

January 13, 2026

Ms. Kelly Hammerle
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (VAM-LD)
45600 Woodland Rd.
Sterling, VA 20166-9216

Also submitted to <https://www.regulations.gov> via navigating to BOEM-2025-0483-0001

RE: Comments on 11th National OCS Oil and Gas Leasing Program Docket ID: BOEM-2025-0483-0001 MAA104000 pursuant to Federal Register Number 2025-20760.

Dear Ms.Hammerle:

We write in strong opposition to the Draft Proposed Program (DPP) for the 11th National Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program. We specifically want to express our strongest opposition to the inclusion of the Northern California OCS Planning Area, the Central California OCS Planning Area, and the Southern California OCS Planning Area in the DPP for oil and gas leasing disposition.

This proposal, coupled with ongoing efforts to reduce federal staffing and funding for agencies that protect our environment, including for safety and oil spill response, is not only dangerous but outright reckless. As we have repeatedly seen in California and other parts of the country, offshore drilling is a ticking time bomb. Any expansion of offshore drilling in the waters off the coast of California and the spills that would inevitably accompany it would be devastating to the communities we represent.

In April 2025, California officially became the fourth-largest economy in the world, only behind the United States, China, and Germany in global rankings. Our economy is diverse and robust, including sectors such as tourism, recreation, fisheries, deepwater port commerce, and Department of Defense infrastructure. According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), California's marine economy alone accounted for \$51.3 billion in GDP and \$26.7 billion in wages in 2021. The economic well-being of these sectors is dependent upon a healthy and clean coastline. Further industrialization off our coast will inevitably pollute our beaches, spelling disaster for California's economy and detrimentally impacting the rest of the country, which relies on California as an economic engine.

California is all too familiar with the devastating impacts of oil spills. The 1969 Santa Barbara oil spill remains the largest in our state's history—and one of the landmark spills in U.S. history. Immediate damage to birds, intertidal organisms, beaches, and economy was severe. That experience galvanized Californians and secured an unshakable commitment to protecting our coastline. The more recent 2015



San Mateo County Board of Supervisors – Opposition to the Draft Proposed Program (DPP) for the 11th National Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program and opposition to the inclusion of the Northern, Central, and Southern California OCS Planning Areas in the DPP for oil and gas leasing.

January 13, 2026

Page 3 of 3

Refugio oil spill and 2021 Huntington Beach oil spill, reinforced our strong opposition to any offshore drilling expansion.

The County of San Mateo includes 40 miles of the California coast, from Pacifica to the Santa Cruz County line. Thousands of County residents rely on clean ocean waters and pristine beaches for their livelihoods, including employment in the fishing, tourism and recreation sectors. Millions of others enjoy and benefit from the beauty of clean waters and shorelines, whether they are on the beaches, on the ocean or driving down scenic Highway 1.

As part of the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary, the San Mateo County coast is home to an immense variety of precious flora and fauna, including 36 species of marine mammals, 180 species of seabirds and shorebirds, 525 species of fishes and an abundance of invertebrates and algae. All of these would be harmed by every stage of the offshore oil drilling process and immeasurably damaged by an inevitable oil spill.

The bipartisan consensus against expanded offshore drilling in California has been clear and consistent over five decades. This has resulted in current state laws that include a permanent ban on new offshore oil and gas drilling along California's 1,110-mile coastline and a prohibition on new leases for oil and gas infrastructure in state waters that enable increased oil and gas production from federal waters. As stated in the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM)'s Request for Information and reinforced by the comments BOEM received from our state, "As a result of Congressional moratoria, subsequent Presidential actions, and consistent opposition by the States of Washington, Oregon, and California to any activity off their coasts, the Pacific OCS has not been included in any National OCS Program since the 1987–1992 Program."

For these reasons and more, we write in strong opposition to the Proposed Program (DPP) for the 11th National Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program. We specifically want to express our strongest opposition to the inclusion of the Northern California OCS Planning Area, the Central California OCS Planning Area, and the Southern California OCS Planning Area in the DPP for oil and gas leasing disposition. We appreciate your attention to this matter.

For these reasons, San Mateo County opposes the Draft Proposed Program (DPP) for the 11th National Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program and the inclusion of the Northern, Central, and Southern California OCS Planning Areas in the DPP for oil and gas leasing. Should you have any questions about our position, please contact Connie Juarez-Diroll, Chief Legislative Officer, at 650-599-1341 or via email at cjuarez-diroll@smcgov.org.

Sincerely,



Noelia Corzo, President, San Mateo County Board of Supervisors

San Mateo County Board of Supervisors – Opposition to the Draft Proposed Program (DPP) for the 11th National Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program and opposition to the inclusion of the Northern, Central, and Southern California OCS Planning Areas in the DPP for oil and gas leasing.

January 13, 2026

Page 3 of 3

CC: San Mateo County Board of Supervisors
San Mateo County Executive