

From: [Uri Greenberg](#)
To: [CMO BoardFeedback](#)
Subject: 206 Sequoia Ave zoning change
Date: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 7:20:37 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

I posted already on Next Door stating I am opposed to this zone change to allow large apartment complex at this location.

Most of the single family residences are 1 story dwellings, and the location is right on the intersection of Sequoia Ave and Montgomery Ave. which dead ends into Sequoia Ave.

The present condition is barely passable as far as street parking and personal parking. Auto burglary and theft has risen in the recent months. This area is policed by the sheriff department and they are under staffed. Highway patrol is the traffic enforcing agency for this area and they are seldom patrolling locally. I would think same reason as the Sheriff department. the intersection of Woodside Road and Sequoia Ave. is a very busy intersection having the Shell gas station on the corner, high speed traffic on Woodside Road trying to catch green light at the next intersection, traffic going west on Woodside Road making frequent illegal turns at the intersection, all of the above creates an uncertain driving condition in this section of Sequoia Ave. Down the road on Sequoia Ave. heading towards Shelby Ln. the county installed speed bumps which help tremendously. Would be very helpful if such speed bumps were also installed in the section from Woodside Road.

I live in this section of Sequoia Ave and drive daily. As it is trying to merge into Woodside Road is not an easy task. view of traffic going east on Woodside Road is frequently obscured by large vehicles or truck parked on Woodside Road close to the intersection. Drivers get frustrated and accidents happen. In addition to the traffic becoming a hazard, street parking is not available. Many people end up coming home from work and park in the bank parking lot or in the veterinary clinic lot. Both are private parking not designated for public parking. Also base on the crime rate mostly theft from yards and vehicle break in it is very well known the many vehicles were vandalized and catalytic converters were stolen from them while in the front parking of houses and mainly if you park your car on the street. This will cause more cars to park on the street and get vandalized. Even if you allow at least 2 off street parking lots for "future residents" if the projects gets approved, based on the rental cost and average income of the future residents that need housing and not able to purchase their own residence the tendency is to have more than 2-3 people rent a unit and cut down on the cost. Located in an area that people must travel to work, it most likely will increase the number of vehicles trying to park when presently there is no more street parking available. Most of the residents in this area are blue collar workers, owning trucks and some trailers and street parking is scarce.

Going further to the living conditions for such a complex it will need open space for residents to enjoy a day off and not able to travel to a park or other areas. there will be larger gatherings than the area presently has, cultural differences that in many cases cause tension and noise.

I would be in favor for allowing several single family residences or townhouses that are better suited for this location.

I posted my objection on Next door and have already been personally attacked by one person which I assume is either the developer or his representative.

I also drove on Woodside Road which in many area has apartment buildings and during the time when people come back from work there is double parking and many locations look like undesirable areas to live in. Trash and debris included.

I hope this zoning change will not be approved but I would be in favor of zoning change from single family to no more than 4-5 independent dwellings.

Sincerely Thank You

Uri Greenberg

From: [Barbara Reynolds](#)
To: [CMO BoardFeedback](#)
Subject: Rezoning 206 Sequoia Avenue, Redwood City
Date: Monday, May 17, 2021 10:10:44 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

To Whom it May Concern:

I want to register my objection to the proposed rezoning at 206 Sequoia Avenue. I live just a few blocks away and am concerned about the increase in congestion and problems with parking if this is realized. Please reconsider this action.

I cannot join the Zoom meeting, so I want to state my objection before the meeting. We were not informed of this meeting. One of my neighbors saw it in the local paper. That is also objectionable. All neighbors should be informed of such planned meetings beforehand.

Thank you.

Barbara Reynolds
Nimitz Avenue