OJP awards include this requirement.

The required training is available free of charge online through the BJA-funded Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org). The training addresses task force effectiveness, as well as other key issues including privacy and civil liberties/rights, task force performance measurement, personnel selection, and task force oversight and accountability. If award funds are used to support a task force, the recipient must compile and maintain a task force personnel roster, along with course completion certificates.

Additional information regarding the training is available through BJA's web site and the Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org).

53. Justification of consultant rate

Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of \$650 per day. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the OJP program office prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds.

54. Submission of eligible records relevant to the National Instant Background Check System

Consonant with federal statutes that pertain to firearms and background checks -- including 18 U.S.C. 922 and 34 U.S.C. ch. 409 -- if the recipient (or any subrecipient at any tier) uses this award to fund (in whole or in part) a specific project or program (such as a law enforcement, prosecution, or court program) that results in any court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the National Instant Background Check System (NICS), or that has as one of its purposes the establishment or improvement of records systems that contain any court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the NICS, the recipient (or subrecipient, if applicable) must ensure that all such court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the NICS are promptly made available to the NICS or to the "State" repository/database that is electronically available to (and accessed by) the NICS, and -- when appropriate -- promptly must update, correct, modify, or remove such NICSrelevant "eligible records".

In the event of minor and transitory non-compliance, the recipient may submit evidence to demonstrate diligent monitoring of compliance with this condition (including subrecipient compliance). DOJ will give great weight to any such evidence in any express written determination regarding this condition.

55. "Methods of Administration" - monitoring compliance with civil rights laws and nondiscrimination provisions

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with applicable federal civil rights laws and nondiscrimination provisions. Within 90 days of the date of award acceptance, the recipient must submit to OJP's Office for Civil Rights (at CivilRightsMOA@usdoj.gov) written Methods of Administration ("MOA") for subrecipient monitoring with respect to civil rights requirements. In addition, upon request by OJP (or by another authorized federal agency), the recipient must make associated documentation available for review.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to Methods of Administration are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/StateMethodsAdmin-FY2017update.htm> (Award condition: "Methods of Administration" - Requirements applicable to States (FY 2017 Update)) and are incorporated by reference here.

56. Required attendance at BJA-sponsored events

The recipient (and its subrecipients at any tier) must participate in BJA-sponsored training events, technical assistance events, or conferences held by BJA or its designees, upon BJA's request.

57. Compliance with National Environmental Policy Act and related statutes

Upon request, the recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must assist BJA in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act, and other related federal environmental impact analyses requirements in the use of these award funds, either directly by the recipient or by a subrecipient. Accordingly, the recipient agrees to first determine if any of the following activities will be funded by the grant, prior to obligating funds for any of these purposes. If it is determined that any of the following activities will be funded by the award, the recipient agrees to contact BJA.

The recipient understands that this condition applies to new activities as set out below, whether or not they are being specifically funded with these award funds. That is, as long as the activity is being conducted by the recipient, a subrecipient, or any third party, and the activity needs to be undertaken in order to use these award funds, this condition must first be met. The activities covered by this condition are:

- a. New construction;
- b. Minor renovation or remodeling of a property located in an environmentally or historically sensitive area, including properties located within a 100-year flood plain, a wetland, or habitat for endangered species, or a property listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;
- c. A renovation, lease, or any proposed use of a building or facility that will either (a) result in a change in its basic prior use or (b) significantly change its size;
- d. Implementation of a new program involving the use of chemicals other than chemicals that are (a) purchased as an incidental component of a funded activity and (b) traditionally used, for example, in office, household, recreational, or education environments; and
- e. Implementation of a program relating to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory operations, including the identification, seizure, or closure of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

The recipient understands and agrees that complying with NEPA may require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement, as directed by BJA. The recipient further understands and agrees to the requirements for implementation of a Mitigation Plan, as detailed at <https://bja.gov/Funding/nepa.html>, for programs relating to methamphetamine laboratory operations.

Application of This Condition to Recipient's Existing Programs or Activities: For any of the recipient's or its subrecipients' existing programs or activities that will be funded by these award funds, the recipient, upon specific request from BJA, agrees to cooperate with BJA in any preparation by BJA of a national or program environmental assessment of that funded program or activity.

58. Establishment of trust fund

If award funds are being drawn down in advance, the recipient (or a subrecipient, with respect to a subaward) is required to establish a trust fund account. Recipients (and subrecipients) must maintain advance payments of federal awards in interest-bearing accounts, unless regulatory exclusions apply (2 C.F.R. 200.305(b)(8)). The trust fund, including any interest, may not be used to pay debts or expenses incurred by other activities beyond the scope of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG). The recipient also agrees to obligate the award funds in the trust fund (including any interest earned) during the period of performance for the award and expend within 90 days thereafter. Any unobligated or unexpended funds, including interest earned, must be returned to OJP at the time of closeout.

59. Prohibition on use of award funds for match under BVP program

JAG funds may not be used as the 50% match for purposes of the DOJ Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) program.

60. Certification of body armor "mandatory wear" policies

If recipient uses funds under this award to purchase body armor, the recipient must submit a signed certification that law enforcement agencies receiving body armor purchased with funds from this award have a written "mandatory wear" policy in effect. The recipient must keep signed certifications on file for any subrecipients planning to utilize funds from this award for ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchases. This policy must be in place for at least all uniformed officers before any funds from this award may be used by an agency for body armor. There are no requirements regarding the nature of the policy other than it be a mandatory wear policy for all uniformed officers while on duty.

61. Body armor - compliance with NIJ standards and other requirements

Ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchased with JAG award funds may be purchased at any threat level, make or model, from any distributor or manufacturer, as long as the body armor has been tested and found to comply with applicable National Institute of Justice ballistic or stab standards and is listed on the NIJ Compliant Body Armor Model List (<https://nij.gov/topics/technology/body-armor/Pages/compliant-ballistic-armor.aspx>). In addition, ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchased must be made in the United States and must be uniquely fitted, as set forth in 34 U.S.C. 10202(c)(1)(A). The latest NIJ standard information can be found here: <https://nij.gov/topics/technology/body-armor/pages/safety-initiative.aspx>.

62. Reporting requirements

The recipient must submit quarterly Federal Financial Reports (SF-425) and semi-annual performance reports through OJP's GMS (<https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov>). Consistent with the Department's responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, the recipient must provide data that measure the results of its work. The recipient must submit quarterly performance metrics reports through BJA's Performance

Measurement Tool (PMT) website (www.bjaperformancetools.org). For more detailed information on reporting and other JAG requirements, refer to the JAG reporting requirements webpage. Failure to submit required JAG reports by established deadlines may result in the freezing of grant funds and future High Risk designation.

63. Required data on law enforcement agency training

Any law enforcement agency receiving direct or sub-awarded funding from this JAG award must submit quarterly accountability metrics data related to training that officers have received on the use of force, racial and ethnic bias, de-escalation of conflict, and constructive engagement with the public.

64. Expenditures prohibited without waiver

No funds under this award may be expended on the purchase of items prohibited by the JAG program statute, unless, as set forth at 34 U.S.C. 10152, the BJA Director certifies that extraordinary and exigent circumstances exist, making such expenditures essential to the maintenance of public safety and good order.

65. JAG FY 2020 - Authorization to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse certain project costs incurred on or after October 1, 2019 [BJA]

Authorization to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse certain project costs incurred on or after October 1, 2019

The recipient may obligate (federal) award funds only after the recipient makes a valid acceptance of the award. As of the first day of the period of performance for the award (October 1, 2019), however, the recipient may choose to incur project costs using non-federal funds, but any such project costs are incurred at the recipient's risk until, at a minimum-- (1) the recipient makes a valid acceptance of the award, and (2) all applicable withholding conditions are removed by OJP (via a Grant Adjustment Notice). (A withholding condition is a condition in the award document that precludes the recipient from obligating, expending, or drawing down all or a portion of the award funds until the condition is removed.)

Except to the extent (if any) that an award condition expressly precludes reimbursement of project costs incurred "at risk," if and when the recipient makes a valid acceptance of this award and OJP removes each applicable withholding condition through a Grant Adjustment Notice, the recipient is authorized to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse itself for project costs incurred "at-risk" earlier during the period of performance (such as project costs incurred prior to award acceptance or prior to removal of an applicable withholding condition), provided that those project costs otherwise are allowable costs under the award.

66. Use of funds for DNA testing; upload of DNA profiles

If award funds are used for DNA testing of evidentiary materials, any resulting eligible DNA profiles must be uploaded to the Combined DNA Index System ("CODIS," the DNA database operated by the FBI) by a government DNA laboratory with access to CODIS. No profiles generated under this award may be entered or uploaded into any non-governmental DNA database without prior express

written approval from BJA. Award funds may not be used for the purchase of DNA equipment and supplies unless the resulting DNA profiles may be accepted for entry into CODIS.

67. Encouragement of submission of "success stories"

BJA strongly encourages the recipient to submit annual (or more frequent) JAG success stories. To submit a success story, sign in to a My BJA account at <https://www.bja.gov/Login.aspx> to access the Success Story Submission form. If the recipient does not yet have a My BJA account, please register at <https://www.bja.gov/profile.aspx>. Once registered, one of the available areas on the My BJA page will be "My Success Stories." Within this box, there is an option to add a Success Story. Once reviewed and approved by BJA, all success stories will appear on the BJA Success Story web page at <https://www.bja.gov/SuccessStoryList.aspx>.

68. Recipient integrity and performance matters: Requirement to report information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to SAM and FAPIIS

The recipient must comply with any and all applicable requirements regarding reporting of information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either this OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Under certain circumstances, recipients of OJP awards are required to report information about such proceedings, through the federal System for Award Management (known as "SAM"), to the designated federal integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS").

The details of recipient obligations regarding the required reporting (and updating) of information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to the federal designated integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS") within SAM are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm> (Award condition: Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters, including Recipient Reporting to FAPIIS), and are incorporated by reference here.

69. Withholding of funds: Required State Strategic Plan submission

The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any award funds until the recipient submits a sufficient Statewide Strategic Plan (to include an Annual Report in each year in which the Statewide Strategic Plan is not fully updated), and a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) has been issued to remove this condition.

70. Withholding of funds: Required certification from the chief executive of the applicant government

The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any award funds until the recipient submits the required "Certifications and Assurances by the Chief Executive of the Applicant Government," properly-executed (as determined by OJP), and a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN) has been issued to remove this condition.

71. SORNA final agency decision – Appeals

The recipient acknowledges the final agency decision made by DOJ that recipient's jurisdiction did not substantially implement the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (Public Law 109-

248, "SORNA") before the deadline, and understands that, as a result of that final agency decision, the amount of this JAG award was reduced, pursuant to 34 U.S.C. 20927. By accepting this specific award, the recipient voluntarily agrees that if it elects to file a judicial appeal of that final agency decision, which was integral in determining this particular funding amount, no such appeal may commence more than 6 months after the date of acceptance of this award.

2021 JAG Award Federal Conditions

The Grantee hereby assures and certifies compliance with all federal statutes, regulations, policies, guidelines and requirements, including the following:

1. Applicability of Part 200 Uniform Requirements

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as adopted and supplemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (together, the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") apply to this FY 2021 award from OJP.

The Part 200 Uniform Requirements were first adopted by DOJ on December 26, 2014. If this FY 2021 award supplements funds previously awarded by OJP under the same award number (e.g., funds awarded during or before December 2014), the Part 200 Uniform Requirements apply with respect to all funds under that award number (regardless of the award date, and regardless of whether derived from the initial award or a supplemental award) that are obligated on or after the acceptance date of this FY 2021 award.

For more information and resources on the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as they relate to OJP awards and subawards ("subgrants"), see the OJP website at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Part200UniformRequirements.htm>.

Record retention and access: Records pertinent to the award that the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must retain -- typically for a period of 3 years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report (SF 425), unless a different retention period applies - - and to which the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must provide access, include performance measurement information, in addition to the financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and other pertinent records indicated at 2 C.F.R. 200.333.

In the event that an award-related question arises from documents or other materials prepared or distributed by OJP that may appear to conflict with, or differ in some way from, the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, the recipient is to contact OJP promptly for clarification.

2. Requirement to report actual or imminent breach of personally identifiable information (PII)

The recipient (and any "subrecipient" at any tier) must have written procedures in place to respond in the event of an actual or imminent "breach" (OMB M-17-12) if it (or a subrecipient) -- (1) creates, collects, uses, processes, stores, maintains, disseminates, discloses, or disposes of "Personally Identifiable Information (PII)" (2 CFR 200.1) within the scope of an OJP grant-funded program or activity, or (2) uses or operates a "Federal information system" (OMB Circular A-130). The recipient's breach procedures must include a requirement to report actual or imminent breach of

PII to an OJP Program Manager no later than 24 hours after an occurrence of an actual breach, or the detection of an imminent breach

3. Required training for Grant Award Administrator and Financial Manager

The Grant Award Administrator and all Financial Managers for this award must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 days after the date of the recipient's acceptance of the award. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2019, will satisfy this condition.

In the event that either the Grant Award Administrator or a Financial Manager for this award changes during the period of performance, the new Grant Award Administrator or Financial Manager must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 calendar days after the date the Entity Administrator enters updated Grant Award Administrator or Financial Manager information in JustGrants. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2019, will satisfy this condition.

A list of OJP trainings that OJP will consider "OJP financial management and grant administration training" for purposes of this condition is available at <https://www.ojp.gov/training/fmts.htm>. All trainings that satisfy this condition include a session on grant fraud prevention and detection.

The recipient should anticipate that OJP will immediately withhold ("freeze") award funds if the recipient fails to comply with this condition. The recipient's failure to comply also may lead OJP to impose additional appropriate conditions on this award.

4. Safe policing and law enforcement subrecipients

If this award is a discretionary award, the recipient agrees that it will not make any subawards to State, local, college, or university law enforcement agencies unless such agencies have been certified by an approved independent credentialing body or have started the certification process. To become certified, law enforcement agencies must meet two mandatory conditions: (1) the agency's use of force policies adhere to all applicable federal, state, and local laws; and (2) the agency's use of force policies prohibit chokeholds except in situations where use of deadly force is allowed by law. For detailed information on this certification requirement, see <https://cops.usdoj.gov/SafePolicingEO>.

5. Effect of failure to address audit issues

The recipient understands and agrees that the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) may withhold award funds, or may impose other related requirements, if (as determined by the DOJ awarding agency) the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly address outstanding issues from audits required by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements (or by the terms of this award), or other outstanding issues that arise in connection with audits, investigations, or reviews of DOJ awards.

6. Requirements of the award; remedies for non-compliance or for materially false statements

The conditions of this award are material requirements of the award. Compliance with any assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance also is a material requirement of this award.

Limited Exceptions. In certain special circumstances, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") may determine that it will not enforce, or enforce only in part, one or more requirements otherwise applicable to the award. Any such exceptions regarding enforcement, including any such exceptions made during the period of performance, are (or will be during the period of performance) set out through the Office of Justice Programs ("OJP") webpage entitled "Legal Notices: Special circumstances as to particular award conditions" (ojp.gov/funding/Explore/LegalNotices-AwardReqs.htm), and incorporated by reference into the award.

By signing and accepting this award on behalf of the recipient, the authorized recipient official accepts all material requirements of the award, and specifically adopts, as if personally executed by the authorized recipient official, all assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance.

Failure to comply with one or more award requirements -- whether a condition set out in full below, a condition incorporated by reference below, or an assurance or certification related to conduct during the award period -- may result in OJP taking appropriate action with respect to the recipient and the award. Among other things, the OJP may withhold award funds, disallow costs, or suspend or terminate the award. DOJ, including OJP, also may take other legal action as appropriate.

Any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement to the federal government related to this award (or concealment or omission of a material fact) may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. 10271-10273), and also may lead to imposition of civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801-3812).

Should any provision of a requirement of this award be held to be invalid or unenforceable by its terms, that provision shall first be applied with a limited construction so as to give it the maximum effect permitted by law. Should it be held, instead, that the provision is utterly invalid or - unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed severable from this award.

7. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 38

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 (as may be applicable from time to time), specifically including any applicable requirements regarding written notice to program beneficiaries and prospective program beneficiaries

Currently, among other things, 28 C.F.R. Part 38 includes rules that prohibit specific forms of discrimination on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice. Part 38, currently, also sets out rules and

requirements that pertain to recipient and subrecipient ("subgrantee") organizations that engage in or conduct explicitly religious activities, as well as rules and requirements that pertain to recipients and subrecipients that are faith-based or religious organizations.

The text of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 is available via the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (currently accessible at <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse>), by browsing to Title 28-Judicial Administration, Chapter 1, Part 38, under e-CFR "current" data.

8. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 42

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 42, specifically including any applicable requirements in Subpart E of 28 C.F.R. Part 42 that relate to an equal employment opportunity program.

9. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 54

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 54, which relates to nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in certain "education programs."

10. Compliance with 41 U.S.C. 4712 (including prohibitions on reprisal; notice to employees)

Compliance with 41 U.S.C. 4712 (including prohibitions on reprisal; notice to employees)

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with, and is subject to, all applicable provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712, including all applicable provisions that prohibit, under specified circumstances, discrimination against an employee as reprisal for the employee's disclosure of information related to gross mismanagement of a federal grant, a gross waste of federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a federal grant, a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a federal grant

The recipient also must inform its employees, in writing (and in the predominant native language of the workforce), of employee rights and remedies under 41 U.S.C. 4712.

Should a question arise as to the applicability of the provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712 to this award, the recipient is to contact the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) for guidance.

11. Compliance with applicable rules regarding approval, planning, and reporting of conferences, meetings, trainings, and other events

approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences (as that term is defined by DOJ), including the provision of food and/or beverages at such conferences, and costs of attendance at such conferences.

Information on the pertinent DOJ definition of conferences and the rules applicable to this award appears in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide (currently, as section 3.10 of "Post award Requirements" in the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide").

12. Requirement for data on performance and effectiveness under the award

The recipient must collect and maintain data that measure the performance and effectiveness of work under this award. The data must be provided to OJP in the manner (including within the timeframes) specified by OJP in the program solicitation or other applicable written guidance. Data collection supports compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, and other applicable laws.

13. Requirements related to "de minimis" indirect cost rate

A recipient that is eligible under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements and other applicable law to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f), and that elects to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate, must advise OJP in writing of both its eligibility and its election, and must comply with all associated requirements in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. The "de minimis" rate may be applied only to modified total direct costs (MTDC) as defined by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements.

14. Determination of suitability to interact with participating minors

SCOPE. This condition applies to this award if it is indicated -- in the application for the award (as approved by DOJ)(or in the application for any subaward, at any tier), the DOJ funding announcement (solicitation), or an associated federal statute -- that a purpose of some or all of the activities to be carried out under the award (whether by the recipient, or a subrecipient at any tier) is to benefit a set of individuals under 18 years of age.

The recipient, and any subrecipient at any tier, must make determinations of suitability before certain individuals may interact with participating minors. This requirement applies regardless of an individual's employment status.

The details of this requirement are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/Interact-Minors.htm> (Award condition: Determination of suitability required, in advance, for certain individuals who may interact with participating minors), and are incorporated by reference here.

15. Requirement to disclose whether recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ

If the recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ, currently or at any time during the course of the period of performance under this award, the recipient must disclose that fact and certain related information to OJP by email at OJP.ComplianceReporting@ojp.usdoj.gov. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the recipient's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the recipient. The recipient's disclosure must include the following: 1. The federal awarding agency that currently designates the

recipient high risk, 2. The date the recipient was designated high risk, 3. The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address), and 4. The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency.

16. Compliance with DOJ Grants Financial Guide

References to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide are to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide as posted on the OJP website (currently, the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide" available at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>), including any updated version that may be posted during the period of performance. The recipient agrees to comply with the DOJ Grants Financial Guide.

17. Encouragement of policies to ban text messaging while driving

Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), DOJ encourages recipients and subrecipients ("subgrantees") to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this award, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

18. Compliance with general appropriations-law restrictions on the use of federal funds (FY 2021)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable restrictions on the use of federal funds set out in federal appropriations statutes. Pertinent restrictions, including from various "general provisions" in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, are set out at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FY21AppropriationsRestrictions.htm>, and are incorporated by reference here.

Should a question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or a subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of an appropriations-law restriction, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

19. Potential imposition of additional requirements

The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed by the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) during the period of performance for this award, if the recipient is designated as "high-risk" for purposes of the DOJ high-risk grantee list.

20. Employment eligibility verification for hiring under the award

1. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must--

A. Ensure that, as part of the hiring process for any position within the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds, the recipient (or any subrecipient) properly verifies the employment eligibility of the individual who is being hired, consistent with the provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

B. Notify all persons associated with the recipient (or any subrecipient) who are or will be involved in activities under this award of both--

(1) this award requirement for verification of employment eligibility, and

(2) the associated provisions in 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) that, generally speaking, make it unlawful, in the United States, to hire (or recruit for employment) certain aliens.

C. Provide training (to the extent necessary) to those persons required by this condition to be notified of the award requirement for employment eligibility verification and of the associated provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

D. As part of the recordkeeping for the award (including pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements), maintain records of all employment eligibility verifications pertinent to compliance with this award condition in accordance with Form I-9 record retention requirements, as well as records of all pertinent notifications and trainings.

2. Monitoring

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with this condition.

3. Allowable costs

To the extent that such costs are not reimbursed under any other federal program, award funds may be obligated for the reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs (if any) of actions designed to ensure compliance with this condition.

4. Rules of construction

A. Staff involved in the hiring process

For purposes of this condition, persons "who are or will be involved in activities under this award" specifically includes (without limitation) any and all recipient (or any subrecipient) officials or other staff who are or will be involved in the hiring process with respect to a position that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

B. Employment eligibility confirmation with E-Verify

For purposes of satisfying the requirement of this condition regarding verification of employment eligibility, the recipient (or any subrecipient) may choose to participate in, and use, E-Verify (www.e-verify.gov), provided an appropriate person authorized to act on behalf of the recipient (or subrecipient) uses E-Verify (and follows the proper E-Verify procedures, including in the event of a "Tentative Nonconfirmation" or a "Final Nonconfirmation") to confirm employment eligibility for each hiring for a position in the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

C. "United States" specifically includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

D. Nothing in this condition shall be understood to authorize or require any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, to violate any federal law, including any applicable civil rights or nondiscrimination law.

E. Nothing in this condition, including in paragraph 4.B., shall be understood to relieve any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, of any obligation otherwise imposed by law, including 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

Questions about E-Verify should be directed to DHS. For more information about E-Verify visit the E-Verify website (<https://www.e-verify.gov/>) or email E-Verify at E-Verify@dhs.gov. E-Verify employer agents can email E-Verify at E-VerifyEmployerAgent@dhs.gov.

Questions about the meaning or scope of this condition should be directed to OJP, before award acceptance.

21. Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient ("subgrantee") under this award, or entity that receives a procurement contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information), Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient--

a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized under this award to make subawards ("subgrants"), procurement contracts, or both--

a. it represents that--

(1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward ("subgrant"), procurement contract, or subcontract under a procurement contract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

(2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

22. Reclassification of various statutory provisions to a new Title 34 of the United States Code

On September 1, 2017, various statutory provisions previously codified elsewhere in the U.S. Code were editorially reclassified (that is, moved and renumbered) to a new Title 34, entitled "Crime Control and Law Enforcement." The reclassification encompassed a number of statutory provisions pertinent to OJP awards (that is, OJP grants and cooperative agreements), including many provisions previously codified in Title 42 of the U.S. Code.

Effective as of September 1, 2017, any reference in this award document to a statutory provision that has been reclassified to the new Title 34 of the U.S. Code is to be read as a reference to that statutory provision as reclassified to Title 34. This rule of construction specifically includes references set out in award conditions, references set out in material incorporated by reference through award conditions, and references set out in other award requirements.

23. OJP Training Guiding Principles

Any training or training materials that the recipient -- or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier -- develops or delivers with OJP award funds must adhere to the OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Implement/TrainingPrinciplesForGrantees-Subgrantees.htm>.

24. Requirements related to System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements regarding the System for Award Management (SAM), currently accessible at <https://www.sam.gov/>. This includes applicable requirements regarding registration with SAM, as well as maintaining the currency of information in SAM.

The recipient also must comply with applicable restrictions on subawards ("subgrants") to first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees"), including restrictions on subawards to entities that do not acquire and provide (to the recipient) the unique entity identifier required for SAM registration.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to SAM and to unique entity identifiers are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SAM.htm> (Award condition: System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

25. Restrictions on "lobbying"

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements regarding the System for Award Management (SAM), currently accessible at <https://www.sam.gov/>. This includes applicable requirements regarding registration with SAM, as well as maintaining the currency of information in SAM.

The recipient also must comply with applicable restrictions on subawards ("subgrants") to first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees"), including restrictions on subawards to entities that do not acquire and provide (to the recipient) the unique entity identifier required for SAM registration.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to SAM and to unique entity identifiers are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SAM.htm> (Award condition: System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

26. Restrictions on "lobbying"

In general, as a matter of federal law, federal funds awarded by OJP may not be used by the recipient, or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, either directly or indirectly, to support or oppose the enactment, repeal, modification, or adoption of any law, regulation, or policy, at any level of government. See 18 U.S.C. 1913. (There may be exceptions if an applicable federal statute specifically authorizes certain activities that otherwise would be barred by law.)

Another federal law generally prohibits federal funds awarded by OJP from being used by the recipient, or any subrecipient at any tier, to pay any person to influence (or attempt to influence) a federal agency, a Member of Congress, or Congress (or an official or employee of any of them) with respect to the awarding of a federal grant or cooperative agreement, subgrant, contract, subcontract, or loan, or with respect to actions such as renewing, extending, or modifying any such award. See 31 U.S.C. 1352. Certain exceptions to this law apply, including an exception that

applies to Indian tribes and tribal organizations.

Should any question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of these prohibitions, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

27. Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed \$250,000

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements to obtain specific advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently, \$250,000). This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a procurement "contract" (and therefore does not consider a subaward).

The details of the requirement for advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract under an OJP award are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/NoncompetitiveProcurement.htm> (Award condition: Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract (if contract would exceed \$250,000)), and are incorporated by reference here.

28. Requirements pertaining to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements (including requirements to report allegations) pertaining to prohibited conduct related to the trafficking of persons, whether on the part of recipients, subrecipients ("subgrantees"), or individuals defined (for purposes of this condition) as "employees" of the recipient or of any subrecipient.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/ProhibitedConduct-Trafficking.htm> (Award condition: Prohibited conduct by recipients and subrecipients related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)), and are incorporated by reference here.

29. Requirement to report potentially duplicative funding

If the recipient currently has other active awards of federal funds, or if the recipient receives any other award of federal funds during the period of performance for this award, the recipient promptly must determine whether funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items for which funds are provided under this award. If so, the recipient must promptly notify the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) in writing of the potential duplication, and, if so requested by the DOJ awarding agency, must seek a budget-modification or change-of-project-scope Grant Award Modification (GAM) to eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

30. Reporting potential fraud, waste, and abuse, and similar misconduct

The recipient, and any subrecipients ("subgrantees") at any tier, must promptly refer to the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG) any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has, in connection with funds under this award-- (1) submitted a claim that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct.

Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct involving or relating to funds under this award should be reported to the OIG by--(1) online submission accessible via the OIG webpage at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline/contact-grants.htm> (select "Submit Report Online"); (2) mail directed to: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Investigations Division, ATTN: Grantee Reporting, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20530; and/or (3) by facsimile directed to the DOJ OIG Investigations Division (Attn: Grantee Reporting) at (202) 616-9881 (fax).

Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline>.

31. FFATA reporting: Subawards and executive compensation

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards ("subgrants") of \$30,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees") of award funds. The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FFATA.htm> (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition, including its reporting requirement, does not apply to-- (1) an award of less than \$30,000, or (2) an award made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

32. Cooperating with OJP Monitoring

The recipient agrees to cooperate with OJP monitoring of this award pursuant to OJP's guidelines, protocols, and procedures, and to cooperate with OJP (including the grant manager for this award and the Office of Chief Financial Officer (OCFO)) requests related to such monitoring, including requests related to desk reviews and/or site visits. The recipient agrees to provide to OJP all documentation necessary for OJP to complete its monitoring tasks, including documentation related to any subawards made under this award. Further, the recipient agrees to abide by reasonable deadlines set by OJP for providing the requested documents. Failure to cooperate with OJP's monitoring activities may result in actions that affect the recipient's DOJ awards, including, but not limited to: withholdings and/or other restrictions on the recipient's access to award funds; referral to the DOJ OIG for audit review; designation of the recipient as a DOJ High Risk grantee; or termination of an award(s).

33. Required monitoring of subawards

The recipient must monitor subawards under this award in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, award conditions, and the DOJ Grants Financial Guide, and must include the applicable conditions of this award in any subaward. Among other things, the recipient is responsible for oversight of subrecipient spending and monitoring of specific outcomes and benefits attributable to use of award funds by subrecipients. The recipient agrees to submit, upon request, documentation of its policies and procedures for monitoring of subawards under this award.

34. Use of program income

Program income (as defined in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements) must be used in accordance with the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Program income earnings and expenditures both must be reported on the quarterly Federal Financial Report, SF 425.

35. Justice Information Sharing

Information sharing projects funded under this award must comply with DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative (Global) guidelines. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must conform to the Global Standards Package (GSP) and all constituent elements, where applicable, as described at: https://it.ojp.gov/gsp_grantcondition. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must document planned approaches to information sharing and describe compliance with the GSP and appropriate privacy policy that protects shared information, or provide detailed justification for why an alternative approach is recommended.

36. Avoidance of duplication of networks

To avoid duplicating existing networks or IT systems in any initiatives funded by BJA for law enforcement information sharing systems which involve interstate connectivity between jurisdictions, such systems shall employ, to the extent possible, existing networks as the communication backbone to achieve interstate connectivity, unless the recipient can demonstrate to the satisfaction of BJA that this requirement would not be cost effective or would impair the functionality of an existing or proposed IT system.

37. Compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 23

With respect to any information technology system funded or supported by funds under this award, the recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with 28 C.F.R. Part 23, Criminal Intelligence Systems Operating Policies, if OJP determines this regulation to be applicable. Should OJP determine 28 C.F.R. Part 23 to be applicable, OJP may, at its discretion, perform audits of the system, as per the regulation. Should any violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 23 occur, the recipient may be fined as per 34 U.S.C. 10231(c)-(d). The recipient may not satisfy such a fine with federal funds.

38. Protection of human research subjects

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 46 and all OJP policies and procedures regarding the protection of human research subjects, including obtainment of Institutional Review Board approval, if appropriate, and subject informed consent.

39. Confidentiality of data

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with all confidentiality requirements of 34 U.S.C. 10231 and 28 C.F.R. Part 22 that are applicable to collection, use, and revelation of data or information. The recipient further agrees, as a condition of award approval, to submit a Privacy Certificate that is in accord with requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 22 and, in particular, 28 C.F.R. 22.23.

40. Law enforcement task forces - required training

Within 120 days of award acceptance, each current member of a law enforcement task force funded with award funds who is a task force commander, agency executive, task force officer, or other task force member of equivalent rank, must complete required online (internet-based) task force training. Additionally, all future task force members must complete this training once during the period of performance for this award, or once every four years if multiple OJP awards include this requirement.

The required training is available free of charge online through the BJA-funded Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org). The training addresses task force effectiveness, as well as other key issues including privacy and civil liberties/rights, task force performance measurement, personnel selection, and task force oversight and accountability. If award funds are used to support a task force, the recipient must compile and maintain a task force personnel roster, along with course completion certificates.

Additional information regarding the training is available through BJA's web site and the Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org).

41. Justification of consultant rate

Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of \$650 per day. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the OJP program office prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds.

42. "Methods of Administration" - monitoring compliance with civil rights laws and nondiscrimination provisions

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with applicable federal civil rights laws and nondiscrimination provisions. Within 90 days of the date of award acceptance, the recipient must submit to OJP's Office for Civil Rights (at CivilRightsMOA@usdoj.gov) written Methods of Administration ("MOA") for subrecipient monitoring with respect to civil rights requirements. In addition, upon request by OJP (or by another authorized federal agency), the recipient must make associated documentation available for review.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to Methods of Administration are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/StateMethodsAdmin-FY2017update.htm> (Award condition: "Methods of Administration" - Requirements applicable to States (FY 2017 Update)), and are incorporated by reference here.

43. The recipient understands that, in accepting this award, the Authorized Representative declares and certifies, among other things, that he or she possesses the requisite legal authority to accept the award on behalf of the recipient entity and, in so doing, accepts (or adopts) all material requirements that relate to conduct throughout the period of performance under this award. The recipient further understands, and agrees, that it will not assign anyone to the role of Authorized Representative during the period of performance under the award without first ensuring that the individual has the requisite legal authority.

44. Compliance with National Environmental Policy Act and related statutes

Upon request, the recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must assist BJA in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act, and other related federal environmental impact analyses requirements in the use of these award funds, either directly by the recipient or by a subrecipient. Accordingly, the recipient agrees to first determine if any of the following activities will be funded by the grant, prior to obligating funds for any of these purposes. If it is determined that any of the following activities will be funded by the award, the recipient agrees to contact BJA.

The recipient understands that this condition applies to new activities as set out below, whether or not they are being specifically funded with these award funds. That is, as long as the activity is being conducted by the recipient, a subrecipient, or any third party, and the activity needs to be undertaken in order to use these award funds, this condition must first be met. The activities covered by this condition are:

a. New construction;

b. Minor renovation or remodeling of a property located in an environmentally or historically sensitive area, including properties located within a 100-year flood plain, a wetland, or habitat for endangered species, or a property listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;

c. A renovation, lease, or any proposed use of a building or facility that will either (a) result in a change in its basic prior use or (b) significantly change its size;

d. Implementation of a new program involving the use of chemicals other than chemicals that are (a) purchased as an incidental component of a funded activity and (b) traditionally used, for example, in office, household, recreational, or education environments; and

e. Implementation of a program relating to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory operations, including the identification, seizure, or closure of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

The recipient understands and agrees that complying with NEPA may require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement, as directed by BJA. The recipient further understands and agrees to the requirements for implementation of a Mitigation Plan, as detailed at <https://bja.gov/Funding/nepa.html>, for programs relating to methamphetamine laboratory operations.

Application of This Condition to Recipient's Existing Programs or Activities: For any of the recipient's or its subrecipients' existing programs or activities that will be funded by these award funds, the recipient, upon specific request from BJA, agrees to cooperate with BJA in any preparation by BJA of a national or program environmental assessment of that funded program or activity.

45. Establishment of trust fund

If award funds are being drawn down in advance, the recipient (or a subrecipient, with respect to a subaward) is required to establish a trust fund account. Recipients (and subrecipients) must maintain advance payments of federal awards in interest-bearing accounts, unless regulatory exclusions apply (2 C.F.R. 200.305(b)(8)). The trust fund, including any interest, may not be used to pay debts or expenses incurred by other activities beyond the scope of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG). The recipient also agrees to obligate the award funds in the trust fund (including any interest earned) during the period of performance for the award and expend within 90 days thereafter. Any unobligated or unexpended funds, including interest earned, must be returned to OJP at the time of closeout.

46. Required data on law enforcement agency training

Any law enforcement agency receiving direct or sub-awarded funding from this JAG award must submit quarterly accountability metrics data related to training that officers have received on the use of force, racial and ethnic bias, de-escalation of conflict, and constructive engagement with the public.

47. All State and Local JAG recipients must submit quarterly Federal Financial Reports (SF-425). Additionally, State JAG and Local JAG Category Two (\$25K or more) must submit semi-annual performance reports through JustGrants and Local JAG Category One (Less than \$25K) must submit annual performance reports through JustGrants. Consistent with the Department's responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, the recipient must provide data that measure the results of its work. The recipient must submit quarterly performance metrics reports through BJA's Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) website (www.bjaperformancetools.org). For more detailed information on reporting and other JAG requirements, refer to the JAG reporting requirements webpage. Failure to submit required JAG reports by established deadlines may result in the freezing of grant funds and future High Risk designation

48. Expenditures prohibited without waiver

No funds under this award may be expended on the purchase of items prohibited by the JAG program statute, unless, as set forth at 34 U.S.C. 10152, the BJA Director certifies that extraordinary and exigent circumstances exist, making such expenditures essential to the maintenance of public safety and good order.

49. Authorization to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse certain project costs incurred on or after October 1, 2020

The recipient may obligate (federal) award funds only after the recipient makes a valid acceptance

of the award. As of the first day of the period of performance for the award (October 1, 2020), however, the recipient may choose to incur project costs using non-federal funds, but any such project costs are incurred at the recipient's risk until, at a minimum-- (1) the recipient makes a valid acceptance of the award, and (2) all applicable withholding conditions are removed by OJP (via an Award Condition Modification (ACM)). (A withholding condition is a condition in the award document that precludes the recipient from obligating, expending, or drawing down all or a portion of the award funds until the condition is removed.)

Except to the extent (if any) that an award condition expressly precludes reimbursement of project costs incurred "at-risk," if and when the recipient makes a valid acceptance of this award and OJP removes each applicable withholding condition through an Award Condition Modification (ACM), the recipient is authorized to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse itself for project costs incurred "at-risk" earlier during the period of performance (such as project costs incurred prior to award acceptance or prior to removal of an applicable withholding condition), provided that those project costs otherwise are allowable costs under the award.

50. If award funds are used for DNA testing of evidentiary materials, any resulting eligible DNA profiles must be uploaded to the Combined DNA Index System ("CODIS," the DNA database operated by the FBI) by a government DNA laboratory with access to CODIS. No profiles generated under this award may be entered or uploaded into any non-governmental DNA database without prior express written approval from BJA. Award funds may not be used for the purchase of DNA equipment and supplies unless the resulting DNA profiles may be accepted for entry into CODIS. Booking agencies should work with their state CODIS agency to ensure all requirements are met for participation in Rapid DNA (see National Rapid DNA Booking Operational Procedures Manual).

51. Submission of eligible records relevant to the National Instant Background Check System

Consonant with federal statutes that pertain to firearms and background checks -- including 18 U.S.C. 922 and 34 U.S.C. ch. 409 -- if the recipient (or any subrecipient at any tier) uses this award to fund (in whole or in part) a specific project or program (such as a law enforcement, prosecution, or court program) that results in any court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the National Instant Background Check System (NICS), or that has as one of its purposes the establishment or improvement of records systems that contain any court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the NICS, the recipient (or subrecipient, if applicable) must ensure that all such court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the NICS are promptly made available to the NICS or to the "State" repository/database that is electronically available to (and accessed by) the NICS, and -- when appropriate -- promptly must update, correct, modify, or remove such NICS-relevant "eligible records".

In the event of minor and transitory non-compliance, the recipient may submit evidence to demonstrate diligent monitoring of compliance with this condition (including subrecipient compliance). DOJ will give great weight to any such evidence in any express written determination regarding this condition.

52. Prohibition on use of award funds for match under BVP program

JAG funds may not be used as the 50% match for purposes of the DOJ Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) program.

53. Certification of body armor "mandatory wear" policies

If recipient uses funds under this award to purchase body armor, the recipient must submit a signed certification that law enforcement agencies receiving body armor purchased with funds from this award have a written "mandatory wear" policy in effect. The recipient must keep signed certifications on file for any subrecipients planning to utilize funds from this award for ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchases. This policy must be in place for at least all uniformed officers before any funds from this award may be used by an agency for body armor. There are no requirements regarding the nature of the policy other than it be a mandatory wear policy for all uniformed officers while on duty.

54. Body armor - compliance with NIJ standards and other requirements

Ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchased with JAG award funds may be purchased at any threat level, make or model, from any distributor or manufacturer, as long as the body armor has been tested and found to comply with applicable National Institute of Justice ballistic or stab standards and is listed on the NIJ Compliant Body Armor Model List (<https://nij.gov/topics/technology/body-armor/Pages/compliant-ballistic-armor.aspx>). In addition, ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchased must be made in the United States and must be uniquely fitted, as set forth in 34 U.S.C. 10202(c)(1)(A). The latest NIJ standard information can be found here: <https://nij.gov/topics/technology/body-armor/pages/safety-initiative.aspx>.

55. Recipient integrity and performance matters: Requirement to report information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to SAM and FAPIIS

The recipient must comply with any and all applicable requirements regarding reporting of information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either this OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Under certain circumstances, recipients of OJP awards are required to report information about such proceedings, through the federal System for Award Management (known as "SAM"), to the designated federal integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS").

The details of recipient obligations regarding the required reporting (and updating) of information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to the federal designated integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS") within SAM are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm> (Award condition: Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters, including Recipient Reporting to FAPIIS), and are incorporated by reference here.

56. Withholding - Certification with respect to Federal taxes - award exceeding \$5 million (updated Aug. 2017)

The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds under this award until it has submitted to the program manager, in a format acceptable to OJP, a formal written certification directed to OJP and executed by an official with authority to sign on behalf of the recipient, that the

recipient (unless an exemption applies by operation of law, as described below)-- (1) has filed all Federal tax returns required for the three tax years immediately preceding the tax year in which the certification is made; (2) has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and (3) has not, more than 90 days prior to this certification, been notified of any unpaid federal tax assessment for which the liability remains unsatisfied, unless the assessment is the subject of an installment agreement or offer in compromise that has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service and is not in default, or the assessment is the subject of a non-frivolous administrative or judicial proceeding; and until an Award Condition Modification (ACM) has been issued to remove this condition.

57. BJA- JAG - SORNA Appeal Limits

The recipient acknowledges the final agency decision made by DOJ that recipient's jurisdiction did not substantially implement the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (Public Law 109-248, "SORNA") before the deadline, and understands that, as a result of that final agency decision, the amount of this JAG award was reduced, pursuant to 34 U.S.C. 20927. By accepting this specific award, the recipient voluntarily agrees that if it elects to file a judicial appeal of that final agency decision, which was integral in determining this particular funding amount, no such appeal may commence more than 6 months after the date of acceptance of this award.

58. BJA- JAG - Withholding of Funds for Chief Executive Certification

Withholding of funds: Required certification from the chief executive of the applicant government

The recipient may not expend or draw down any award funds until the recipient submits the required "Certifications and Assurances by the Chief Executive of the Applicant Government," properly-executed (as determined by OJP), and an Award Condition Modification has been issued to remove this condition.

2022 JAG Award Federal Conditions.

This award is offered subject to the conditions or limitations set forth in the Award Information, Project Information, Financial Information, and Award Conditions.

1. Compliance with general appropriations-law restrictions on the use of federal funds (FY 2022)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable restrictions on the use of federal funds set out in federal appropriations statutes. Pertinent restrictions, including from various "general provisions" in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2022, are set out at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FY22AppropriationsRestrictions.htm>, and are incorporated by reference here.

Should a question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or a subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of an appropriations-law restriction, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

2. Applicability of Part 200 Uniform Requirements

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as adopted and supplemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (together, the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") apply to this FY 2022 award from OJP.

The Part 200 Uniform Requirements were first adopted by DOJ on December 26, 2014. If this FY 2022 award supplements funds previously awarded by OJP under the same award number (e.g., funds awarded during or before December 2014), the Part 200 Uniform Requirements apply with respect to all funds under that award number (regardless of the award date, and regardless of whether derived from the initial award or a supplemental award) that are obligated on or after the acceptance date of this FY 2022 award.

For more information and resources on the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as they relate to OJP awards and subawards ("subgrants"), see the OJP website at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Part200UniformRequirements.htm>.

Record retention and access: Records pertinent to the award that the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must retain -- typically for a period of 3 years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report (SF 425), unless a different retention period applies -- and to which the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must provide access, include performance measurement information, in addition to the financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and other pertinent records indicated at 2 C.F.R. 200.334.

In the event that an award-related question arises from documents or other materials prepared or distributed by OJP that may appear to conflict with, or differ in some way from, the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, the recipient is to contact OJP promptly for clarification.

3. Requirement to report actual or imminent breach of personally identifiable information (PII)

The recipient (and any "subrecipient" at any tier) must have written procedures in place to respond in the event of an actual or imminent "breach" (OMB M-17-12) if it (or a subrecipient) -- (1) creates, collects, uses, processes, stores, maintains, disseminates, discloses, or disposes of "Personally Identifiable Information (PII)" (2 CFR 200.1) within the scope of an OJP grant-funded program or activity, or (2) uses or operates a "Federal information system" (OMB Circular A-130). The recipient's breach procedures must include a requirement to report actual or imminent breach of PII to an OJP Program Manager no later than 24 hours after an occurrence of an actual breach, or the detection of an imminent breach.

4. OJP Training Guiding Principles

Any training or training materials that the recipient -- or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier -- develops or delivers with OJP award funds must adhere to the OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at <https://www.ojp.gov/funding/implement/training-guiding-principles-grantees-and-subgrantees>.

5. Required training for Grant Award Administrator and Financial Manager

The Grant Award Administrator and all Financial Managers for this award must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 days after the date of the recipient's acceptance of the award. Successful completion of such a training on or after October 15, 2020, will satisfy this condition.

In the event that either the Grant Award Administrator or a Financial Manager for this award changes during the period of performance, the new Grant Award Administrator or Financial Manager must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 calendar days after the date the Entity Administrator enters updated Grant Award Administrator or Financial Manager information in JustGrants. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2020, will satisfy this condition.

A list of OJP trainings that OJP will consider "OJP financial management and grant administration training" for purposes of this condition is available at <https://www.ojp.gov/training/fmts.htm>. All trainings that satisfy this condition include a session on grant fraud prevention and detection.

The recipient should anticipate that OJP will immediately withhold ("freeze") award funds if the recipient fails to comply with this condition. The recipient's failure to comply also may lead OJP to impose additional appropriate conditions on this award.

6. Effect of failure to address audit issues

The recipient understands and agrees that the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) may withhold award funds, or may impose other related requirements, if (as determined by the DOJ awarding agency) the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly address outstanding issues from audits required by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements (or by the terms of this award), or other outstanding issues that arise in connection with audits, investigations, or reviews of DOJ awards.

7. Requirements of the award; remedies for non-compliance or for materially false statements

The conditions of this award are material requirements of the award. Compliance with any assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance also is a material requirement of this award.

Limited Exceptions. In certain special circumstances, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") may determine that it will not enforce, or enforce only in part, one or more requirements otherwise applicable to the award. Any such exceptions regarding enforcement, including any such exceptions made during the period of performance, are (or will be during the period of performance) set out through the Office of Justice Programs ("OJP") webpage entitled "Legal Notices: Special circumstances as to particular award conditions" (ojp.gov/funding/Explore/LegalNotices-AwardReqs.htm), and incorporated by reference into the award.

By signing and accepting this award on behalf of the recipient, the authorized recipient official accepts all material requirements of the award, and specifically adopts, as if personally executed by the authorized recipient official, all assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance.

Failure to comply with one or more award requirements -- whether a condition set out in full below, a condition incorporated by reference below, or an assurance or certification related to conduct during the award period -- may result in OJP taking appropriate action with respect to the recipient and the award. Among other things, the OJP may withhold award funds, disallow costs, or suspend or terminate the award. DOJ, including OJP, also may take other legal action as appropriate.

Any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement to the federal government related to this award (or concealment or omission of a material fact) may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. 10271-10273), and also may lead to imposition of civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801-3812).

Should any provision of a requirement of this award be held to be invalid or unenforceable by its terms, that provision shall first be applied with a limited construction so as to give it the maximum effect permitted by law. Should it be held, instead, that the provision is utterly invalid or - unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed severable from this award.

8. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 38

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 (as may be applicable from time to time), specifically including any applicable requirements regarding written notice to program beneficiaries and prospective program beneficiaries.

Currently, among other things, 28 C.F.R. Part 38 includes rules that prohibit specific forms of

discrimination on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice. Part 38, currently, also sets out rules and requirements that pertain to recipient and subrecipient ("subgrantee") organizations that engage in or conduct explicitly religious activities, as well as rules and requirements that pertain to recipients and subrecipients that are faith-based or religious organizations.

The text of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 is available via the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations (currently accessible at <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse>), by browsing to Title 28-Judicial Administration, Chapter 1, Part 38, under e-CFR "current" data.

9. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 42

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 42, specifically including any applicable requirements in Subpart E of 28 C.F.R. Part 42 that relate to an equal employment opportunity program.

10. Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R. Part 54

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 54, which relates to nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in certain "education programs."

11. Compliance with 41 U.S.C. 4712 (including prohibitions on reprisal; notice to employees)

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with, and is subject to, all applicable provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712, including all applicable provisions that prohibit, under specified circumstances, discrimination against an employee as reprisal for the employee's disclosure of information related to gross mismanagement of a federal grant, a gross waste of federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a federal grant, a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a federal grant.

The recipient also must inform its employees, in writing (and in the predominant native language of the workforce), of employee rights and remedies under 41 U.S.C. 4712.

Should a question arise as to the applicability of the provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712 to this award, the recipient is to contact the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) for guidance.

12. Compliance with applicable rules regarding approval, planning, and reporting of conferences, meetings, trainings, and other events

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, and official DOJ guidance (including specific cost limits, prior approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences (as that term is defined by DOJ), including the provision of food and/or beverages at such conferences, and costs of attendance at such conferences.

Information on the pertinent DOJ definition of conferences and the rules applicable to this award appears in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide (currently, as section 3.10 of "Postaward Requirements" in the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide").

13. Requirement for data on performance and effectiveness under the award

The recipient must collect and maintain data that measure the performance and effectiveness of work under this award. The data must be provided to OJP in the manner (including within the timeframes) specified by OJP in the program solicitation or other applicable written guidance. Data collection supports compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, and other applicable laws.

14. Requirements related to "de minimis" indirect cost rate

A recipient that is eligible under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements and other applicable law to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f), and that elects to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate, must advise OJP in writing of both its eligibility and its election, and must comply with all associated requirements in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. The "de minimis" rate may be applied only to modified total direct costs (MTDC) as defined by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements.

15. Determination of suitability to interact with participating minors

SCOPE. This condition applies to this award if it is indicated -- in the application for the award (as approved by DOJ)(or in the application for any subaward, at any tier), the DOJ funding announcement (solicitation), or an associated federal statute -- that a purpose of some or all of the activities to be carried out under the award (whether by the recipient, or a subrecipient at any tier) is to benefit a set of individuals under 18 years of age.

The recipient, and any subrecipient at any tier, must make determinations of suitability before certain individuals may interact with participating minors. This requirement applies regardless of an individual's employment status.

The details of this requirement are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/Interact-Minors.htm> (Award condition: Determination of suitability required, in advance, for certain individuals who may interact with participating minors), and are incorporated by reference here.

16. Requirement to disclose whether recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ

If the recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ, currently or at any time during the course of the period of performance under this award, the recipient must disclose that fact and certain related information to OJP by email at OJP.ComplianceReporting@ojp.usdol.gov. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the recipient's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the recipient. The recipient's disclosure must include the following: 1. The federal awarding agency that currently designates

the recipient high risk, 2. The date the recipient was designated high risk, 3. The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address), and 4. The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency.

17. Compliance with DOJ Grants Financial Guide

References to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide are to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide as posted on the OJP website (currently, the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide" available at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>), including any updated version that may be posted during the period of performance. The recipient agrees to comply with the DOJ Grants Financial Guide.

18. Encouragement of policies to ban text messaging while driving

Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), DOJ encourages recipients and subrecipients ("subgrantees") to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this award, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

19. Potential imposition of additional requirements

The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed by the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) during the period of performance for this award, if the recipient is designated as "high-risk" for purposes of the DOJ high-risk grantee list.

20. Employment eligibility verification for hiring under the award

1. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must--

A. Ensure that, as part of the hiring process for any position within the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds, the recipient (or any subrecipient) properly verifies the employment eligibility of the individual who is being hired, consistent with the provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

B. Notify all persons associated with the recipient (or any subrecipient) who are or will be involved in activities under this award of both--

(1) this award requirement for verification of employment eligibility, and

(2) the associated provisions in 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) that, generally speaking, make it unlawful, in the United States, to hire (or recruit for employment) certain aliens.

C. Provide training (to the extent necessary) to those persons required by this condition to be notified of the award requirement for employment eligibility verification and of the associated provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

D. As part of the recordkeeping for the award (including pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements), maintain records of all employment eligibility verifications pertinent to compliance with this award condition in accordance with Form I-9 record retention requirements, as well as records of all pertinent notifications and trainings.

2. Monitoring

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with this condition.

3. Allowable costs

To the extent that such costs are not reimbursed under any other federal program, award funds may be obligated for the reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs (if any) of actions designed to ensure compliance with this condition.

4. Rules of construction

A. Staff involved in the hiring process

For purposes of this condition, persons "who are or will be involved in activities under this award" specifically includes (without limitation) any and all recipient (or any subrecipient) officials or other staff who are or will be involved in the hiring process with respect to a position that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

B. Employment eligibility confirmation with E-Verify

For purposes of satisfying the requirement of this condition regarding verification of employment eligibility, the recipient (or any subrecipient) may choose to participate in, and use, E-Verify (www.e-verify.gov), provided an appropriate person authorized to act on behalf of the recipient (or subrecipient) uses E-Verify (and follows the proper E-Verify procedures, including in the event of a "Tentative Nonconfirmation" or a "Final Nonconfirmation") to confirm employment eligibility for each hiring for a position in the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

C. "United States" specifically includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

D. Nothing in this condition shall be understood to authorize or require any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, to violate any federal law, including any applicable civil rights or nondiscrimination law.

E. Nothing in this condition, including in paragraph 4.B., shall be understood to relieve any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, of any obligation otherwise imposed by law, including 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

Questions about E-Verify should be directed to DHS. For more information about E-Verify visit the E-Verify website (<https://www.e-verify.gov/>) or email E-Verify at E-Verify@dhs.gov. E-Verify

employer agents can email E-Verify at E-VerifyEmployerAgent@dhs.gov.

Questions about the meaning or scope of this condition should be directed to OJP, before award acceptance.

21. Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient ("subgrantee") under this award, or entity that receives a procurement contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information), Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient--

a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized under this award to make subawards ("subgrants"), procurement contracts, or both--

a. it represents that--

(1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward ("subgrant"), procurement contract, or subcontract under a procurement contract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

(2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

22. Reclassification of various statutory provisions to a new Title 34 of the United States Code

On September 1, 2017, various statutory provisions previously codified elsewhere in the U.S. Code were editorially reclassified (that is, moved and renumbered) to a new Title 34, entitled "Crime Control and Law Enforcement." The reclassification encompassed a number of statutory provisions pertinent to OJP awards (that is, OJP grants and cooperative agreements), including many provisions previously codified in Title 42 of the U.S. Code.

Effective as of September 1, 2017, any reference in this award document to a statutory provision that has been reclassified to the new Title 34 of the U.S. Code is to be read as a reference to that statutory provision as reclassified to Title 34. This rule of construction specifically includes references set out in award conditions, references set out in material incorporated by reference through award conditions, and references set out in other award requirements.

23. All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements for authorization of any subaward. This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a "subaward" (and therefore does not consider a procurement "contract").

The details of the requirement for authorization of any subaward are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SubawardAuthorization.htm> (Award condition: All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization), and are incorporated by reference here.

24. Requirements related to System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements regarding the System for Award Management (SAM), currently accessible at <https://www.sam.gov/>. This includes applicable requirements regarding registration with SAM, as well as maintaining the currency of information in SAM.

The recipient also must comply with applicable restrictions on subawards ("subgrants") to first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees"), including restrictions on subawards to entities that do not acquire and provide (to the recipient) the unique entity identifier required for SAM registration.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to SAM and to unique entity identifiers are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SAM.htm> (Award condition: System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

25. Restrictions on "lobbying"

In general, as a matter of federal law, federal funds awarded by OJP may not be used by the recipient, or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, either directly or indirectly, to support or oppose the enactment, repeal, modification, or adoption of any law, regulation, or policy, at any level of government. See 18 U.S.C. 1913. (There may be exceptions if an applicable federal statute specifically authorizes certain activities that otherwise would be barred by law.)

Another federal law generally prohibits federal funds awarded by OJP from being used by the recipient, or any subrecipient at any tier, to pay any person to influence (or attempt to influence) a federal agency, a Member of Congress, or Congress (or an official or employee of any of them) with respect to the awarding of a federal grant or cooperative agreement, subgrant, contract, subcontract, or loan, or with respect to actions such as renewing, extending, or modifying any such award. See 31 U.S.C. 1352. Certain exceptions to this law apply, including an exception that applies to Indian tribes and tribal organizations.

Should any question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of these prohibitions, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

26. Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed \$250,000

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements to obtain specific advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently, \$250,000). This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a procurement "contract" (and therefore does not consider a subaward).

The details of the requirement for advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract under an OJP award are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/NoncompetitiveProcurement.htm> (Award condition: Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract (if contract would exceed \$250,000)), and are incorporated by reference here.

27. Requirements pertaining to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements (including requirements to report allegations) pertaining to prohibited conduct related to the trafficking of persons, whether on the part of recipients, subrecipients ("subgrantees"), or individuals defined (for purposes of this condition) as "employees" of the

recipient or of any subrecipient.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/ProhibitedConduct-Trafficking.htm> (Award condition: Prohibited conduct by recipients and subrecipients related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)), and are incorporated by reference here.

28. Requirement to report potentially duplicative funding

If the recipient currently has other active awards of federal funds, or if the recipient receives any other award of federal funds during the period of performance for this award, the recipient promptly must determine whether funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items for which funds are provided under this award. If so, the recipient must promptly notify the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) in writing of the potential duplication, and, if so requested by the DOJ awarding agency, must seek a budget-modification or change-of-project-scope Grant Award Modification (GAM) to eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.

29. Reporting potential fraud, waste, and abuse, and similar misconduct

The recipient, and any subrecipients ("subgrantees") at any tier, must promptly refer to the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG) any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has, in connection with funds under this award-- (1) submitted a claim that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct.

Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct involving or relating to funds under this award should be reported to the OIG by--(1) online submission accessible via the OIG webpage at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline/contact-grants.htm> (select "Submit Report Online"); (2) mail directed to: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Investigations Division, ATTN: Grantee Reporting, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20530; and/or (3) by facsimile directed to the DOJ OIG Investigations Division (Attn: Grantee Reporting) at (202) 616-9881 (fax).

Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at <https://oig.justice.gov/hotline>.

30. FFATA reporting: Subawards and executive compensation

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards ("subgrants") of \$30,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees") of award funds. The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FFATA.htm> (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition, including its reporting requirement, does not apply to-- (1) an award of less than

\$30,000, or (2) an award made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

- 31.** The recipient agrees to comply with OJP grant monitoring guidelines, protocols, and procedures, and to cooperate with BJA and OCFO on all grant monitoring requests, including requests related to desk reviews, enhanced programmatic desk reviews, and/or site visits. The recipient agrees to provide to BJA and OCFO all documentation necessary to complete monitoring tasks, including documentation related to any subawards made under this award. Further, the recipient agrees to abide by reasonable deadlines set by BJA and OCFO for providing the requested documents. Failure to cooperate with BJA's/OCFO's grant monitoring activities may result in sanctions affecting the recipient's DOJ awards, including, but not limited to: withholdings and/or other restrictions on the recipient's access to grant funds; referral to the Office of the Inspector General for audit review; designation of the recipient as a DOJ High Risk grantee; or termination of an award(s).

32. Required monitoring of subawards

The recipient must monitor subawards under this award in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, award conditions, and the DOJ Grants Financial Guide, and must include the applicable conditions of this award in any subaward. Among other things, the recipient is responsible for oversight of subrecipient spending and monitoring of specific outcomes and benefits attributable to use of award funds by subrecipients. The recipient agrees to submit, upon request, documentation of its policies and procedures for monitoring of subawards under this award.

33. Use of program income

Program income (as defined in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements) must be used in accordance with the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Program income earnings and expenditures both must be reported on the quarterly Federal Financial Report, SF 425.

34. Justice Information Sharing

Information sharing projects funded under this award must comply with DOJ's Global Justice Information Sharing Initiative (Global) guidelines. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must conform to the Global Standards Package (GSP) and all constituent elements, where applicable, as described at: https://it.ojp.gov/gsp_grantcondition. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must document planned approaches to information sharing and describe compliance with the GSP and appropriate privacy policy that protects shared information, or provide detailed justification for why an alternative approach is recommended.

35. Avoidance of duplication of networks

To avoid duplicating existing networks or IT systems in any initiatives funded by BJA for law enforcement information sharing systems which involve interstate connectivity between jurisdictions, such systems shall employ, to the extent possible, existing networks as the communication backbone to achieve interstate connectivity, unless the recipient can demonstrate

to the satisfaction of BJA that this requirement would not be cost effective or would impair the functionality of an existing or proposed IT system.

36. Compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 23

With respect to any information technology system funded or supported by funds under this award, the recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with 28 C.F.R. Part 23, Criminal Intelligence Systems Operating Policies, if OJP determines this regulation to be applicable. Should OJP determine 28 C.F.R. Part 23 to be applicable, OJP may, at its discretion, perform audits of the system, as per the regulation. Should any violation of 28 C.F.R. Part 23 occur, the recipient may be fined as per 34 U.S.C. 10231(c)-(d). The recipient may not satisfy such a fine with federal funds.

37. Protection of human research subjects

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 46 and all OJP policies and procedures regarding the protection of human research subjects, including obtainment of Institutional Review Board approval, if appropriate, and subject informed consent.

38. Confidentiality of data

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with all confidentiality requirements of 34 U.S.C. 10231 and 28 C.F.R. Part 22 that are applicable to collection, use, and revelation of data or information. The recipient further agrees, as a condition of award approval, to submit a Privacy Certificate that is in accord with requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 22 and, in particular, 28 C.F.R. 22.23.

39. The award recipient agrees to participate in a data collection process measuring program outputs and outcomes. The data elements for this process will be outlined by the Office of Justice Programs.

40. The recipient agrees to cooperate with any assessments, national evaluation efforts, or information or data collection requests, including, but not limited to, the provision of any information required for the assessment or evaluation of any activities within this project.

41. Law enforcement task forces - required training

Within 120 days of award acceptance, each current member of a law enforcement task force funded with award funds who is a task force commander, agency executive, task force officer, or other task force member of equivalent rank, must complete required online (internet-based) task force training. Additionally, all future task force members must complete this training once during the period of performance for this award, or once every four years if multiple OJP awards include this requirement.

The required training is available free of charge online through the BJA-funded Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org). The training addresses task force effectiveness, as well as other key issues including privacy and civil liberties/rights, task force performance

measurement, personnel selection, and task force oversight and accountability. If award funds are used to support a task force, the recipient must compile and maintain a task force personnel roster, along with course completion certificates.

Additional information regarding the training is available through BJA's web site and the Center for Task Force Integrity and Leadership (www.ctfli.org).

42. Justification of consultant rate

Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of \$650 per day. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the OJP program office prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds.

- 43.** The recipient understands that, in accepting this award, the Authorized Representative declares and certifies, among other things, that he or she possesses the requisite legal authority to accept the award on behalf of the recipient entity and, in so doing, accepts (or adopts) all material requirements that relate to conduct throughout the period of performance under this award. The recipient further understands, and agrees, that it will not assign anyone to the role of Authorized Representative during the period of performance under the award without first ensuring that the individual has the requisite legal authority.

44. Compliance with National Environmental Policy Act and related statutes

Upon request, the recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must assist BJA in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act, and other related federal environmental impact analyses requirements in the use of these award funds, either directly by the recipient or by a subrecipient. Accordingly, the recipient agrees to first determine if any of the following activities will be funded by the grant, prior to obligating funds for any of these purposes. If it is determined that any of the following activities will be funded by the award, the recipient agrees to contact BJA.

The recipient understands that this condition applies to new activities as set out below, whether or not they are being specifically funded with these award funds. That is, as long as the activity is being conducted by the recipient, a subrecipient, or any third party, and the activity needs to be undertaken in order to use these award funds, this condition must first be met. The activities covered by this condition are:

a. New construction;

b. Minor renovation or remodeling of a property located in an environmentally or historically sensitive area, including properties located within a 100-year flood plain, a wetland, or habitat for endangered species, or a property listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places;

c. A renovation, lease, or any proposed use of a building or facility that will either (a) result in a change in its basic prior use or (b) significantly change its size;

d. Implementation of a new program involving the use of chemicals other than chemicals that are

(a) purchased as an incidental component of a funded activity and (b) traditionally used, for example, in office, household, recreational, or education environments; and

e. Implementation of a program relating to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory operations, including the identification, seizure, or closure of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

The recipient understands and agrees that complying with NEPA may require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement, as directed by BJA. The recipient further understands and agrees to the requirements for implementation of a Mitigation Plan, as detailed at <https://bjia.gov/Funding/nepa.html>, for programs relating to methamphetamine laboratory operations.

Application of This Condition to Recipient's Existing Programs or Activities: For any of the recipient's or its subrecipients' existing programs or activities that will be funded by these award funds, the recipient, upon specific request from BJA, agrees to cooperate with BJA in any preparation by BJA of a national or program environmental assessment of that funded program or activity.

45. Establishment of trust fund

If award funds are being drawn down in advance, the recipient (or a subrecipient, with respect to a subaward) is required to establish a trust fund account. Recipients (and subrecipients) must maintain advance payments of federal awards in interest-bearing accounts, unless regulatory exclusions apply (2 C.F.R. 200.305(b)(8)). The trust fund, including any interest, may not be used to pay debts or expenses incurred by other activities beyond the scope of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (JAG). The recipient also agrees to obligate the award funds in the trust fund (including any interest earned) during the period of performance for the award and expend within 90 days thereafter. Any unobligated or unexpended funds, including interest earned, must be returned to OJP at the time of closeout.

46. All State and Local JAG recipients must submit quarterly Federal Financial Reports (SF-425). Additionally, State JAG and Local JAG Category Two (\$25K or more) must submit semi-annual performance reports through JustGrants and Local JAG Category One (Less than \$25K) must submit annual performance reports through JustGrants. Consistent with the Department's responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, the recipient must provide data that measure the results of its work. The recipient must submit quarterly performance metrics reports through BJA's Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) website (www.bjaperformancetools.org). For more detailed information on reporting and other JAG requirements, refer to the JAG reporting requirements webpage. Failure to submit required JAG reports by established deadlines may result in the freezing of grant funds and future High Risk designation.

47. Required data on law enforcement agency training

Any law enforcement agency receiving direct or sub-awarded funding from this JAG award must submit quarterly accountability metrics data related to training that officers have received on the use of force, racial and ethnic bias, de-escalation of conflict, and constructive engagement with the public.

48. Expenditures prohibited without waiver

No funds under this award may be expended on the purchase of items prohibited by the JAG program statute, unless, as set forth at 34 U.S.C. 10152, the BJA Director certifies that extraordinary and exigent circumstances exist, making such expenditures essential to the maintenance of public safety and good order.

49. Authorization to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse certain project costs incurred on or after October 1, 2021

The recipient may obligate (federal) award funds only after the recipient makes a valid acceptance of the award. As of the first day of the period of performance for the award (October 1, 2021), however, the recipient may choose to incur project costs using non-federal funds, but any such project costs are incurred at the recipient's risk until, at a minimum-- (1) the recipient makes a valid acceptance of the award, and (2) all applicable withholding conditions are removed by OJP (via an Award Condition Modification (ACM)). (A withholding condition is a condition in the award document that precludes the recipient from obligating, expending, or drawing down all or a portion of the award funds until the condition is removed.)

Except to the extent (if any) that an award condition expressly precludes reimbursement of project costs incurred "at-risk," if and when the recipient makes a valid acceptance of this award and OJP removes each applicable withholding condition through an Award Condition Modification (ACM), the recipient is authorized to obligate (federal) award funds to reimburse itself for project costs incurred "at-risk" earlier during the period of performance (such as project costs incurred prior to award acceptance or prior to removal of an applicable withholding condition), provided that those project costs otherwise are allowable costs under the award.

50. If award funds are used for DNA testing of evidentiary materials, any resulting eligible DNA profiles must be uploaded to the Combined DNA Index System ("CODIS," the DNA database operated by the FBI) by a government DNA laboratory with access to CODIS. No profiles generated under this award may be entered or uploaded into any non-governmental DNA database without prior express written approval from BJA. Award funds may not be used for the purchase of DNA equipment and supplies unless the resulting DNA profiles may be accepted for entry into CODIS. Booking agencies should work with their state CODIS agency to ensure all requirements are met for participation in Rapid DNA (see National Rapid DNA Booking Operational Procedures Manual).

51. Recipients utilizing award funds for forensic genealogy testing must adhere to the United States Department of Justice Interim Policy Forensic Genealogical DNA Analysis and Searching (<https://www.justice.gov/olp/page/file/1204386/download>), and must collect and report the metrics identified in Section IX of that document to BJA.

52. Submission of eligible records relevant to the National Instant Background Check System

Consonant with federal statutes that pertain to firearms and background checks -- including 18 U.S.C. 922 and 34 U.S.C. ch. 409 -- if the recipient (or any subrecipient at any tier) uses this award to fund (in whole or in part) a specific project or program (such as a law enforcement,

prosecution, or court program) that results in any court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the National Instant Background Check System (NICS), or that has as one of its purposes the establishment or improvement of records systems that contain any court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the NICS, the recipient (or subrecipient, if applicable) must ensure that all such court dispositions, information, or other records that are "eligible records" (under federal or State law) relevant to the NICS are promptly made available to the NICS or to the "State" repository/database that is electronically available to (and accessed by) the NICS, and -- when appropriate -- promptly must update, correct, modify, or remove such NICS-relevant "eligible records".

In the event of minor and transitory non-compliance, the recipient may submit evidence to demonstrate diligent monitoring of compliance with this condition (including subrecipient compliance). DOJ will give great weight to any such evidence in any express written determination regarding this condition.

53. Prohibition on use of award funds for match under BVP program

JAG funds may not be used as the 50% match for purposes of the DOJ Bulletproof Vest Partnership (BVP) program.

54. Certification of body armor "mandatory wear" policies, and compliance with NIJ standards

If recipient uses funds under this award to purchase body armor, the recipient must submit a signed certification that each law enforcement agency receiving body armor purchased with funds from this award has a written "mandatory wear" policy in effect. The recipient must keep signed certifications on file for any subrecipients planning to utilize funds from this award for ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchases. This policy must be in place for at least all uniformed officers before any funds from this award may be used by an agency for body armor. There are no requirements regarding the nature of the policy other than it be a mandatory wear policy for all uniformed officers while on duty.

Ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchased with award funds may be purchased at any threat level, make or model, from any distributor or manufacturer, as long as the body armor has been tested and found to comply with applicable National Institute of Justice ballistic or stab standards, and is listed on the NIJ Compliant Body Armor Model List. In addition, ballistic-resistant and stab-resistant body armor purchased must be made in the United States and must be uniquely fitted, as set forth in 34 U.S.C. 10202(c)(1)(A). The latest NIJ standard information and the NIJ Compliant Body Armor List may be found by following the links located on the NIJ Body Armor page: <https://nij.ojp.gov/topics/equipment-and-technology/body-armor>

55. Extreme risk protection programs funded by JAG must include, at a minimum: pre-deprivation and post-deprivation due process rights that prevent any violation or infringement of the Constitution of the United States, including but not limited to the Bill of Rights, and the substantive or procedural due process rights guaranteed under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, as applied to the States, and as interpreted by State courts and United States courts (including the Supreme Court of the United States). Such programs must

include, at the appropriate phase to prevent any violation of constitutional rights, at minimum, notice, the right to an in-person hearing, an unbiased adjudicator, the right to know opposing evidence, the right to present evidence, and the right to confront adverse witnesses; the right to be represented by counsel at no expense to the government; pre-deprivation and post-deprivation heightened evidentiary standards and proof which mean not less than the protections afforded to a similarly situated litigant in Federal court or promulgated by the State's evidentiary body, and sufficient to ensure the full protections of the Constitution of the United States, including but not limited to the Bill of Rights, and the substantive and procedural due process rights guaranteed under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, as applied to the States, and as interpreted by State courts and United States courts (including the Supreme Court of the United States). The heightened evidentiary standards and proof under such programs must, at all appropriate phases to prevent any violation of any constitutional right, at minimum, prevent reliance upon evidence that is unsworn or unaffirmed, irrelevant, based on inadmissible hearsay, unreliable, vague, speculative, and lacking a foundation; and penalties for abuse of the program.

56. The recipient agrees that no funds under this grant award (including via subcontract or subaward, at any tier) may be used for unmanned aircraft systems (UAS), which includes unmanned aircraft vehicles (UAV), or for any accompanying accessories to support UAS.

57. "Methods of Administration" - monitoring compliance with civil rights laws and nondiscrimination provisions

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with applicable federal civil rights laws and nondiscrimination provisions. Within 90 days of the date of award acceptance, the recipient must submit to OJP's Office for Civil Rights (at CivilRightsMOA@usdoj.gov) written Methods of Administration ("MOA") for subrecipient monitoring with respect to civil rights requirements. In addition, upon request by OJP (or by another authorized federal agency), the recipient must make associated documentation available for review.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to Methods of Administration are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/StateMethodsAdmin-FY2017update.htm> (Award condition: "Methods of Administration" - Requirements applicable to States (FY 2017 Update)), and are incorporated by reference here.

58. Recipient integrity and performance matters: Requirement to report information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to SAM and FAPIIS

The recipient must comply with any and all applicable requirements regarding reporting of information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either this OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Under certain circumstances, recipients of OJP awards are required to report information about such proceedings, through the federal System for Award Management (known as "SAM"), to the designated federal integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS").

The details of recipient obligations regarding the required reporting (and updating) of information

on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to the federal designated integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIS") within SAM are posted on the OJP web site at <https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIS.htm> (Award condition: Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters, including Recipient Reporting to FAPIS), and are incorporated by reference here.

59. BJA- JAG - Withholding of funds for program narrative

Withholding of funds: Program narrative

The recipient may not expend or draw down any award funds until the recipient submits, and OJP reviews and accepts, the program narrative for this award, and an Award Condition Modification has been issued to remove this condition.

60. Withholding - Certification with respect to Federal taxes - award exceeding \$5 million (updated Aug. 2017)

The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down any funds under this award until it has submitted to the program manager, in a format acceptable to OJP, a formal written certification directed to OJP and executed by an official with authority to sign on behalf of the recipient, that the recipient (unless an exemption applies by operation of law, as described below)-- (1) has filed all Federal tax returns required for the three tax years immediately preceding the tax year in which the certification is made; (2) has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and (3) has not, more than 90 days prior to this certification, been notified of any unpaid federal tax assessment for which the liability remains unsatisfied, unless the assessment is the subject of an installment agreement or offer in compromise that has been approved by the Internal Revenue Service and is not in default, or the assessment is the subject of a non-frivolous administrative or judicial proceeding; and until an Award Condition Modification (ACM) has been issued to remove this condition.

61. BJA- JAG - Withholding of Funds for Chief Executive Certification

Withholding of funds: Required certification from the chief executive of the applicant government

The recipient may not expend or draw down any award funds until the recipient submits the required "Certifications and Assurances by the Chief Executive of the Applicant Government," properly-executed (as determined by OJP), and an Award Condition Modification has been issued to remove this condition.

62. BJA- JAG - Withholding of Funds for State Strategic Plan submission

Withholding of funds: Required State Strategic Plan submission

The recipient may not expend or draw down any award funds until the recipient submits a sufficient Statewide Strategic Plan (to include an Annual Report in each year in which the Statewide Strategic Plan is not fully updated), and an Award Condition Modification has been issued to remove this condition.

63. BJA- JAG - SORNA Appeal Limits

The recipient acknowledges the final agency decision made by DOJ that recipient's jurisdiction did not substantially implement the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (Public Law 109-248, "SORNA") before the deadline, and understands that, as a result of that final agency decision, the amount of this JAG award was reduced, pursuant to 34 U.S.C. 20927. By accepting this specific award, the recipient voluntarily agrees that if it elects to file a judicial appeal of that final agency decision, which was integral in determining this particular funding amount, no such appeal may commence more than 6 months after the date of acceptance of this award.

- 64.** In accepting this award, the recipient agrees that grant funds cannot be used for Facial Recognition Technology (FRT) unless the recipient has policies and procedures in place to ensure that the FRT will be utilized in an appropriate and responsible manner that promotes public safety, and protects privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties and complies with all applicable provisions of the U.S. Constitution, including the Fourth Amendment's protection against unreasonable searches and seizures and the First Amendment's freedom of association and speech, as well as other laws and regulations. Recipients utilizing funds for FRT must make such policies and procedures available to DOJ upon request.

Title	County of San Mateo	12/02/2022
	by Connie Juarez-Diroll in Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Application	id. 34217785
	cjuarez-diroll@smcgov.org	

Original Submission 12/02/2022

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance (JAG) Grant Program Application is divided into four sections as identified below: Background Information Contact Information Proposal Narrative and Budget Mandatory Attachments Each section has a series of questions that require a response. Applicants will be prompted to provide written text, select options from a drop down menu, select options from a multiple choice menu, or upload attachments. Questions with a red asterisk require responses. Applicants will not be able to submit the JAG Grant Program Application until all questions with a red asterisk have been completed. Applicants may reference the JAG Instruction Packet for background information, key dates, rating factors, and other important information to aid in the completion of the Grant Program Application. The JAG Instruction Packet is available on the BSCC website at: http://www.bscc.ca.gov/s_bsccjag/. NOTE: Applicants may start and stop their application but must select "Save Draft" at the bottom of the application before existing.

SECTION I - BACKGROUND INFORMATION	This section requests information about the project name and location, federal identification, funding requested, and an overview of the project.	
Name of Applicant	County of San Mateo	
Applicant's Physical Address	2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas Ste. 280 San Mateo CA 94403 US	
Applicant's Mailing Address (If different than physical address)	n/a	
Mailing Address for Payment	2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas Ste. 280 San Mateo CA 94403 US	
Tax Identification Number	94-6000532	
Federal Employer ID	94-6000532	
Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	MFVRTNKE5KP7	
Grant Funds Requested	3067989.0	
Lead Public Agency	County of San Mateo	
Multi-County Partnerships	n/a	
Multi-County Partnerships	n/a	
Multi-County Partnerships	n/a	
Project Title	Community Wellness and Crisis Response Team	
Program Purpose Areas (PPA)	Applicants are required to apply for the Program Purpose Areas (PPA) and Priority Areas of Need identified in the JAG Instruction Packet (Page 5). A total of five PPAs are identified: PPA 1: Law enforcement programs PPA 2: Prosecution and court programs PPA 3: Prevention and education programs PPA 5: Drug treatment and enforcement programs PPA 8: Mental health programs and related law enforcement and corrections programs Applicants are required to address a minimum of one Program Purpose Area and one corresponding Priority Area of Need. Applicants are not required to address each PPA or Priority Area of Need.	
Law Enforcement Programs (PPA 1)	n/a	
Prosecution and Court Programs (PPA 2)	n/a	
Prevention and Education Programs (PPA 3)	n/a	
Drug Treatment and Enforcement Programs (PPA 5)	n/a	

Mental Health Programs and Related Law Enforcement and Corrections Programs (PPA 8)	Crisis intervention teams Co-responder initiatives (law enforcement and mental health clinicians working together to respond to calls for service involving a person experiencing a behavioral health crisis)
Proposal Summary	San Mateo County proposes to expand its Community Wellness and Crisis Response Team initiative to improve public safety and mental health by creating cross-sector collaboration and coordination among law enforcement and mental health services agencies throughout the county. First launched in 2021 as a pilot, the co-response program establishes the presence of a full-time mental health clinician within city police agencies, to be dispatched on 911 calls with a known or suspected mental health component. For the expanded project, we are working with the East Palo Alto, Menlo Park and San Bruno police departments, and clinical partners BHRS and StarVista.
SECTION II - CONTACT INFORMATION	This section requests contact information for the individuals identified as the Project Director, Financial Officer, Day-to-Day Project Contact, Day-to-Day Fiscal Contact, and the Authorized Signature.
Project Director	Shirley Chu
Project Director's Title with Agency/Department/Organization	Clinical Services Manager II, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services
Project Director's Physical Address	1950 Alameda De Las Pulgas San Mateo CA 94403 US
Project Director's Email Address	schu@smcgov.org
Project Director's Phone Number	+16504215181
Financial Officer	Janet Gard
Financial Officer's Title with Agency/Department/Organization	Deputy Director, Finance & Administration, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services
Financial Officer's Physical Address	2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas San Mateo CA 94403 US
Financial Officer's Email Address	JGard@smcgov.org
Financial Officer's Phone Number	+16502071961
Day-To-Day Program Contact	Shirley Chu
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Title with Agency/Department/Organization	Clinical Services Manager II, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Physical Address	1950 Alameda De Las Pulgas San Mateo CA 94403 US
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Email Address	schu@smcgov.org
Day-To-Day Program Contact's Phone Number	+16504215181
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact	Janet Gard
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Title with Agency/Department/Organization	Deputy Director, Finance & Administration, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Physical Address	2000 Alameda De Las Pulgas San Mateo CA 94403 US
Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Email Address	JGard@smcgov.org

Day-To-Day Fiscal Contact's Phone Number **+16505732541**

Name of Authorized Officer* **Jei Africa**

Authorized Officer's Title with Agency/Department/Organization **Director of Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, San Mateo County**

Authorized Officer's Physical Address **1950 Alameda de Las Pulgas
San Mateo
CA
94403
US**

Authorized Officer's Email Address **JAfrica@smcgov.org**

Authorized Officer's Phone Number **+16505732541**

Authorized Officer Assurances **checked**

SECTION III - PROPOSAL NARRATIVE AND BUDGET **This section requests responses to the Rating Factors identified in the the JAG Instruction Packet.**

Proposal Narrative Instructions **The Proposal Narrative must address the Project Need, Project Description, Collaboration, Capability and Qualifications, and Project Evaluation and Monitoring Rating Factors as described in the JAG Instruction Packet (Pages 21-24). When responding, clearly identify each Rating Factor section that is being addressed (i.e. Project Need, Project Description, etc.). The Proposal Narrative may not exceed 33,556 total characters (includes punctuation, numbers, spacing and any text). In Microsoft Word, this is approximately 15 pages in Arial 12-point font with one-inch margins on all four sides and at 1.5-line spacing. A character counter is automatically enabled that shows the number of characters used and the remaining number of characters before the limit is met. If the limit is exceeded, a red prompt will appear with the message "You have exceeded the character limit". Applicants will be prohibited from submit the JAG Grant Program Application until they comply with the character limit requirements. NOTE: It is up to the applicant to determine how to use the total word limit in addressing each section, however as a guide, the percent of total point value for each section is provided in the JAG Instruction Packet (Page 21).**

Proposal Narrative **Section 1: Project Need**

1.1 Need(s) addressed. San Mateo County (SMC) proposes to expand our Community Wellness and Crisis Response Team (CWCRT) pilot initiative to address the need for co-response teams composed of police officers and mental health clinicians. We know that residents in crisis typically call 911, and that police, fire, or medical personnel are dispatched in response—all of whom lack clinical mental health expertise. Further, the county's mental health services to support those experiencing crises (SMC Assessment and Referral Team, Psychiatric Emergency Response Team, and affiliated programs at school), go largely underutilized during emergencies. Moreover, we learned via the pilot that only 8% of police request mental health support in responding to a crisis, and officers request clinicians for non-medical calls about only 1% of the time—yet 20% of all non-medical calls involved a mental health emergency (e.g., mental distress, suicide, trauma) (Sources: City of San Mateo, Policing Data Transparency, 2022; Police records, CWCRT pilot cities).

When clinicians are not dispatched or present onsite, 911 calls can lead to deadly confrontations between police officers and those in crisis. Prior to our CWCRT pilot, there were a number of cases throughout the county that resulted in fatal police encounters with unarmed individuals struggling with mental health issues. These individuals were tased and later died. Our expanded program will serve as a mental health tool to avoid such tragedies. As one SMC Supervisor observed, "people suffering from a mental illness are often dealing with serious conditions by the time they have a run-in with the cops, leading to altercations that can result in serious injury or even deaths. Some of the incidents have been heartbreaking. The pilot is a response to that; I just thought there must be something we can do better" (Source: The Almanac, 2021).

In 2021, SMC launched the CWCRT program in direct response to community demands for "better outcomes" in situations where law enforcement comes into contact with individuals in crisis. Recognizing the importance of the role of the first responder in affecting positive outcomes, and knowing that most people call 911 during emergencies, the solution was clear: create a first-responder model that teamed police officers with clinicians, and dispatch both to the scene. Once onsite, the clinicians can de-escalate the crisis, and add empathic engagement (using trauma-informed techniques), clinical assessment, and follow-up resources to support clients and families—and divert a deadly encounter and mitigate criminal justice involvement.

For the pilot, currently in year two of a two-year program, we selected the county's four largest cities as demonstration sites: Daly City, Redwood City, San Mateo and South San Francisco. We partnered with county Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS), which contracted with StarVista, a health services nonprofit, to develop the co-response model and staff city police agencies with full-time mental health clinicians. Long-term, the goal was not only to achieve better outcomes, but reduce recidivism, increase utilization of resources, empower clients to proactively self-advocate, and close the trust gap between law enforcement and communities.

SMC now proposes to continue to improve public safety and mental health by deepening the cross-sector collaboration and coordination begun with our pilot among law enforcement and mental health agencies in handling responses to emergency calls and mental health crises to achieve better outcomes. This strategy is directly aligned with JAG purpose area Mental Health and Related Law Enforcement Programs and corresponding priority areas: 1) establish crisis intervention teams; 2) establish a co-responder initiative, and 3) provide general mental health services to mitigate crises.

1.2 Service gaps. For the 2021-22 pilot, city selection was based on population size (the four largest cities) with

the assumption they would also have the highest volume of mental health calls—and this was true in all but one case. For the 2023-2026 CWCRT project, we are targeting the next three high-need cities based on the number of logged mental health-related 911 calls. In the 11-month January–November 2022 period, the cities with the largest number of mental health calls (apart from pilot sites) were: East Palo Alto (197), Menlo Park (180), and San Bruno (199).

1.3 Racial/ethnic disparities. In SMC, black residents are three times more likely to be arrested than white residents (Source: Public Policy Institute of California). And yet, black residents make up only 2.8% of the county overall population, while white residents represent 58%, followed by Asian (32%) and Hispanic (24%). SMC is second in arrest rate racial disparities only to San Francisco among all California counties.

While instances of abuse and violent crime have been trending downward in the county since 2020: 226.3 per 100K (CA DOJ, 2022), perceptions about community safety have not changed and most residents still rate neighborhood safety as fair or poor (SMC Health & Quality of Life Survey, 2020). Our county's number one impact goal remains the reduction of crime and increased life expectancy (Shared Vision 2025); likewise, our top priorities are to address: 1) violence and abuse, 2) mental health; and, at their intersection, 3) racial and ethnic disparities.

1.4 Relevant local data. Findings from the CWCRT pilot evaluation (year 1) provide preliminary data to understand and contextualize the need in SMC for such a program. The evaluation was designed and conducted by Stanford University's Gardner Center. Based on data collected via official police records and documents, interviews, and observations:

Quantitative

- 121,065 of the 911 calls in the four pilot cities were non-medical
- 20% of non-medical calls involved mental distress, suicide, or other mental issue
- 12% of 911 call responses involved a law-enforcement-officer-initiated request for clinician dispatch; this tapered off to 7%-2% (depending on the city) as the program progressed

Qualitative

- The co-response model is working as designed: officers are taking the lead in securing public safety, clinicians are taking the lead on assessing individuals in crisis and recommending an appropriate response; together they determine the most appropriate response to resolve immediate and long-term crisis.
- Clinicians are providing much-welcomed support and assistance to police officers. Officers are reporting feelings less strained as a result of clinician partnerships. Community members are reaching out to the clinicians directly or walking into police departments and requesting to see them individually.

Citation: CWCRT Pilot Program: September 2022 Interim Report

Findings suggest: 1) a need to team clinicians with law enforcement to respond to 911 calls; 2) a need to encourage and increase law enforcement requests for clinicians; 3) an increasing demand by residents for clinical response to 911 calls; and 4) the co-response model is working.

1.5 Justification. The proposed project fits hand-in-glove with JAG's Mental Health and Related Law Enforcement Programs, and corresponding priority areas. Moreover, there is ample evidence to suggest our intervention will work, including our own pilot study, now in its second year. Research findings reveal that co-response teams: de-escalate crises; make service connections; reduce the burden to both the criminal justice and healthcare systems; and are cost effective. In fact, the cost savings are significant, with a cost decrease in both hospitalizations and incarcerations. Estimated cost savings nationally is about \$350,000 per year per co-responder, based on an average of 60 in-person contacts per month (Source: The Pros and Cons of Co-responder Programs, 2021). There are also significant benefits to the community and individuals: individuals in crisis report feeling less threatened and stigmatized in interactions with co-responder teams than interactions with law enforcement alone (Maximizing Benefits of Behavioral Health in Co-responder Programs, 2020). Additionally, serious mental illness causes \$193.2 billion dollars in lost earnings each year nationally, or an average of \$16,302 fewer earnings per person (National Alliance on Mental Illness). Finally, JAG funds would support the expansion of an evidence-based program that is both replicable and scalable, will add to the evidentiary research, and aid the achievement of SMC priorities and goals.

Section 2: Project Description

2.1 Description. Goals & Objectives: SMC is proposing to expand our CWCRT pilot program. The goal is to improve public safety and mental health by creating cross-sector collaboration and coordination among law enforcement and health and human services agencies throughout the county.

First launched in 2021 as a pilot, CWCRT establishes the presence of a mental health clinician within city police agencies. Each department collaborates with a full-time clinician, who is dispatched on 911 calls with a known or suspected mental health component. This results in the combined expertise and resources of law enforcement and mental health professionals so the public can be served effectively and efficiently, and outcomes improved. For this grant, SMC will partner with three cities, East Palo Alto, Menlo Park and San Bruno, and our pilot clinical partners, BHRS and StarVista, to implement new co-response teams.

Measurable objectives. Short-term outcomes: Reduce the use of deadly force, number of arrests, 5150 holds, criminal offenses and case-to-incident ratios. Long-term outcomes: Reduce criminal justice involvement, police bias and recidivism, and improve the experience of those receiving emergency services.

Services/interventions: There are four core components to the program: 1) Dispatch: a co-response team is dispatched to 911 calls with a known or suspected mental health crisis; 2) Co-Response: the team de-escalates the crisis, assesses clients for risks, provides appropriate interventions, and guides to appropriate health services; 3) Continuum of Care: clinicians follow-up with clients to connect them to Behavioral Health and Recovery Services or other community resources; and 4) PD: training is provided by SMC BHRS and StarVista consultants to build co-response team capacity.

Length/Duration: Clinicians will be partnered with agencies M-F, 10 am to 6 pm.

Project needs are addressed by implementing a program that directly responds to the needs of 911 callers who are experiencing mental health crises – by creating a co-response team of police officers and clinicians who will

work together to manage and de-escalate crises with the goal of reducing negative outcomes.

Intent of JAG is addressed by aligning with Mental Health And Related Law Enforcement Programs, and 1) establishing crisis intervention teams, 2) establishing a co-responder initiative, and 3) providing mental health services to mitigate crises.

Disparities are addressed by: dispatching clinicians on emergency calls to ensure crises are de-escalated; improving law enforcement and mental health collaborations in response to mental health crises; optimizing responses to individuals experiencing crises, especially people of color; and reducing strain on emergency services and systems. We anticipate that cross-sector collaborations will mitigate bias-related responses, and reduce the use of deadly force, the number of arrests, 5150 holds, and involvement with the criminal justice system and recidivism.

Trauma-informed care is inherently incorporated in our project design by dispatching mental health clinicians to emergency calls. Clinicians are guided by the principles of trauma-informed care by being mindful of clients' unique life experiences and need for safety, choice, individual empowerment, and self-advocacy. All services will be delivered with consideration for race, religion, gender, sexual orientation, and SES status.

2.2 Target population are individuals of any gender, age, race, and offense history, who reside in one of the three participating cities and call 911. The cities were selected based on their volume of mental health-related calls. All residents who call 911 for a non-medical emergency are eligible for participation. Below are the cities' demographics and crime statistics (Sources: US Census, respective PDs).

- East Palo Alto: population: 28,847: 35.2% White; 12% Black; 6% Asian; 60.6% Hispanic. In 2021-22, there were 38,189 calls for service; of these, 197 were mental health related. There were 4,588 offenses; the largest was theft at 1,356; among violent crimes there were 29 assaults, 5 rapes, and 0 homicides.

- Menlo Park: population: 32,475: 65.4% White; 4.3% Black; 15.2% Asian; 15.8% Hispanic. In 2021-22, there were 20,000 calls for service; of these, 180 were mental health related. There were 903 crimes in all, including 584 larcenies, 73 domestic violence incidents, 16 assaults, 6 rapes, 6 officer assaults, and 0 homicides.

- San Bruno: population: 42,275: 42.1% White; .8% Black; 31.2% Asian; 30% Hispanic. There were 199 mental-health-related calls. The overall rate is 2,748 (per 100K residents); rates for high volume and violent crimes are: 1,620 for larceny, 442 for assault, 19, rape, and 2.1 for murder.

The plan to serve participants (city residents in crisis) is directly tied to our goals to improve public safety and mental health. To do this, we will deepen cross-sector collaboration and coordination among law enforcement and health and human services. Mental health clinicians will be dispatched on non-medical emergency calls, so they can administer mental health and/or social services to callers in need, and in the process de-escalate crises, and reduce the use of deadly force, arrests, 5150 holds, racial-ethnic bias, involvement with the criminal justice system, and recidivism.

The strategy for sustained engagement involves a Continuum of Care. This is one of the project's core components, and ensures that mental health clinicians follow up with recipients to connect them to resources through our partner, BHRS and Psychiatric Emergency Services, to strengthen the coordination of care and reduce reliance on 911 as a primary mental health resource. Dispatched clinicians will also create safety plans and conduct 5150 evaluations and holds, or clinical analysis of participants to determine appropriate services. Pilot findings also show that clinicians are taking steps to foster a more holistic approach to public safety by developing relationships with people with mental health problems and serving as advocates who connect them to needed services.

2.3. Supporting evidence. There is ample research on co-responder programs to classify our intervention as evidence-based, and to suggest it will be beneficial; and that outcomes achieved by other co-responder programs support our proposed model. Recent research indicates that co-responder programs are effective, that successful ones do make an impact on individuals in crisis; and further, that the role of mental health co-responders in police departments is vital to program success. Our intervention has been pilot tested, implemented as intended, and rigorously evaluated by an independent evaluator.

1. Crisis de-escalation: Available evidence suggests co-responder programs may be effective in crisis de-escalation. Blais and colleagues (2020) found calls for service that involved the team were associated with fewer incidents of police use of force: used in only 4.2% of incidents with the co-responder team compared to 12.1% of similar incidents handled by regular patrol units.

2. Service connection: Research examining the effects of co-responder programs provide evidence that they facilitate connections to services (Dyer et al., 2015; Helfgott et al., 2016; Huppert & Griffiths, 2018; Lee et al., 2015; Morabito et al., 2018).

3. Reduced pressure on criminal justice system (CJS): Evidence suggests co-responder teams alleviate pressure on the CJS often produced by police-only responses to mental health calls for service; and these teams may have the capacity to reduce formal interventions by police officers (e.g., arrests, 5150 holds, involuntary mental health detentions), preventing the introduction of individuals in crisis into the criminal justice system. And, co-responder programs are identified as beneficial because they more efficiently use police resources and reduce time spent by first responding officers in management crises (Shapiro et al., 2015).

4. Reduced pressure on healthcare system: Co-responder teams are observed to enhance conversion rates from referrals to hospital admission by identifying individuals with the greatest need of hospital services (Dyer et al., 2015; Jenkins, 2017). As a result, co-responder programs are viewed as capable of diminishing the burden on healthcare systems by limiting unnecessary referrals to ERs, while ensuring levels of care remain accessible to individuals who need it the most (Fahim et al., 2016).

5. Cost effectiveness: Findings from initial studies suggest promising financial benefits produced from co-responder team programs. Although the specific costs associated with a program are contingent upon the effectiveness of the team, both average and annual costs for behavioral health crisis responses are less for co-responder teams when compared to traditional police responses (Allen Consulting Group, 2012; Baess, 2005; Dyer et al., 2015; Fitts & Robertson, 2017; Heslin et al., 2016; Scott, 2000).

Citation: Assessing the Impact of Co-Responder Team Programs: A Review of the Research, IACP/UC Center for Police Research & Policy, 2021.

The target population includes residents of partner cities who call 911 for behavioral health issues. CWCRT was created specifically to better serve this population, and is appropriate, because about 20% of the county's non-medical calls involve a mental health component, and a co-response team model is successful in de-escalating

mental health and other crises, making mental health service connections and referrals, and easing the burden on the healthcare and criminal justice systems.

Each city police partner collaborates with one full-time clinician who is dispatched on police calls with a known or suspected mental health component. This results in the mobilization of expertise and resources of both law enforcement and mental health professionals to effectively and efficiently serve those in crisis, and improve both mental health and law enforcement outcomes.

Section 3: Collaboration

3.1 Local JAG Committee Roster and Letter of Agreement. See Appendices E, F.

3.2 Process to identify. SMC first established a Local JAG Steering Committee for our 2015 JAG program, SWAG. At that time, we conducted an extensive executive search to identify and recruit local government officials, both appointed and elected, and traditional and non-traditional stakeholders who had extensive experience with the populations we serve, and with one or more of the industries relevant to our program. At the start of the CWCRT pilot in 2021, we built on this process to establish an Advisory Council. This serves as our Local JAG Steering Committee.

3.3 Full & balanced representation. The Council is represented by both traditional and non-traditional stakeholders, and includes: local leaders (e.g., Board of Supervisors) and city managers; those who bring expertise in criminal justice (e.g., Police Chiefs from participating police departments) and behavioral health (e.g., BHRS Director); as well as partners from educational research institutions (i.e., Gardner) and community-based nonprofit youth and family services providers (i.e., StarVista) who work closely with and understand the diverse needs of the participating communities. Clinical and nonprofit members bring extensive experience working with community members who are experiencing mental health crises, and providing mental health interventions and services, especially within the context of the criminal justice system. The County has involved members of the community in our planning process, and are committed to recruiting additional non-traditional members to join the Council.

3.4 Participation & voting rights. All members take part in the Council decision-making process with equal voting rights. The Council meets quarterly and has well-established protocols for oversight and communication. We schedule meeting dates, times, and locations to maximum participation, and members are permitted to vote virtually if unable to attend in person.

3.5 Identifying need. The Council has worked to develop the goals and program design for the CWCRT in direct response to the needs of the community. The local leaders and service providers are those who worked with residents who identified this need for intervention with mental health crises and de-escalation with law enforcement. With the County's initial funding for the CWCRT pilot in 2021, these leaders, providers, and residents have been closely involved in guiding the development and implementation of this successful project. This process was enhanced by 2021 pilot evaluation findings. The Council identified three additional cities based on their high volume of mental health-related calls.

3.6 Collaboration will include quarterly meetings and ongoing communication across members and their representative agencies. SMC is also committed to building on our large network of partners to ensure the proposed program is an inclusive and collaborative initiative that will continue to receive support and input from the community. Ongoing communication and collaboration will aid continuity.

3.7 Role is largely one of oversight. The Council will meet quarterly throughout the project period to review progress reports, provide feedback, strategize, address challenges, and ensure accountability, transparency, ongoing evaluation, CQI, data dissemination, and the fulfillment of grant requirements.

Section 4: Capability and Qualifications

4.1 Applicant ability. SMC has a long and demonstrated history of success implementing grant-funded projects. This is especially true of those funded by JAG. If awarded, this will be SMC's third JAG program. The first, funded in 2015, was refunded in 2019. For the 2022 grant cycle, we proposed to expand a promising pilot program, CWCRT, that already has the partnerships and infrastructure in place to make it a success. We are confident in our ability to not only implement the proposed project, but to scale it countywide, add to emergency response research, and advance public knowledge and understanding.

4.2 Partners. The project has two types of partners as follows.

Law Enforcement Partners: consist of the East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, and San Bruno police agencies. They were selected as high-risk cities, and will serve as project sites for the implementation of the co-response team model. Each agency will be assigned one full-time clinician; the clinician will team with police officers and be dispatched to 911 calls with a known or suspected mental health component. Representatives from each agency will serve on the Advisory Council, and participate in the evaluation.

Mental Health Partners: consist of Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS) and StarVista. BHRS is a county agency and will provide mental health resources, including integrated and culturally sensitive services and training for the prevention, intervention, and treatment of mental illness and substance abuse. StarVista is a SMC-based nonprofit youth and family services organization contracted by BHRS to provide onsite clinical services and deliver high-impact crisis de-escalation, evaluation, 5150 assessment, and short term case management services. They will also provide clinician training on a wide range of topics customized for co-response teams and led by a consultant from Experience Design, Facilitation and Coaching. Both BHRS and StarVista are current pilot partners, and as such will be invaluable in helping SMC continue to refine and implement our co-response model and optimize outcomes. They are represented on the Advisory Council, and will participate in the evaluation.

4.3 Partner experience. Clinical partners are part of the pilot program, and initially selected for the pilot due to their extensive clinical expertise. BHRS is a division of SMC and has designed our co-response model, and provided integrated and culturally sensitive prevention, intervention and treatment of mental illness and substance abuse since its inception. StarVista has 55 years of experience serving 40,000 SMC residents in crises annually, and has provided clinical expertise. SMC has worked with both partners for many years, and along with the Advisory Council, determined they are the best fit for the project. Both partners will also provide training: BHRS, professional development for co-response teams to optimize outcomes; and StarVista, basic training (e.g., DEI, cultural competency) for clinical staff. Partner cities were selected for the prevalence and type of annual 911 calls:

East Palo Alto (197 calls), Menlo Park (180), and San Bruno (199); and their commitment to the project.

4.4 Project staffing Will include 8 staff members from SMC BHRS and StarVista:

1) Project Director: existing SMC BHRS position (in-kind @.20 FTE): provides high-level oversight, monitors and evaluates project effectiveness, ensures all project deliverables are achieved, and standards of quality care are maintained.

2) Sr. Management Analyst: to-be-hired SMC BHRS position (@ .35 FTE); to provide administrative/analytic support; and maintain administrative, fiscal, and grant records.

3) Department Director: existing StarVista position (in-kind @ .10 FTE); provides high-level administrative, fiscal and personnel oversight and decision-making.

4) Program Manager: existing StarVista position (in-kind @.5 FTE), provides daily operational oversight, and training for clinicians and law enforcement agencies.

5) Program Coordinator: open StarVista position (@ 1.0 FTE); to provide operational and administrative support; responsible for the day-to-day activities, including implementing the co-response model, achieving goals, addressing challenges, etc.

6-8) Clinicians (3): existing StarVista positions (@ 1.0 FTE); one for each of the three participating cities; responsible for: teaming with police officers and dispatching to 911 calls with a known or suspected mental health crisis; de-escalating crises, assessing clients for risks, providing appropriate interventions; and providing follow-up to connect clients to BHRS or other resources.

4.5. Staff qualifications. 1) Project Director: LCSW; 30 years of clinical expertise; develops and implements programs for Crisis & Psychiatric Response Teams.

2) Senior Management Analyst: open: will possess a combination of education, training and experience that will support the implementation of the project.

3) Department Director: Ph.D.; 20 years experience, including as a Regional Clinical Specialist and Administrator; directs regional clinical care and multi-site resources.

4) Program Manager:existing; LCSW; 24 years of social work and clinical experience; pilot clinician; works with law enforcement on crisis intervention and co-response teams.

5) Program Coordinator: open: minimum qualifications: combination of experience and education; degree in counseling, psychology, social work or related field.

6-8) 3 Clinicians: possess advanced degrees and licenses (e.g., LCSW); have between 9 and 24 years of clinical and research experience; and are multilingual.

4.6 Management structure can be described as a top-down collaboration: SMC BHRS's Project Director oversees the entire project and provides in-kind, high-level administrative and fiscal decision-making. The Sr. Management Analyst reports to the Project Director. BHRS contracts with StarVista for clinical support services. StarVista's Department Director consults with the Project Director to coordinate clinical services, and oversees the day to day operations of the clinicians. Both positions serve on the Advisory Council, which provides program-level decision-making, and ensures accountability, transparency, CQI, and ensures fulfillment of grant requirements, etc. The Program Manager, Program Coordinator and Clinicians are all StarVista positions, and report to the Department Director. The Program Coordinator and the Clinicians report to the Program Manager.

4.7 Applicant Readiness. SMC has successfully piloted the proposed project since 2021. The pilot runs through December 2023. The County remains committed to using pilot evaluation findings to improve and expand our program, and continue to strengthen our partnerships, further emergency response research, and advance public knowledge and understanding.

Section 5: Project Evaluation & Monitoring

5.1. Evaluator. SMC engaged John W. Gardner Center for Youth and their Communities (Gardner), as evaluator of our CWCRT pilot program in 2021. Gardner was established in 2000 at Stanford, and is part of its Graduate School of Education. They partner with communities, researchers, and practitioners to conduct rigorous research, support evidence-based practices. SMC and the Advisory Council selected Gardner as part of a rigorous bidding process and county affiliations. We plan to contract them for this project. In 2021, Gardner, in collaboration with our CWCRT Advisory Council, designed a formative evaluation. The goal was to identify factors affecting successful implementation, understand key outcomes, and identify opportunities for improvement.

The 2022 CWCRT project evaluation will be both formative (process) and summative (outcomes), and incorporate all phases, from startup through close. The formative evaluation will delineate project activities and accomplishments by examining project design and implementation, professional development, collaborations and coordination, interventions and treatment, and the continuum of care. The summative evaluation will examine project impact by quantifying increases in co-response dispatches, officer time on the scene, de-escalations, follow-up and referrals; and the reduction of deadly force, arrests, emergency hold rates, recidivism, police bias, etc.

5.2. Process & outcome indicators. For this CWCRT project, we will implement a mixed-methods evaluation that is both formative and summative, and aligned with project goals and anticipated outcomes. Data collection methods will entail both quantitative and qualitative, and primary and secondary sources. Primary sources may include surveys, interviews, and site visits. Secondary sources may entail record and document review (e.g., police records, clinician records, minutes of meetings, clinician case notes, etc.), and relevant needs assessments and research studies. We plan to use a systems model to examine key indicators: context (process), implementation (process) and impact (outcome). Process indicators involve the type and scope of services, and entail program design (co-response model), implementation, service delivery, leadership and staffing, partnerships and collaboration, city/precinct demographics/characteristics, PD/training curriculum, etc.

Outcome indicators involve immediate and sustained effects, and entail changes in knowledge, attitudes and behaviors. We anticipate these will include: increases in police requests for clinicians to be dispatch to non-medical 911 calls; and increases in the dispatch of clinicians to non-medical 911 calls, and as a result, increases in the de-escalation of crises, a continuum of care, follow and referrals. We also anticipate a change in police behavior, and as result, the reduced use of deadly force, number of arrests, 5150 holds, recidivism, police bias, and involvement with the criminal justice system on the part of those in crisis, among others.

5.3. Data collection, evaluation, DUA. Baseline data for project processes and outcomes indicators identified in 5.2 are currently being collected as part of the pilot project, and. entail the number and type of incident and officer time on the scene; outcomes data consisted of arrest rates and referral rates. Pilot data collection methods are interviews, observation, and record/document review (meeting minutes, video recordings, police administrative records, program-related materials). For this project, we may also add surveys, clinical case notes, 911 call

logs/records, etc.

We anticipate the short-term outcomes to be a reduction in the use of deadly force, arrests, criminal offenses, case-to-incident ratios; and conversely, improved law enforcement and mental health collaborations in response to mental health crises, optimized responses to individuals experiencing crises, and reduced the strain on emergency services and response systems. We anticipate long-term outcomes will entail a reduction in involvement with the criminal justice system and recidivism, reduced bias and improved the experience of individuals receiving emergency services.

SMC has a data use agreement (DUA) with Gardner, the independent evaluator, and our partners to ensure project data is used responsibly as it relates to privacy, confidentiality, data collection, maintenance, retention, and informational harms.

Budget Instructions

Applicants are required to submit a Proposal Budget and Budget Narrative (Budget Attachment). Upon submission the Budget Attachment will become Section 6: Proposal Budget (Budget Tables & Narrative) making up part of the official proposal. The Budget Attachment must be filled out completely and accurately. Applicants are solely responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the information entered in the Budget Table and Budget Narrative. The grant budget must cover the entire grant period. For additional guidance related to grant budgets, refer to the BSCC Grant Administration Guide. The Budget Attachment is provided as a stand-alone document on the BSCC website at http://www.bscc.ca.gov/s_bsccjag/.

JAG Budget Attachment

[2022-JAG-Budget-Attachment.xlsx](#)

SECTION IV - MANDATORY ATTACHMENTS

This section list the attachments that are required at the time of submission. Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Funds (Appendix C) Project Work Plan (Appendix D) JAG Steering Committee Roster (Appendix E) Letter of Agreement or Operational Agreement (Appendix F and G) Certification of Compliance with BSCC Policies on Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement (Appendix K)

Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving Grant Funds (Appendix C)

[Appendix_C_NGO_Agreement.pdf](#)

Project Work Plan (Appendix D)

[2022_JAG_Project-Work-Plan.docx](#)

JAG Steering Committee Roster (Appendix E)

[Appendix-E-JAG-Steering-Committee-Roster.docx](#)

Letter of Agreement (Appendix F)

[Appendix_F_LOAs.pdf](#)

Sample Operational Agreement (Appendix G)

[Appendix_G_Operational_Agreement.pdf](#)

Certification of Compliance with BSCC Polices On Debarment, Fraud, Theft, and Embezzlement (Appendix K)

[Appendix_K_Certification.pdf](#)

OPTIONAL: Governing Board Resolution n/a

OPTIONAL: Bibliography

Center for Police Research & Policy. (2021). Assessing the Impact of Co-Responder Team Programs: A Review of the Research.
City of San Mateo (2022). Policing Data Transparency, City of San Mateo, California.
County of San Mateo. (2016). Community Health Needs Assessment. Health & Quality of Life in San Mateo County.
County of San Mateo. (2022). Shared Vision: Our shared vision for 2025 is for a healthy and safe, prosperous, livable, environmentally conscious, and collaborative community.
Forestieri, K. (2021). San Mateo County plans to pair cops with mental health workers to help those in crisis. The Almanac. www.almanacnews.com/news/2021/01/25/san-mateo-county-plans-to-pair-cops-with-mental-health-workers-to-help-those-in-crisis
Hollander, Y., Lee, S. J., Tahtalian, S., Young, D., & Kulkarni, J. (2012). Challenges relating to the interface between crisis mental health clinicians and police when engaging with people with a mental illness. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, 19(3), 402–411.
John W. Gardner Center for Youth and their Communities (2022). Community Wellness and Crisis Response Team Interim Report. Stanford University. (San Mateo County's pilot program first year report)
Julota. (2021). The pros and cons of co-responder programs. www.julota.com/news/the-pros-cons-of-a-co-responder-program/#:~:text=However%2C%20in%20the%20long%20term%2C%20the%20savings%20are,an%20average%20of%20person%20contacts%20per%20month.
Krider, A. et al. (2020). Responding to Individuals In Behavioral Health Crisis Via Co-Responder Models: Roles of Cities, Counties, Law Enforcement and Providers. Policy Research Institute. www.theiacp.org/sites/default/files/SJCResponding%20to%20Individuals.pdf
Medical Group Management Association. (2020). Better Together: Maximizing benefits of behavioral health in co-responder programs. www.mgma.com/MGMACustom/Error500.aspx?aspxerrorpath=/CMSPages/PortalTemplate.aspx
National Alliance on Mental Illness. (2020). Mental Health By the Numbers. www.nami.org/mhstats
United States Census Quick Facts (2020). San Mateo County, California.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: All documents submitted as a part of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program proposal are public documents and may be subject to a request pursuant to the California Public Records Act. The BSCC cannot ensure the confidentiality of any information submitted in or with this proposal. (Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq.)

Appendix C: Criteria for Non-Governmental Organizations Receiving BSCC Grant Funds

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program Request for Proposals (RFP) includes requirements that apply to non-governmental organizations that receive funds under this grant. All grantees are responsible for ensuring that any contracted third parties continually meet these requirements as a condition of receiving JAG funds. The RFP describes these requirements as follows:

Any non-governmental organization that receives JAG funding (as either subgrantee or subcontractor) must:

- Have been duly organized, in existence, and in good standing for at least six (6) months prior to the effective date of its fiscal agreement with the BSCC or with the JAG grantee;
 - Non-governmental entities that have recently reorganized or have merged with other qualified non-governmental entities that were in existence prior to the six-month date are also eligible, provided all necessary agreements have been executed and filed with the California Secretary of State prior to the start date of the grantee subcontractor fiscal agreement;
- Be a nonprofit and recognized by the Internal Revenue Service as a 501(c)(3) organization;
- Be registered with the California Secretary of State's Office;
- Have an Employer Identification Number (EIN) or Taxpayer ID (if sole proprietorship);
- Have a valid business license, if applicable;
- Have any other state or local licenses or certifications necessary to provide the services requested (e.g., facility licensing by the Department of Health Care Services), if applicable; and
- Have a physical address in the State of California.
 - An agent for service of process with a California address is insufficient.

In the table below, provide the name of the Grantee and list all contracted parties.

Grantee:

Name of Contracted Party	Address	Email / Phone	Meets All Requirements
StarVista Sara Larios Mitchell	610 Elm Street, Suite 212, San Carlos, CA 94070	smitchell@star-vista.org (650) 591-9623 x 112	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
The Gardner Center	520 Galvez Mall Stanford, CA 94305	gerstein@stanford.edu (650) 736-2064	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
			Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Grantees are required to update this list and submit it to the BSCC any time a new third-party contract is executed after the initial assurance date. Grantees shall retain (on-site) applicable source documentation for each contracted party that verifies compliance with the requirements listed in the JAG RFP. These records will be subject to the records and retention language found in Appendices A and C of the Standard Agreement.

Unless prior approval is obtained, the BSCC prohibits disbursement or reimbursement to any NGO that does not meet the requirements listed above and for which the BSCC does not have a signed grantee assurance on file.

A signature below is an assurance that all requirements listed above have been met.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE (This document must be signed by the person who is authorized to sign the Grant Agreement.)			
NAME OF AUTHORIZED OFFICER Lisa Mancini <i>Lisa Mancini</i>	TITLE Interim Director, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, San Mateo County	TELEPHONE 650-573-2748	
STREET ADDRESS 2000 Alameda de las Pulgas	CITY San Mateo	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 94403
EMAIL ADDRESS lmancini@smcgov.org			

Appendix D: Project Work Plan

Goal 1: Years 1-3: Build capacity of partners, precincts, and county emergency services.			
Objectives (A., B., C...):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Establish crisis intervention teams in high-need cities and precincts. B. Continue to build a co-responder program and county-wide co-response infrastructure. C. Provide professional development for co-response team members. D. Increase law enforcement requests for clinicians in response to emergency services calls. E. Improve effectiveness of participating cities' response to emergency calls. F. Improve public health and safety. 		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/ partners	Timeline	
		Start Date	End Date
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Convene local JAG Steering Committee=CWCRT Advisory Council to provide project oversight and coordinate with state JAG Steering Committee. 2. Partner with three high-need cities and police precincts, with high volumes of mental-health-related 911 calls: East Palo Alto (197), Menlo Park (180), and San Bruno (199). 3. Hire and assign three highly trained and qualified mental health clinicians to high-need precincts in partner cities. 4. Train/orient partner police department personnel in goals and objectives of the project. 5. Train partner police and clinicians to collaborate as a co-response team. 6. Monitor co-response team activities and outcomes; evaluate project implementation and effectiveness; prepare progress and annual evaluation reports. 7. Inform and educate the public about the CWCRT project via community outreach and engagement; solicit feedback via community needs assessments. 	SMC BHRS Project Director; BHRS Management Analyst StarVista Dept. Director StarVista Program Manager and Program Coordinator StarVista Clinicians (3); Law Enforcement Partners (3); Gardner (evaluator)	June 1, 2023	December 31, 2023
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: minutes of meetings; employment records; PD/training curriculum and schedule; 911 call records; police records/documents; project PR campaign materials and website posts; project evaluation data (e.g., findings from surveys, interviews, observations, etc.)			
Goal 2: Years 1-3: Establish three co-response teams to respond to 911 calls with a known or suspected mental health crisis.			
Objectives (A., B., C...):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Track 911 calls of partner police precincts. B. Delineate the role of law enforcement on the co-response team. C. Delineate the role of clinicians on the co-response team. D. Facilitate co-response team trust of partners and respect for each other's roles. 		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:		Timeline	

	Responsible staff/ partners	Start Date	End Date
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Collect 911 data; identify and evaluate call type (e.g., #/% mental health related). 2. Take lead in securing public safety and facilitating resources (police officers). 3. Take lead in assessing individuals in crisis and recommending responses (clinicians). 4. Together determine the best response to resolve the crisis (police officers and clinicians). 5. Make welfare checks (police officers and clinicians). 6. Consult with the Homeless Outreach Teams (clinicians). 7. Connect with the public using additional dispatch strategies (clinicians). 8. Provide risk assessment, de-escalation, safety plan, referral to general mental health services (clinicians). 	<p>StarVista Clinicians (3); Law Enforcement Partners (3); Gardner (evaluator)</p>	September 1, 2023	Ongoing
<p>List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: 911 call log/records; police records/documents; clinician records and case notes; project evaluation data e.g., findings from surveys, interviews, observations)</p>			
<p>Goal 3: Years 1-3: De-escalate crises, assess client risk, and provide appropriate interventions and health services.</p>			
<p>Objectives (A., B., C...):</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. Increase law enforcement requests for clinicians to be dispatched to behavioral health crisis 911 calls. B. Increase dispatch of clinicians to behavioral health crisis 911 calls. C. Support a collaborative, well-functioning co-response team. D. Make assessment of clients (clinicians). E. Make recommendations for appropriate interventions and behavioral health services (clinicians). 		
<p>Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:</p>	Responsible staff/ partners	Timeline	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Train and team law enforcement and clinicians within high-need precincts. 2. Dispatch clinicians on behavioral health crisis calls to assess clients. 3. Make interventions and recommendations (clinicians). 4. provide a continuum of care, follow up and referrals to resources (clinicians). 5. Rely on clinician de-escalation strategies and recommendations (law enforcement). 	<p>SMC BHRS Project Director; StarVista Dept. Director BHRS Training Consultant StarVista Training Consultant StarVista Clinicians (3); Law Enforcement Partners (3);</p>	January 1, 2024	Ongoing
<p>List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: 911 call records; police records/documents; clinician records and case notes; project evaluation data e.g., findings from surveys, interviews, observations)</p>			

Goal 4: Years 1-3: Improve the CWCRT project and its impact on recipients.			
Objectives (A., B., C...):	A. Reduce the use of deadly force in response to emergency calls. B. Reduce the number of arrests in response to emergency calls. C. Reduce the number of 5150 holds. D. Reduce involvement with the criminal justice system on the part of those in crisis. E. Reduce recidivism. F. Mitigate bias and racial/ethnic disparities. G. Improve the effectiveness of the project in achieving the goals and objectives. H. Add to the body of emergency response research.		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/ partners	Timeline	
		Start Date	End Date
1. Facilitate collaboration of co-response team to assess client and level of risk. 2. Facilitate the use of appropriate strategies in response to 911 calls. 3. Provide ongoing professional development for team members to improve project outcomes. 4. Use evaluation findings to improve project design and outcomes. 5. Prepare annual evaluation reports; disseminate evaluation findings and add to emergency response program research. 6. Consult with local and state JAG Steering Committees. 7. Collaborate with and solicit feedback from partners. 8. Solicit feedback from the public via community needs assessments.	SMC BHRS Project Director; StarVista Dept. Director BHRS and StarVista Training Consultants StarVista Clinicians (3); Law Enforcement Partners (3); Gardner (evaluator)	January 1, 2024	Ongoing
List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: 911 call records; police arrest records and other documents; clinician records and case notes; PD/training curriculum and schedule; project evaluation data e.g., findings from surveys, interviews, observations)			
Goal 5: Year 3: Determine project effectiveness to inform improvements to program and county-wide replication.			
Objectives (A., B., C...):	A. Assess project effectiveness/success: logistics; outcomes; cost-effectiveness, public response, etc. B. Determine project value for county-wide replication. C. Determine timeline, resources, logistics, implementation process, etc., need to go to scale.		
Project activities that support the identified goal and objectives:	Responsible staff/ partners	Timeline	
		Start Date	End Date
1. Collect and analyze evaluation data. 2. Compare project findings (#cities) with pilot findings (four cities). 3. Generate final evaluation report. 4. Make high-level decisions about replication and cost effectiveness.	SMC leadership; CWCRT Advisory Council; Gardner (evaluator)	June 1, 2023; January 1, 2026	June 30, 2026

List data and sources to be used to measure outcomes: project evaluation data; reports

END WORK PLAN

Appendix E: JAG Steering Committee Roster

Local JAG Steering Committee – County of San Mateo

Name	Title	Agency/Organization	Phone Number	Email Address
Don Horsley	President	San Mateo County, Board of Supervisors	650-363-4569	dhorsley@smcgov.org
Mike Callagy	County Executive	San Mateo County	650-363-4129	mcallagy@smcgov.org
Jei Africa	Director	Behavioral Health & Recovery Services	650-573-2541	JAfrica@smcgov.org
Ed Barberini	Chief	City of San Mateo Police Department	650-522-7600	ebarberini@cityofsanmateo.org
Drew Corbett	City Manager	City of San Mateo	650-522-7000	dcorbett@cityofsanmateo.org
Dan Mulholland	Chief	Redwood City Police Department	650-780-7122	dmulholland@redwoodcity.org
Melissa Stevenson Diaz	City Manager	Redwood City	650-780-7301	mdiaz@redwoodcity.org
Scott Campbell	Chief	City of South San Francisco Police Department	650-877-8900	scampbell@redwoodcity.org
Mike Futrell	City Manager	City of South San Francisco	650-877-8500	mike.futrell@ssf.net
Cameron Christensen	Acting Chief	Daly City Police Department	650-991-8115	cchristensen@dalycity.org
Thomas Piccolotti	Interim City Manager	Daly City	650-991-8201	tpiccolotti@dalycity.org
Ryan Johnansen	Chief	City of San Bruno Police Department	650-616-7058	rjohnansen@sanbruno.ca.gov
Jovan Grogan	City Manager	City of San Bruno	650-616-7056	jgrogan@sanbruno.ca.gov
David Norris	Chief	City of Menlo Park Police Department	650-330-6300	dnorris@menlopark.org
Justin Murphy	City Manager	City of Menlo Park	650-330-6725	jicmurphy@menlopark.org
Jeff Liu	Interim Chief	City of East Palo Alto Police Department	650-321-1112	jliu@cityofepa.org
Patrick Heisinger	City Manager	City of East Palo Alto	650-853-3100	pheisinger@cityofepa.org
Shirley Chu	Project Director	Behavioral Health & Recovery Services	650-421-5181	Schu@smcgov.org

Appendix G: Operational Agreement with StarVista

This Operational Agreement stands as evidence that the County of San Mateo and StarVista intend to work together toward the goals outlined in the grant proposal. Both agencies believe that implementation of the Community Wellness and Crisis Response project, as described within this proposal, will further these goals. Each agency agrees to participate in the JAG Program, if selected for funding, as outlined herein.

The County of San Mateo will closely coordinate JAG services and activities with StarVista through:

- Project staff being readily available to the County of San Mateo for service provision as described in the grant proposal.
- Close and ongoing collaboration with the Program Director, including weekly meetings with program staff, to discuss strategies, timetables and implementation of mandated services. Specifically:
 - Supervise three Clinicians and oversee deployment of services to the three cities
 - Track and report on programmatic outcomes
 - Participate on the Local Steering Committee
- The term of this Operational Agreement between the parties is: June 1, 2023 to December 31, 2026.
- The parties agree that approximately \$2,439,413 will be designated for StarVista for the entire project period.

We the undersigned, as authorized representatives of the County of San Mateo and StarVista do hereby approve this document.



Lisa Mancini,
County of San Mateo, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services Director

11-30-2022

Date



Sara Larios Mitchell, PHD (Dec 1, 2022 09:18 PST)

Sara Mitchell
StarVista, Chief Executive Officer

12/1/2022

Date

Appendix G: Operational Agreement with the John W. Gardner Center

This Operational Agreement stands as evidence that the County of San Mateo and The John W. Gardner Center intend to work together toward the goals outlined in the proposal. Both agencies believe that implementation of the Community Wellness and Crisis Response project, as described within this proposal, will further these goals. Each agency agrees to participate in the JAG Program, if selected for funding, as outlined herein.

The County of San Mateo will closely coordinate JAG services and activities with the John W. Gardner Center through:

- Project staff being readily available to the County of San Mateo for service provision as it relates to program evaluation.
- Regularly scheduled meetings will be held monthly between the Gardner Center and designated representatives of the County of San Mateo to discuss strategies, timetables and implementation of mandated services. Specifically:
 - Data Collection and Evaluation
- The term of this Operational Agreement between the parties is: June 1, 2023 to December 31, 2026.
- The parties agree that approximately \$305,000 will be designated for The John W. Gardner Center for the entire project period.

We, the undersigned, as authorized representatives of the County of San Mateo and The John W. Gardner Center do hereby approve this document.



Lisa Mancini,
County of San Mateo, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services Director

11-30-2022

Date



[Amy Gerstein \(Nov 30, 2022 16:38 PST\)](#)

Amy Gerstein
The John W. Gardner Center, Executive Director

11/30/22

Date

Project Budget and Budget Narrative

2022 JAG Program **Applicant County:** San Mateo County

Project Budget

Note: This table will auto-populate based on the information entered in the sections below.

Budget Line Item	Grant Funds
1. Salaries and Benefits	\$205,438
2. Services and Supplies	\$9,045
3. Professional Services	\$1,750
4. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Subcontracts	\$2,469,413
5. Equipment/Fixed Assets	\$0
6. Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation <i>Must be at least 5 percent of the total grant award</i>	\$300,005
7. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	\$22,467
8. Indirect Costs	\$59,871
TOTAL	\$3,067,989

1. Salaries and Benefits

Title	(% FTE <u>or</u> Hourly Rate) & Benefits	Grant Funds
Example (Hourly): Fiscal Manager	\$60/hour x 10 hours/month x 3 years = \$21,600 + benefits @ 22% = \$4,752	\$26,352
Example (FTE): Counselor	.25 FTE @ \$60,000 x 3 years = \$45,000	\$45,000
Senior Management Analyst	.35 FTE @ \$130,436.80 annual salary + 50% benefits x 3 years	\$205,438
Project Director (in-kind)	0.2 FTE to be provided as in-kind resource	\$0
TOTAL		\$205,438

Salaries and Benefits Narrative:

The Project Director will provide high-level oversight, monitors and evaluates project effectiveness, ensures all project deliverables are achieved, and standards of quality care are maintained. .20 FTE to be provided as in-kind resource.

The Senior Management Analyst will provide administrative/analytic support; and maintain administrative, fiscal, and grant records.. 0.35 FTE @ \$130,436 annual salary + 50% benefits x 3 years.

MOD x APPROVAL DATE:

2. Services and Supplies

Description of Services or Supplies	Calculation for Expenditure	Grant Funds
Example: Supportive Services (bus passes, gas cards)	\$350 x 50 Participants	\$17,500
Office supplies	\$300 x 3 years	\$900
Additional mileage	\$700 x 3 years	\$2,100
Management Analyst cell phone	\$1300 x 3 years	\$3,900
CWCRT Brochures	Printing costs \$192.42 for 300 copies in 6 threshold languages	\$1,155
Clinician Vests	\$110 with tax per vest x 9 vests = \$990	\$990
TOTAL		\$9,045

Services and Supplies Narrative:

Office supplies will be purchased to assist with the implementation of the program (paper, printer ink, etc.) = \$300/year

Additional mileage for the Project Director and Management Analyst will also be included in the request to accommodate the transportation required to implement the grant program and cross-collaboration between subcontractors and stakeholders; mileage will assist in attending contract meetings, program meetings, site visits, etc. = \$700 x 3 years

Cell phone will be purchased for the Management Analyst to guarantee quality communication as they contact subcontractors and stakeholders to ensure success of the program. = \$1,300 x 3 years for Years 1, 2, & 3.

Clinician Vests: 9 vests (6 clinicians + 3 extra) with CWCRT Clinician printed in Year 1 = \$110 x 9 = \$990.

MOD x APPROVAL DATE:

3. Professional Services

Description of Professional Service(s)	Calculation for Expenditure	Grant Funds
Example: Public Defender	Statewide Program Rate	
Translation Services	\$1750 for translation into five languages	\$1,750
TOTAL		\$1,750

Year 1 = \$96,185
 Year 2 = \$99,952
 Year 3 = \$103,869

The expenses remain steady over the years, with costs increasing to account for personnel annual 4% cost of living increase:

- Personnel: \$206,604
 - Faculty: 0.5% FTE
 - Executive Director: 1% FTE
 - Deputy Director: 20% FTE
 - Senior Research Associate: 20% FTE
 - Data Manager: 6% FTE

Benefits at 30.9% in Years 1, 2, & 3

- Other Direct Costs: \$5,550
 - Transcriptions: \$1,350 x 3 Years
 - Travel: \$500 x 3 Years

ISC at 8.7% for Years 1, 2, & 3

MOD x APPROVAL DATE:

7. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)

Description	Calculation for Expense	Grant Funds
Example: Training Classes	\$500/partipant x 30 participants	\$15,000
Community Incentives/Stipends	\$25 gift cards/check x 80 community members x 3 years	\$6,000
Travel to Sacramento to attend annual BSCC Confere	See budget narrative below	\$5,586
Local Travel	155 miles/month x 3 Clinicians x \$0.65/mile x 36 months	\$10,881
TOTAL		\$22,467

Other (Travel, Training, etc.) Narrative:

Incentives or stipends for community feedback sessions on co-responding service

Stipends: \$25 gift cards/check x 80 community members x 3 years

Travel includes meals for 2 people, mileage, lodging. Estimated using GSA guidelines = \$69 per person for breakfast, lunch & dinner on full day; \$51.75 on first and last day. (69x2x2+51.75x2x2) + 118 miles x 0.625 x 2 ways x 2 persons + \$242 x 12% taxes x 2nights x 2persons

Local travel for County cars (to be provided as in-kind resource) for Clinicians to travel to/from police station to respond to crisis scenes to which they are dispatched, to conduct follow-up visits, and to travel to required County meetings.

MOD x APPROVAL DATE:

8. Indirect Costs

For this grant program, indirect costs may be charged using only one of the two options below:

Option	Rate	Total Indirect Cost	Grant Funds
Option 1) Indirect costs will be charged using a Federally approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate (NICR). Enter your approved NICR percentage as a number (e.g., 25, 31, 32.25) in cell E277. Your project indirect costs cannot exceed the total shown in cell G277. If using Option 1, enter the amount to be charged to indirect costs in cell H277. *If the amount entered in H277 turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line item limit noted in G277	0%	\$3,008,118	\$0
Option 2) Indirect costs will be charged using the Federal De Minimis, 10% of Modified Total Direct Costs, calculated as 10% multiplied by (total direct costs, minus equipment, plus up to the first \$25,000 of each subcontract (cell F278)). If using the Federal De Minimus, your project indirect costs cannot exceed the total shown in cell G278. If using Option 2, enter the amount to be charged to indirect costs in cell H278. *If the amount entered in H278 turns red, please adjust it to not exceed the line item limit noted in G278	10.00%	\$3,008,118	\$300,812
TOTAL			\$59,871

Indirect Costs Narrative:

Indirect Costs are calculated using the Federal De Minimis rate of 10% of Modified Total Direct Costs

MOD x APPROVAL DATE:

Section VIII: Project Budget and Budget Narrative

2022 JAG Program	Applicant County:	San Mateo
Program Purpose Area Allocations		

Applicants must allocate all JAG grants funds to one or more of the Program Purpose Areas (PPA) as described in the Request for Proposal. No JAG funds may be expended outside of the JAG priority PPAs. For the purposes of proposal scoring, no PPA shall carry more weight than another. The totals for each year in the Program Purpose Area (PPA) Funding Table must equal the total grant funds requested.

Program Purpose Area Funding Table	Total Grant Award
Total Grant Funds Requested	\$3,067,989
1. Law Enforcement Programs	\$0
2. Prosecution and Court Programs	\$0
3. Prevention and Education Programs	\$0
5. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Programs	\$0
8. Mental Health and Related Law Enforcement and Corrections Programs	\$3,067,989
<i>PPA allocations must equal the total grant funds requested*: Totals</i>	\$3,067,989

** If PPA allocation totals show as red font, they do not equal the amount of grant funds requested as required.*

Project Budget Table	
Budget Line Item	Grant Funds
1. Salaries and Benefits	\$205,438
2. Services and Supplies	\$9,045
3. Professional Services	\$1,750
4. Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Subcontracts	\$2,469,413
5. Equipment/Fixed Assets	\$0
6. Data Collection, Reporting and Evaluation <i>Must be at least 5 percent of the total grant award</i>	\$300,005
7. Other (Travel, Training, etc.)	\$22,467
8. Indirect Costs	\$59,871
TOTAL	\$3,067,989

APPENDIX A: JAG EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEE ROSTER

2022 JAG Executive Steering Committee

	Name	Title	Organization
1	Linda Penner (Chair)	Chairperson	Board of State and Community Corrections
2	Mark Delgado	Executive Director	Los Angeles County's Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee, Los Angeles County
3	Eric Durnell	Ph. D. Candidate	Social Psychology, California State University, San Francisco, San Francisco County
4	David Fernandez	Senior Special Agent	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, Sacramento County
5	Robin Lipetzky	Public Defender	Contra Costa County
6	Lyle Martin	Police Chief	Bakersfield Police Department, Kern County
7	Steven Meinrath	Attorney	Sacramento County
8	Debbie Paolinelli	Assistant County Administrative Officer	Fresno County
9	Jonathan Raven	Chief Deputy District Attorney	Yolo County
10	Darren Thompson	Sheriff-Coroner	San Benito County
11	Erik Upson	Police Chief	Benicia Police Department, Solano County
12	Erica Webster	Master's Candidate	Luskin School of Public Affairs, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles County
13	Charles White, Ph. D.	Director, Criminal Justice	Azusa Pacific University, San Diego County